

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
70A	A01	Senate (Legislative Department)	<p>IA: Conference Report is identical to Governor's budget, except for the addition of a distinct \$50k line for the Joint Citizens and Legislative Committee on Children. JCLCC already gets a \$250k carve-out under P89.102.</p> <p>IB: All legislative provisos appear in a single section, so they're all addressed here. All the other changes are fine, but there are two new provisos to discuss. P70.29 creates a Teacher Salary Study Committee of just Senators and Representatives...no cost, but likely no impact either. P70.32 directs EOC to commission an efficiency review of 3 school districts - this is tied to \$300k in Section 90.</p>	<p><b>IA: VETO \$50k for Joint Citizens and Legislative Committee on Children.</b>  <b>IB: Need more information on P70.32 (EOC Efficiency Review), but Sign, otherwise.</b></p> <p>Governor's budget made no recommendations regarding legislative provisos. New P70.29 (Teacher Salary Study Committee) carries no cost, so it's probably OK. New P70.32 (EOC Efficiency Review) is tied to \$300k in Section 90. Sign, otherwise.</p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<p><b>(IA)VETO \$50k for Joint Citizens and Legislative Committee on Children (I. Special Item).</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
70B	A05	House of Representatives (Legislative Department)	<p>IA: The House gave itself an additional \$2.5M for "Administration-Other Operating Expenses" (from \$8M up to \$10.5M). They claim this is for a new voting board and other technology upgrade, but the new funds are recurring...not one-time funds. Other lines are as they were in the Governor's budget. Staff consensus is to refrain from vetoing on separation of powers grounds, but to call attention to this.</p> <p>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b>  <b>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</b>  <b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<p><b>SIGN.</b></p>
70C	A15	Codification of Laws (Legislative Department)	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$350k above the Governor's budget, across two lines: \$262,500 for "Administration-Personal Service" and \$87,500 for "Employer Contributions". There's no viable way to get at the contribution increase, but you can strike the Code Commissioner's salary at \$139,377 to cut the personal service growth in half. Staff consensus is not to do this - separation of powers. The Conference Report represents 11.9% growth over your budget.</p> <p>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b>  <b>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</b>  <b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<p><b>SIGN.</b></p>
70D	A17	Legislative Printing, Information Technology (Legislative Department)	<p>IA: Conference Report is same as Governor's budget, except for an additional \$2.28M for Administration-Other Operating Expenses. This is a 75.7% increase vs. the Governor's budget (not a typo). Options are again limited - you can veto the Director at \$110k, the staff line at \$1.4M, and/or the temporary staff at \$80k.</p> <p>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</p>	<p><b>IA: VETO \$1,404,494 for Administration-Unclassified.</b></p> <p>This doesn't fully recover the increase, but it makes the point while minimizing vetoes of legislative lines (which will be tough to sustain). This leaves LPITS with a 29.1% increase.</p> <p><b>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</b>  <b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<p><b>SIGN.</b></p>
70E	A20	Legislative Audit Council (Legislative Department)	<p>IA: Conference Report adds \$125k across three lines: \$25k for Employer Contributions (inaccessible), plus \$5k for Administration-Other Operating Expenses and \$95k for Unclassified staff. The first two additions are fairly defensible. Your only real options to respond to the \$95k increase would be to veto the Director (\$94,730) or the \$1,225 line for Other Personal Services.</p> <p>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b>  <b>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</b>  <b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: VETO \$15k for Peer Review Audit, SIGN \$45k for Information Technology Upgrade.</b></p> <p>They got \$125k of new money in IA and can use that for audit. The IT gear was in the Gov's CRF proposal.</p>	<p><b>(\$90) \$15k for Peer Review Audit.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
70F	A85	Education Oversight Committee	<p>IA: Conference Report follows Governor's request, except for a new \$200k line for Other Operating Expenses. Otherwise, EOC is not in the General Fund - veto to avoid this foothold.</p> <p>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</p>	<p><b>IA: VETO \$200k for Other Operating Expenses.</b>  <b>IB: See Section 70A / A01 (The Senate).</b>  <b>CRF: None.</b>  <b>\$90: SIGN \$300k for School District Efficiency Pilot Program (per P70.32).</b></p>	<p><b>(\$90): HOLD on \$300k for School District Efficiency Pilot Program. (per P70.32).</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
44	B04	Judicial Department	<p>IA: \$3.57M above your \$39.9M request, although this doesn't reflect the full phased-in cost of the new judges. \$1.5M of the new money is for IT-Other Operating Expenses...you recommended \$1.5M NR from the Capital Reserve Fund (CRF) for this purpose.</p> <p>IB: Governor did not recommend changes to judicial provisos. There is one deletion, which is fine.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: VETO \$1.5M for Information Technology-Other Operating Expenses.</u></b> Sounds like you have to sign-off on the judges now, but can this be vetoed? Since the veto on the IT fees was recently overridden, she can now increase e-filing fees to generate money for at least some IT projects.</p> <p><b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	<p><b>(IA) VETO \$1.5M for Information Technology-Other Operating Expenses (C. Information Technology).</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
71	C05	Administrative Law Court	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$58k above Governor for Employer Contributions and \$128k higher for Unclassified Positions. The only available option is to veto the Chief Judge at \$117k - not viable.</p> <p>IB: New P71.3 (ALJ Travel) would grant \$35/day subsistence, up to \$8,000/year. Swati is OK with the proviso.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: DISCUSS veto for new P71.3 (ALJ Travel).</u></b> Staff is OK with P71.3. <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: SIGN \$6,900 for Staff Attorney / Hearing Officer / Business Associate Equipment.</u></b></p>	SIGN.
