

DEAR GOVERNOR MIKKI HALEY

I'm writing to you today to explain the fact of the neglect and unfair treatment that inmates and/or convicted felons are exposed everyday to.

In what I mean to begin with starting with the financial situation of S.C.O.C. to begin with by S.C.O.C. policy/procedure 22.14 paragraph 821 ~~inmates~~ ~~are not~~ suppose to be receiving money from home to by account but being that we have a account accounts why is S.C.O.C. ~~not~~ able to take away our interest in this <sup>at</sup> embezzlement but going even further S.C.O.C. charges us for D.N.A. Testing deductions ten percent (10%) of what we receive ~~and~~ until ~~we~~ two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) is payed but here's the thing because no one's D.N.A. database is the same D.N.A. database is discriminative to make it illegal under the basis of the state of Georgia vs ROSA PARKS but going even further indigent inmates are charged restitution to send out legal mail in which violates the miranda rights making the state even more guilty of embezzlement but going even further no inmate is suppose to be ~~an~~ indigent because had south carolina Department of corrections been paying every inmate for his labor at his job assignment making sure they're receiving at least minimum wage <sup>under</sup> the basis of NIKE vs ASIA the state wouldn't be guilty of embezzlement again and there would be more money in the state because of tax returns <sup>because</sup> every inmate in the department would be filing as well as individuals on the street because by S.C.O.C. policy every inmate must have a job assignment. And because inmates aren't being payed  
(TURN OVER)

FOR there wages not only is incorporation is sweat and  
But slavery in south Carolina making every inmate releasable  
under the basis of the emancipation of Proclamation  
in which is victimizing the public along with inmates  
again But since we are on the subject of TAXES FOR  
AMERICAN citizens to be paying state and federal  
TAXES ~~and~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~the~~ state and federal government is no  
conflict of interest therefore under the basis of the  
state of south Carolina vs AMINICK ALEXANDER BROWN  
they're illegal - HOWEVER -

Let's talk about the Right convicted felons have because  
By standards they don't have to voice pol. t. coll. in society  
in ~~what~~ <sup>what</sup> I speaking of to ~~felons~~ <sup>FELONS</sup> don't have the Right  
to vote any more once they are convicted of a crime  
in which is a violation of their due process Rights of  
appeal because by law an accused has the Right  
to pick his venue of trial and by law refusing them  
to vote makes this Right discriminatory to make it  
illegal therefore not only is voting discriminatory but  
~~kidnapping~~ kidnapping as well to convicted felons But  
going even further by south Carolina code of law  
1976 17-1-40 convicted felons records are  
supposed to be destroyed after discharge  
in which means that there isn't supposed to  
be any criminal records AFTER discharge  
in which means that there not supposed to  
be signing the gun act in which concludes that  
an x-cm once again is excluded from the  
amendments making him not to be classified  
as an American living in the United States  
~~clearly~~ clearly stating that they have the Right  
to die because of the sixth amendment EVERYONE

had the rights to ~~DEAL~~ ARMS but at the same time  
the Gun Act is Discriminative in which concurs its  
illegal under the Boss of the State of Georgia vs Rosa  
Parks ~~the state~~ in which concurs when the Gun Act  
was employed in South Carolina the hands of our hands  
of all ruling of the State of South Carolina vs Col Elison Jr  
was to be overturned and it was supposed to be acquitted  
and because he still resides as an inmate in S.C.O.  
it only concurs the fact that the State of South  
Carolina judicial system is kidnapping making every  
inmate releasable again under the Boss of the  
Emancipation or Pardonment. But since we're on  
the wave of convicted felons they don't even  
have the right to take out a warrant on officers  
who are more cracked than them and as proof  
of that on the days of SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 and  
October 1, 2014 I witnessed that I was sodomized  
by two officers at Perry Correctional Institution  
and ask to speak to an officer about filing  
charges but was refused but going even more  
elaborate since we're on the subject of  
officers and/or prosecuting officials by South  
Carolina Code of Law 17-1-20 prosecuting  
officials are not supposed to accept and/or  
receive any fees for prosecuting and because  
Gov, Senators, Police Officers, Judges, Solicitors,  
Public defenders are all receiving so not to  
exclude the President, Secretary of Defense,  
Vice President and Ambassador or receiving  
so from the Federal and State Government it  
concurs once again that every inmate is  
releasable under its Boss so with every thing  
that was just said what do we do about these  
problems because not only are these problems victimizing  
(turn over)

the convicted felons But the public as well.

