

From: Veldran, Katherine
To: Soura, Christian <ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov>
Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 5/17/2013 10:58:44 AM
Subject: FW: who is killing ethics reform?

-----Original Message-----

From: Patel, Swati
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 10:29 AM
To: Veldran, Katherine; Pitts, Ted
Subject: FW: who is killing ethics reform?

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: James Burns [mailto:James.Burns@nelsonmullins.com]
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 9:46 AM
To: Patel, Swati
Subject: FW: who is killing ethics reform?

Thought you might want to see this below.

James H. Burns
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
1320 Main Street, 17th Floor
Columbia, SC 29201
Tel: 803-255-9586
Fax: 803-255-5936
james.burns@nelsonmullins.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lynn Teague [mailto:teague_l@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 9:38 AM
To: Zia Barbara; Hoy Tammie; Landess Ashley; Hansotia Shirene; Simpkins John; Timberlake Ann;
Day JoAnne; Peterson-Hutto Anne; James Burns
Subject: who is killing ethics reform?

The article below confirms confirms my own assessment of what is happening in the Senate, with Lee Bright and some members of the Democratic caucus (Scott, Malloy, Hutto) slow-walking everything possible in an obvious effort to avoid other legislation (esp. ethics reform) this year:

<http://www.gopwrite.com/1/post/2013/05/nikki-haley-slams-lee-bright-gop-applauds.html>

Bright mentioned yesterday on the floor that some of his own party's leaders were trying to get him to stop, but with the aid of his new-found friends among the Democrats he marched onward. Bright

might argue that he wants stronger reform, along the lines of amendments he offered in committee, but no one could be deluded enough to think that stronger reform will happen. Even Bright knows that it won't -- he remarked in committee that he was "looking for something with a chance of passing" in his tall pile of amendments, and he didn't find much that even he believed might pass. From comments in committee by the others and my conversations with some of them, there is no question that they are not fans of ethics reform. This is intentional obstruction that will lead to no reform at all.

Cindi Scoppe also tweeted (now removed as far as I can tell) suggesting the same thing -- that there were strange political bedfellows obstructing movement in the Senate in order to kill ethics reform.

I've said from day one that this issue is the most non-partisan one I've ever encountered, and sure enough, the obstruction is thoroughly bipartisan.

Lynn

Lynn Teague
Advocacy Director, LWVSC
teague_l@bellsouth.net
803.556.9802

Confidentiality Notice

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately either by phone (800-237-2000) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including the attachments) is not intended or written to be used, for the purpose of (a) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (b) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter[s]. To provide you with a communication that could be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code will necessarily entail additional investigations, analysis and conclusions on our part.