72A	D05	Executive Control of State (Gov's Ofc)	<p>IA: As recommended by Governor.</p> <p>IB: See Section 72B / D05 (OEPP).</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: See Section 72B / D05 (OEPP).</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	SIGN.
48	D10	State Law Enforcement Division (Gov's Ofc)	<p>IA: Conference Report is about \$4M above the Governor's request. About \$1.2M of this is for Enforcement and Investigation, plus \$74k for Forensic Services, \$426k for the Data Center, \$1.2M for Regulatory (of which \$1M is on a standalone Special Item line for Meth Lab Clean Up), \$100k for Homeland Security Operations, \$133k for Special Operations, and about \$700k for Employer Contributions. All told, this is a 39.7% increase over the current year. The \$1M for Meth Lab Clean Up would be the only clean veto. For the others, you would generally have to veto other smaller, related lines to try and bring down the growth rate.</p> <p>IB: New P48.19 (Criminal Record Search Fees) proviso allows for a reduced background check fee for certain types of volunteers. It's somewhat harmless, but SLED likely objects.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: DISCUSS overall approach for SLED.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: VETO \$1.7M Personal Service and Operating, \$150k Law Enforcement Operating, and SIGN \$1.1M Forensic Equipment, \$4.8M CJIS/IT Equipment, \$840k Vehicles, and \$139k Computer Equipment.</u></b> We need to know whether they're really for "Operating" expenses, or if they're just mischaracterized here.</p>	<p><b>HOLD for further information on \$90 lines for \$1.7M "Personal Service and Operating" and \$150k for "Law Enforcement Operating."</b></p>
72B	D17	Office of Executive Policy & Programs (Gov's Ofc)	<p>IA: Couple of very minor tweaks.</p> <p>IB: Minor tweaks to some internal transfers within OEPP. New P72.20 (Use of Funds Report) requires disclosure of transfers among Governor's Office and satellite agencies.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	SIGN.
72C	D20	Mansion and Grounds (Gov's Ofc)	<p>IA: As recommended by Governor.</p> <p>IB: See Section 72B / D05 (OEPP).</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: See Section 72B / D05 (OEPP).</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	SIGN.
72D	TBD	Office of Inspector General	<p>IA: Conference Report provided \$300,000 for the new office, which is slightly more than was included in the Executive Budget. It's fine, though - they'll need it.</p> <p>IB: None.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Sign (None).</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	SIGN.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
73	E04	Lieutenant Governor's Office	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$190k above Gov on Administration-Unclassified Positions, but half of this is an offset where we raised another line and they didn't (goes back to fixing the security issue from a couple years ago, where they accidentally vetoed unrelated staff).</p> <p>Conference Report is \$1.8M above your \$1.67M request for Home and Community Based Services (Meals on Wheels) and \$132k above your \$990k request for the Office on Aging's work (difference is grants to local aging programs). Employer Contributions are also \$120k higher. Net increase is 55.3% over your request. Because of the way the increases are spread out (and largely through Special Items), there is no viable path to veto to a more reasonable growth rate. You could veto the Lt. Governor's \$46k salary to cut down on the Admin-Unclassified increase.</p> <p>IB: As recommended by Governor.</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b> Could make an argument for vetoing the Lt. Gov's salary at \$46,545.</p> <p><b>IB: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b></p> <p><b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<b>SIGN.</b>
74	E08	Secretary of State	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$238k above the Governor's request. About \$170k is in Classified Positions, with the rest in Employer Contributions. The change made to P74.1 effectively gives them an additional \$60k. Only available option would be to veto the Secretary's salary at \$92k.</p> <p>IB: Conference Report's amendment to P74.1 (UCC Filing Fees) allows the Secretary of State's office to retain an additional \$60k of UCC fees in order to run the program (from \$120k to \$180k). A veto of this proviso would be exceedingly difficult to sustain, so any cuts to this office will have to happen elsewhere.</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>IB: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b></p> <p><b>\$90: VETO \$500k for IT Upgrade.</b></p> <p>We only supported half of the \$500k as a one-time item in the first place - and bear in mind that they have \$298k of new money now (between IA and IB).</p>	<p><b>(\$90) VETO \$500k for IT Upgrade.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
75	E12	Comptroller General's Office	<p>IA: As recommended by Governor.</p> <p>IB: As recommended by Governor.</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>IB: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b></p> <p><b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<b>SIGN.</b>