Thanks  
DS

DEAR GOVERNOR N.K.K. HOLEY

Elaborating a little bit more on S.C.O.C.  
GRIEVANCES PROCEEDURE FOR INMATES TO HAVE  
ALLEGATION AGAINST S.C.O.C. APPEALING TO S.C.O.C.  
IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST MAKING THE GRIEVANCE  
PROCEEDURE IN S.C.O.C. ILLEGAL UNDER THE CONFL. IT  
OF INTEREST BOSS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA VS  
DOMINICK ALEXANDER BROWN ~~to spend~~ <sup>to spend</sup> PAYING TAX  
DOLLARS TO PAY GRIEVANCE CO-ORDINATORS IN S.C.O.C.  
IS WASTING TAX DOLLARS  
—HOWEVER—

TO CLASSIFICATION TO CLASSIFY INMATES AS  
A FORM OF BRANDMENT IN WHICH IS ILLEGAL UNDER  
THE CATTLE BRANDMENT BOSS OF THE STATE OF  
TEXAS VS MICHAEL ELLIOTT IN WHICH MAKES S.C.O.C.  
CLASSIFICATION ILLEGAL TO CONVINCE THAT PAYING STATE  
TAX MONEY TO CLASSIFICATION WORKERS OF S.C.O.C. IS  
THE SAME BUT AT THE SAME TIME BY THE  
STATE OF TEXAS VS MICHAEL ELLIOTT IT CONVINCES THAT  
ANIMALS HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS AS HUMANS IN  
WHICH CONVINCES TAKING THEIR MILK IS ROBBERY AND  
SLAUGHTERING THEM FOR PRODUCE OF MEAT IS  
ILLEGAL UNDER THE BOSS OF BOTH THE STATE OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA VS DOMINICK ALEXANDER BROWN AND  
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS STANLEY WILLIAMS MAKING  
~~meat~~ ~~meat~~ <sup>meat</sup> ~~meat~~ MILK ILLEGAL TO CONVINCE THAT WASTING  
STATE DOLLARS TO ALLOW INMATES TO HAVE THEM  
BOTH IS VICTIMIZATION TO THE PUBLIC, OF SOUTH  
CAROLINA

COLORADO AND THE ANIMAL DAILY PRODUCTS ARE MILK, BUT  
GOING EVEN FURTHER UNDER THE BODS OF THE STATE V.  
THIFT 440 S.E. 2d. 341 312 S.C. 282. (1999) POLYGRAPH  
EVIDENCE INCLUDING EVIDENCE THAT INDIVIDUALS REFUSED TO  
TAKE POLYGRAPH TEST IS INADMISSIBLE BEFORE STATE  
GRAND JURY THEREFORE PAYING THE INDIVIDUAL IN  
HEADQUARTERS THAT WE DO TO DO IT IS A WASTE OF  
TIME AND TAX DOLLARS BUT GOING EVEN FURTHER COLLECTION  
OFFICERS ARE PROSECUTING OFFICIALS THEREFORE  
PAYING THEM IS ILLEGAL UNDER THE BODS OF  
SOUTH COLORADO CODE OF LAW 1976# 17-1-20 AND BEING  
THAT WE ARE IS VICTIMIZING THE PUBLIC AGAIN BY  
SPENDING ACCESS TAX DOLLARS TO EMPLOYEED  
S.C.D.C. GOV'S AND WITH THAT BEING SAID  
WHAT DO WE DO ABOUT THIS ISSUE AS WELL  
BECAUSE THEY'RE SAME THAT MUST BE  
SOLVED FOR THE PUBLIC.

THANKS  
D. J.