

From: Parks, Beth S
To: 'nhdavis@thestate.com' <nhdavis@thestate.com>
Date: 10/19/2006 4:48:33 PM
Subject: FW: DUI Immobilization
Attachments: DUI Immobilization Stats.doc

Ms. Davis,

When we spoke to Rick late yesterday, there seemed to be some confusion. I think we have cleared up some of that confusion with this email, but I am forwarding a copy to you for your information.

Beth Parks

-----Original Message-----

From: Parks, Beth S
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 4:40 PM
To: 'Rick Brundrett'
Subject: DUI Immobilization

Rick,

When we spoke yesterday, there was some confusion and I want to ensure that the facts are clear. DUI immobilization is a complicated topic and it never hurts to clarify the issue. I'm also including some additional information for you.

When the law was first passed, we worked on a process with the courts to allow them to manually report the vehicles along with the convictions. After several months, it became obvious that we were not getting all of the vehicle notifications with the convictions as evidenced in the attached table. At that point, we decided to change our process and to propose legislation that would allow DMV, not the courts, to administer this program and collect the fees called for in the law. The bill was not passed. Throughout this process, however, we continued to work toward the implementation of the DUI immobilization law as it was originally enacted.

Our current process, which will be implemented by the end of the year, will allow us to automatically suspend the vehicles registered to persons convicted of the subject offenses when the conviction is added to the driver license record and the driver's license suspension becomes effective. The offender can then apply for any exemptions that may apply.

Beth Parks
SCDMV Communications
(803) 896-8198