76	E16	State Treasurer's Office	<p>IA: As recommended by Governor.</p> <p>IB: OK, except review new P76.14 (Unclaimed Property).</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>IB: Still reviewing P.76.14. Sign, otherwise.</b></p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b></p> <p><b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<p><b>(IB) HOLD for Swati's confirmation that P76.14 (Unclaimed Property) is OK.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
77	E19	Retirement System Investment Commission	<p>IA: As recommended by Governor.</p> <p>IB: None.</p>	<p><b>IA: Sign.</b></p> <p><b>IB: Sign (None).</b></p> <p><b>CRF: None.</b></p> <p><b>\$90: None.</b></p>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
45	E20	Attorney General's Office	IA: New \$3M NR line for Savannah River litigation, which under the new P45.9 lapses to the General Fund, if not used. Conference Report is \$756k above Executive Budget for "State Litigation" due to 12 new attorney and investigator positions. Gov and AG should probably discuss directly. Several options to veto less than the full amount of new "State Litigation" spending, if desired. IB: New P45.9 (Savannah River Maritime Commission Funds) is frustrating, but not a serious veto candidate.	<b>IA: HOLD pending Gov/AG conversation.</b> <b>IB: Sign.</b> <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: VETO \$500k Operating Expenses, SIGN \$500k IT Upgrade.</b>	<b>SIGN.</b>
46	E21	Prosecution Coordination Commission	IA: \$3.2M increase over Gov's budget, which is in Special Lines: \$1.5M increase for Judicial Circuit State Support and \$1.6M for Criminal Domestic Violence Prosecution (NEW). IB: New P46.9 is a domestic violence-specific reformulation of the now-deleted P46.7. New P46.10 and P46.11 have just been moved from OEPP.	<b>IA: Sign.</b> <b>IB: Sign.</b> <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: VETO \$200k for Center for Fathers and Families.</b>	<b>SIGN.</b>
47	E23	Commission on Indigent Defense	IA: Conference Report is \$7.53M above Governor's request, including a new \$6.3M line for Rule 608 Appointments and a \$1.2M increase for Criminal Domestic Violence cases. Probably not feasible to veto. IB: Multiple proviso revisions, mostly for technical reasons.	<b>IA: Sign.</b> <b>IB: Sign.</b> <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: VETO \$101k for IT Upgrade.</b> Too much, after all the new 608 money, etc. Already a red-letter year for them.	<b>SIGN.</b>
78	E24	Adjutant General's Office	IA: Conference Report is actually about \$1.7M below Gov's budget. Only veto candidate is new \$11,551 line for State Guard-Other Personal Services. Might not be worth going after a line this small (dropping 3 part-time admin folks and replacing with one full-time). IB: Minor tweaks - no issues.	<b>IA: Sign, pending a follow-up on the new State Guard line.</b> <b>IB: Sign.</b> <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: SIGN \$59k for State Guard and \$500k for Armory Maintenance.</b>	<b>SIGN.</b>
79	E28	Election Commission	IA: Conference Report is \$150k above Governor on Voter Services-Other Operating Expenses and \$1M higher for Primary/General Elections, but also deletes the \$535k special item for Voter ID. Net effect is \$600k increase. As an offset, the Conference Report doesn't call for use of augmenting Capital Reserve Funds, like Governor did. IB: As requested by Governor, except for new P79.14 (Use of Election Funds), which restricts use of Election Commission funds to specific uses. It's OK.	<b>IA: Sign.</b> <b>IB: Sign.</b> <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: None.</b>	<b>SIGN.</b>
80A	F03	Budget and Control Board	IA: Conference Report includes an additional \$75k for Unclassified Positions under Economic Research (BEA staff). We can find a comparable line to strike in the Budget/Analysis Division if there's interest. Also, some allocations have changed, but this is essentially as the Governor proposed. IB: Veto P80A.25 (Employee Compensation) if you veto pay raise. Consider veto of P80A.32 (Rural Infrastructure) - needs more study.	<b>IA: Sign.</b> <b>IB: VETO P80A.25 if you veto pay raises.</b> P80A.32 needs more study. <b>CRF: None.</b> <b>\$90: VETO \$2M for Rural Infrastructure Fund and SIGN \$2.5M for SCEIS Program Sustainment.</b>	<b>(IB) HOLD for P80A.25 (Employee Compensation) - tied to pay raise decision.</b> Note: P80A.32 (Rural Infrastructure) is OK.  <b>(\$90) HOLD \$2M for Rural Infrastructure Fund.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
80B	F27	Budget and Control Board - State Auditor's Office	IA: Conference Report is slightly below your proposal - they didn't fund the actual State Auditor slot like you did, but included an Auditor III. IB: The 80B (State Auditor) proviso section is new. The two provisos appear to be fine. HHS should review and approve P80B.3 (Medical Assistance Audit Carry Forward).	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign, pending HHS review.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
80C	F30	Budget and Control Board - Employee Benefits	IA: The 3% employee pay increase is on a standalone line at \$43M. You took a pretty hard line against a raise back in January. On the other hand, employee pension contributions will now rise under the reform bill, so the context may be a little different. IB: The anti-abortion proviso is amended and there's a new TRICARE one. They're fine.	<u>IA: VETO \$43M for employee pay raise.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(IA) HOLD \$43M for pay raise decision (II.B. Employee Pay Plan).</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
80D	F31	Capital Reserve Fund	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
06	H03	Commission on Higher Education	IA: Conference Report is about \$1.5M over the Governor, mostly because we tried to unwind some pass-throughs, and because we cut the university centers by 20%. There will be a new CHE Chair and Executive Director shortly - I'd rather try to work with/through them than waste a veto on these peripheral issues. Vetoing \$161k for EPSCOR is a follow-through from last year, when the other EPSCOR line was sustained. IB: Conference Report follows most of the Governor's recommendations on CHE provisos. The recommendations that were disregarded mostly relate to our attempt to unwind some pass-throughs, and probably aren't worth fighting over here.	<u>IA: VETO \$161,314 for EPSCOR.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$100k for University Center of Greenville Technology Upgrade and \$200k for SC Manufacturers Extension Partnership.</u>	<b>(IA) VETO \$161,314 for EPSCOR (III. Other Agencies and Entities).</b>  <b>(\$90) VETO \$100k for University Center of Greenville Technology Upgrade and \$200k for SC Manufacturers Extension Partnership.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
07	H06	Higher Education Tuition Grants	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
08	H09	The Citadel	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$200k for Jenkins Hall Arms Room, SIGN \$738k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
09	H12	Clemson University (Education & General)	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: No changes.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$3M for Grid Simulator Project and \$2M for Greenwood Genetics Lab, SIGN \$1.6M for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(CRF) VETO \$2M for Greenwood Genetics Lab.</b>  <b>(CRF) HOLD on \$3M for Grid Simulator Project.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
10	H15	University of Charleston	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$1.9M for Science Center Construction.</u> Torn with this one - it's not Deferred Maintenance, but it is a rehab of an existing site. Also, CofC didn't ask for Deferred Maintenance. <u>\$90: VETO \$2M for Interactive Digital Technology Pilot.</u>	<b>(\$90) VETO \$2M for Interactive Digital Technology Pilot.</b> Find information on the bill that the General Assembly didn't pass to authorize this.  Otherwise, SIGN.
11	H17	Coastal Carolina University	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$948k for Research Vessel.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$198k for Scientific Equipment for Research Vessel.</u> If you can't afford this equipment, then why are we passing special legislation to let you create a new PhD program? Some discussion here, though - looking into what they did on in-state tuition rates for next year. If they held flat, then harder to veto. Researching...	<b>(CRF) HOLD on \$948k for Research Vessel.</b>  <b>(\$90) HOLD on \$198k for Scientific Equipment for Research Vessel.</b> If they held in-state tuition level for next year, then should they be punished here?  Otherwise, SIGN.
12	H18	Francis Marion University	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$100k for Nurse Practitioner Program, SIGN \$1.1M for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(CRF) VETO \$100k for Nurse Practitioner Program.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
13	H21	Lander University	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$646k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
14	H24	South Carolina State University	IA: Conference Report differs from Governor's budget because of HEPI and also because the final budget did not delete P6.9 (Performance Improvement Pool Allocation) as the Governor requested. It's not worth issuing vetoes here, since we don't have the ability to make the simultaneous changes in CHE's budget that area also required. IB: Conference Report does not delete a proviso that the Governor proposed to delete. Not worth veto fight.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$1.3M for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15A	H27	University of South Carolina	IA: Conference Report is \$2.2M above Governor's budget (of \$97.1M), of which \$1.46M is on the Unclassified Positions line. The rest is in Employer Contributions. Only real option would be to cut the President's line at \$265k. IB: Veto P15.3 (School Improvement Council). This program only received a 2.4 rating from EOC last year. Also recommending to veto a little money for this in the Department of Education's Section 90 lines.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: VETO P15.3.</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$10M for Law School, SIGN \$2.1M for Palmetto College.</u> Palmetto College isn't Deferred Maintenance, but it's a comparable amount, and it does reduce the need for physical infrastructure. <u>\$90: SIGN \$72k for Palmetto Poison Center and \$250k for Child Abuse Medical Response Program.</u>	<b>(IB) VETO P15.3 (School Improvement Council).</b>  <b>(CRF) HOLD for final decisions on \$10M for Law School and \$2.1M for Palmetto College.</b> If Law School Makes it, then Palmetto College likely can't (unless we find something else in USC-Columbia to veto).  Otherwise, SIGN.
15B	H29	USC Aiken	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$554k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
15C	H34	USC Upstate	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$729k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15D	H36	USC Beaufort	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$327k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15E	H37	USC Lancaster	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$137k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15F	H38	USC Salkehatchie	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$117k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: SIGN \$200k for Deferred Maintenance.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15G	H39	USC Sumter	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$368k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
15H	H40	USC Union	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$53k for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
16	H47	Winthrop University	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$500k for Student Technology, SIGN \$1.4M for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(CRF) VETO \$500k for Student Technology.</b> Otherwise, SIGN.
17A	H51	Medical University of South Carolina	IA: Level-funded, without HEPI. IB: 1 deletion - no issues.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$5.5M for Ashley Tower Renovation, SIGN \$3.2M for Deferred Maintenance.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(CRF) VETO \$5.5M for Ashley Tower Renovation.</b> Otherwise, SIGN.
17B	H53	Area Health Education Consortium	IA: As recommended by Gov, plus the Rural Physicians Program is reinstated at \$500k (which you did not recommend). You said about 2 weeks ago that you were OK with that - this is marked as "Sign" on that basis. IB: AHEC's proviso is included with MUSC's in Section 17A. No issues.	<u>IA: Sign, pending confirmation of position on Rural Physicians Program.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(IA) HOLD for \$500k for Rural Physicians Program.</b> Otherwise, SIGN.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
18	H59	Technical & Comprehensive Education	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$1M below Governor for "Technical Colleges" because the Assembly didn't do HEPI. It's \$6.15M above the Governor on the Special Item for "Other Direct Training Costs." There are also two NR lines not requested by the Governor - \$2.25M for Central Carolina Tech and \$2.445M for Aiken Tech. These increases are all tied to incentive packages.</p> <p>IB: New P18.5 (Manufacturing Training Facility) earmarks \$3.5M of the Tech Systems' appropriations for Central Carolina Tech, in association with the ReadySC program. Tied to incentive deals.</p>	<p><u>IA: Sign.</u>  <u>IB: Sign.</u>  <u>CRF: SIGN \$13.25M for ReadySC, \$500k for Trident Tech-Aeronautical Training Equipment, \$500k for Orangeburg/Calhoun-Programmable Logic Controller Equipment, and \$250k for Denmark Tech-Deferred Maintenance.</u>  <u>\$90: SIGN \$1.25M Central Carolina Tech - Training Facility Purchase and Upfit, \$400k Central Carolina Tech - Building Renovation, \$200k Technical College of the Low Country - Veterans Recruitment and Training Program, \$500k Tri-County Technical College - Pedestrian Safety Improvements, and \$200k Horry-Georgetown Tech Speir Allied Health and VETO \$200k SC Skills USA.</u>            Still researching one last \$90 item: \$3.5M for Spartanburg Community College - Cherokee Campus - Capital Improvements</p>	<p>((\$90) VETO \$200k for SC Skills USA.</p> <p>HOLD for final decision on \$3.5M for "Spartanburg Community College - Cherokee Campus - Capital Improvements"...tentative VETO.</p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
01B	H62	First Steps to School Readiness	<p>IA: There is no Section 1B in the Conference Report.            IB: There is no Section 1B in the Conference Report.</p>	<p><u>IA: Sign (None).</u>  <u>IB: Sign (None).</u>  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: None.</u></p>	<p>SIGN (N/A).</p>
01	H63	Department of Education	<p>IA: Big picture, the Conference Report is \$129M above your request. You recommended \$10M more for charters - they included \$5M. They're a total of \$44.1M above you on "Teacher Retention &amp; Reward" in EIA...two standalone lines for "Teacher Salary Supplement" are the key components: \$38.6M recurring and \$10.1M NR.</p> <p>There are small increases for some of the EIA partnerships - the Conference Report didn't follow your request to eliminate funding for the Writing Improvement Network (\$183k) or the SC Geographic Alliance (\$156k), both of which received a 1.8 rating from the EOC.</p> <p>Gov proposed \$5.3M for Gov's School for Science and Math...Conference Report is \$3.1M higher: \$340k Classified, \$1.2M Unclassified, \$1.15M Other Op Exp, and \$439k Employer Contributions. Recommend you veto a line (Classified Positions at \$1.17M) to bring down overall growth rate.</p> <p>In First Steps, they're \$1.5M higher on CDEPP as a Special Item (veto inaccessible), and then there's also a \$2.5M standalone "Other Operating Expenses" CDEPP line later - these aren't new dollars, though - they're shifts out of other funding sources into the General Fund.</p> <p>IB: As an FYI, Superintendent Zais sent you a letter asking for 6 proviso vetoes - we only agreed with 3 of those requests, including the new P1.92 (Lee County</p>	<p><u>IA: VETO \$10.1M (NR) for EIA/Teacher Salary Supplement, \$182,761 for the EIA/Writing Improvement Network, \$155,869 for the EIA/SC Geographic Alliance, and \$1,173,826 for Governor's School for Science and Math-Classified Positions.</u>  <u>IB: VETO P1.92, P1A.56, P1A.64, and P89.130.</u>            Let's confirm that P1A.56 is really on the chopping block - and what lines would be associated with this. Not sure this is right yet (or that we're doing this).  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: VETO \$1.25M Gov's School for Arts &amp; Humanities - Admin Bldg Construction and \$35k for SC School Improvement Council, SIGN \$36.2M for EFA-IDEA Contingency Reserve</u>            Gov's School for Science and Math still gets a couple million more than this year. Gov's School for Arts and Humanities is level-funded on the primary line, but gets another \$53k through EIA Partnerships. Approving this \$1.25M would keep more parity between the institutions, if that matters.</p>	<p>(IA) VETO \$10.1M (NR) for EIA/Teacher Salary Supplement, \$182,761 for the EIA/Writing Improvement Network, \$155,869 for the EIA/SC Geographic Alliance, and \$1,173,826 for Governor's School for Science and Math-Classified Positions.            Note: We still have a HOLD on the \$10.1M and the GSSM veto.</p> <p>(IB) VETO P1.92 (Lee County Bus Shop), P1A.64 (EOC Innovation Initiative), and P89.130 (Open Market for Bus Contract Vendors).</p> <p>((\$90) VETO \$1.25M Gov's School for Arts &amp; Humanities - Admin Bldg Construction and \$35k for SC School Improvement Council.</p> <p>Staff needs to confirm that vetoing the \$10.1M (NR) in the salary supplement doesn't interfere with teachers' 2% raise...I think we're OK.</p>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
02	H66	Lottery Expenditure Account	IA: The official estimate has changed since January, but the final values are fine. IB: There are a few veto candidates here, depending on how aggressive you want to be. There's over \$12 million for buses (on two lines), but there's a Dept of Ed proviso that allows for leasing instead, so that's not really objectionable. The other more peripheral issues would likely fail the "Ted's Four Rules of Vetoes" test.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
19	H67	Educational Television Commission	IA: None of ETV's funding is now in the General Fund. IB: ETV asked for P19.2 (Digital Satellite) to be deleted - it relates to a system that is no longer operational, and an oversight group that hasn't met in years.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: VETO P19.2 (Digital Satellite).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
03	H71	Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$750k for Window Replacement.</u> We're looking into this one.	<b>(90) HOLD on \$750k for Window Replacement.</b>  Are we trying to get an assurance letter from them tomorrow? That seemed to be where we left it.
20	H73	Department of Vocational Rehabilitation	IA: Conference Report is \$1.1M above Governor's recommendations - \$900k is in Employer Contributions, while the other \$200k is the net change in two other personnel lines (up \$600k one place, down \$400k in another). Only real opportunity to claw some of this back would be to veto the Commissioner's line at \$117,765. It's a 9.9% increase over your budget if you let it all stand. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$1M for Restoration of Voc Rehab Program - State Matching Funds.</u>	<b>(90) VETO \$1M for Restoration of Voc Rehab Program - State Matching Funds.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
04	H75	School for the Deaf & Blind	IA: There are a few line shifts that bring the agency total up by a few thousand. The only real decision points are whether or not to fund two non-recurring lines: \$2.1M for an Early Childhood Center and \$865k for Deferred Maintenance. You didn't include the ECC in your budget, but gave a portion of that deferred maintenance (although in the Capital Reserve Fund). IB: 2 new provisos are fine. Conference Report does not delete P4.12 (USC-Upstate Visual Impairment Master of Education Program) as requested, but this is more of an "accounting transparency" issue than a real substantive dispute.	<u>IA: VETO Early Childhood Center at \$2,135,000 (NR).</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> Could veto P4.12 (USC-Upstate) on principle, but only really worth considering if you give USC Upstate the deferred maintenance money. <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$1.5M for Robertson Hall Construction.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
28	H79	Department of Archives & History	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: Deletes P28.2 (Nat'l Historic Preservation Program) as requested by Governor, and adds a new P28.3 (Disposal of Materials) proviso that allows the Commission to sell some materials.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$200k for Charleston African American Historic Sites Preservation and \$200k for Hilton Head - Mitchelville Capital Land Purchase.</u>	<b>(90) VETO \$200k for Charleston African American Historic Sites Preservation and \$200k for Hilton Head - Mitchelville Capital Land Purchase.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
29	H87	State Library	IA: Governor recommended level funding - Conference Report adds \$1M for grants to local libraries (vs. \$4.4M today). Could veto Classified Positions (\$340k) and/or Other Operating Expenses (\$118k) in order to bring down the total growth rate. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: DISCUSS potential veto of one of the State Library lines.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
30	H91	Arts Commission	IA: Presumptive veto of this entire section. IB: Provisos are irrelevant if the IA veto is sustained. Since this is unlikely to happen, there's no reason to separately veto IB and take an additional loss. Need to check if this would be one vote or two, to override.	<b><u>IA: VETO entire section.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Sign, if it would be a separate override vote.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>§90: VETO \$500k for Grants.</u></b>	<b>(IA) VETO entire section.</b>  <b>(§90) VETO \$500k for Grants.</b>
31	H95	State Museum Commission	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: New P31.10 (State Museum Admissions Tax) allows the Commission to retain up to \$50k of admissions tax revenue to sustain its operations. We should look more into this one, but it needlessly complicates the way we fund this agency.	<b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Consider VETO of P31.10, pending further study.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>§90: VETO \$300k for North Myrtle Beach Historical Museum.</u></b>	<b>(IB) VETO P31.10 (State Museum Admissions Tax).</b> Note that they should merge into PRT.  <b>(§90) VETO \$300k for North Myrtle Beach Historical Museum.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
21	J02	Department of Health & Human Services	IA: As requested by Governor. IB: Conference Report honors several administration requests, but leaves in place a few provisos that we asked be deleted. We discussed with HHS - they only have 1 they asked us to strike (which is in DHEC, but is inconsistent with H.5028, which you signed earlier this year).	<b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b> <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>§90: SIGN \$3.9M for Medicaid Management Information System, \$50k SC Healthcare Information and Referral Network, and \$500k In-Home Health Care Systems.</u></b>	<b>SIGN.</b>
22	J04	Department of Health & Environmental Control	IA: Conference Report allocates "Infectious Disease" funds a little differently, but gets to about the same total. It's \$800k above the Governor's budget for "Family Health-Access to Care"...the increase shows on the Classified Positions line. You could veto Unclassified Positions and/or Other Personal Services to clawback \$133k, \$160k, or both. There's a new \$100k line for "Sickle Cell Professional Education." The CON program (Facility and Service Development) is another \$411k. There's also a new \$1.8M NR line for Community Health Centers, which we should discuss with DHEC. IB: Conference Report honors several administration requests, but leaves in place the following provisos that we asked be deleted: P22.5 (Cancer/Hemophilia), P22.11 (Sickle Cell Blood Sample Analysis), P22.12 (Sickle Cell Programs), P22.13 (Genetic Programs), P22.26 (Head Lice), and P22.41 (Camp Burnt Gin). Most of these are earmarks for disease-specific programs. Worth discussing with DHEC to see which are worth vetoing, especially since some could be sustained. Must also discuss 3 new provisos with DHEC. Veto P22.22 (Allocation Patient Days) per HHS.	<b><u>IA: VETO \$100k for Sickle Cell Professional Education and \$411k for Facility and Service Development (CON).</u></b> Discuss additional vetoes with DHEC for \$1.8M (NR) Community Health Center line, along with the "Access to Care" options. <b><u>IB: VETO P22.22 and consider VETO of some of the candidates listed to the left, upon consultation with DHEC.</u></b> Must also discuss new provisos with them. <b><u>CRF: None.</u></b> <b><u>§90: No recommendations yet, pending discussion with agency. Here are the lines:</u></b> <b><u>(1) \$200k ADAP Prevention</u></b> <b><u>(2) \$454k SC Coalition Against Domestic Violence</u></b> <b><u>(3) \$100k Kidney Disease Early Evaluation and Risk Assessment</u></b> <b><u>(5) \$100k Hemophilia - SC Bleeding Disorders Premium Assistance Program</u></b> <b><u>(6) \$500k SC Office of Rural Health - Benefit Bank</u></b> <b><u>(7) \$100k James R. Clark Memorial Sickle Cell Foundation</u></b>	<b>(IA) VETO \$411k for Facility and Service Development (CON).</b> Changes to "Access to Care" lines are OK.  <b>(IB) VETO P22.22 (Allocation Patient Days).</b>  <b>HOLD for essentially everything in the "Recommendations" column.</b> CLS to talk to CBT on 7/4, then we can all discuss again.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
23	J12	Department of Mental Health	<p>IA: Conference Report is \$200k above you on MH Centers-Case Services and \$300k above you on Projects and Grants-Other Operating Expenses. There's no way to recover the MH Centers increase, but you can get back \$196k of the Projects and Grants increase by vetoing Classified Positions. There's also a new \$1M (NR) deferred maintenance line.</p> <p>IB: Conference Report honors several administration requests, but leaves in place the following provisos that we asked be deleted: P23.6 (Huntingdon's Disease), P23.7 (Alzheimer's Funding), and P23.10 (Crisis Intervention Training). These are earmarks - DMH has already asked us to hold-off on vetoing these. I don't object in this case.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Consider VETO of the \$1M (NR) for Deferred Maintenance. Otherwise, Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>CRF: None.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>\$90: None.</u></b></p>	<p><b>(IA) VETO \$1M (NR) for Deferred Maintenance.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
24	J16	Department of Disabilities & Special Needs	<p>IA: As per Governor's request, except Conference Report is \$100k above you, due to BabyNet now being broken out as a Special Item.</p> <p>IB: Conference Report follows most of Governor's recommendations. It does not delete P24.14 (Traumatic Brain Injury) as the Governor requested - this proviso insulates this program from cuts. A new P24.16 (Greenwood Genetic Center Autism Research) allows for some waiver funds to be transferred - need to look into this one.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IB: Consider VETO of P24.14 (Traumatic Brain Injury) if you veto other similar provisos that insulate programs against cuts in down years.</u></b></p> <p>Still need to look into P24.16.</p> <p><b><u>CRF: None.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>\$90: VETO \$250k for Charles Lea Center.</u></b></p>	<p><b>(\$90) VETO \$250k for Charles Lea Center.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
25	J20	Department of Alcohol & Other Drug Abuse Services	<p>IA: As per Governor's request.</p> <p>IB: New P25.4 (Medicaid Match Transfer) is per Governor's request - need to review new P25.5 (Health Information Technology) and discuss with DAODAS.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IB: Still reviewing new P25.5. Otherwise, Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>CRF: None.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>\$90: SIGN \$250k for McCord Center Safety Improvement Project.</u></b></p>	<p><b>SIGN.</b></p>
49	K05	Department of Public Safety	<p>IA: Conference Report is significantly below your proposal, except that it includes \$406k to maintain 12 positions for Immigration Enforcement. It seems unlikely that these folks will have much work to do in the near term.</p> <p>IB: You proposed to delete two of these provisos, but both of those were tied to other steps that weren't taken in the final budget, so it makes no sense to veto here.</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign, unless you want to veto Immigration Enforcement.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IB: Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>CRF: None.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>\$90: VETO \$100k for Andrews Public Safety Building and SIGN \$3.25M Emergency Communications Equipment, \$45k BPS Officers' Equipment, \$75k Capitol Complex Garage Security Equipment, and \$1M Vehicles.</u></b></p> <p>Are we on the hook for the \$75k for the Capitol Complex? I'd like to veto.</p>	<p><b>(\$90) VETO \$100k for Andrews Public Safety Building and \$75k for Capitol Complex Garage Safety Equipment.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
26	L04	Department of Social Services	<p>IA: As per Governor's request.</p> <p>IB: DSS is seeking vetoes of 3 new provisos that carve money out of the agency's budget, will cause us to lose federal matching funds, and which wire money directly to specific entities by circumventing the Procurement Code. These are P26.24 (Women in Unity), P26.25 (Tri-City Outreach), and P26.26 (Callen-Lacey Center for Children).</p>	<p><b><u>IA: Sign.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IB: VETO P26.24, P26.25, and P26.26.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>CRF: None.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>\$90: VETO \$75k for United Center for Community Care and \$25k for Community Outreach Center Incorporated After School Program, SIGN \$2.5M for Child Support Enforcement System.</u></b></p>	<p><b>(IB) VETO P26.24 (Women in Unity), P26.25 (Tri-City Outreach), and P26.26 (Callen-Lacey Center for Children).</b></p> <p><b>(\$90) VETO \$75k for United Center for Community Care and \$25k for Community Outreach Center Incorporated After School Program.</b></p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
05	L12	John de la Howe School	IA: As per Governor's request. IB: Changes to P5.4 (Capacity) are acceptable.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$200k IT Upgrade, SIGN \$400k for Deferred Maintenance - 7 Cottages.</u>	<b>(§90) VETO \$200k for IT Upgrade.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
27	L24	Commission for the Blind	IA: As per Governor's request, except for an additional \$250k for Case Services. No viable veto path. IB: 1 unrequested deletion is acceptable.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	SIGN.
32	L32	Housing Finance and Development	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$250k for Marion County Habitat for Humanity Pilot Project.</u>	<b>(§90) VETO \$250k for Marion County Habitat for Humanity Pilot Project.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
54	L36	Human Affairs Commission	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: 1 unrequested deletion is acceptable.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	SIGN.
55	L46	Commission for Minority Affairs	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: New P55.5 (Retention of Photocopy Fees) added to allow the Commission to retain certain revenues - we didn't request it, but didn't ask to delete it from other agencies that have similar language.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	SIGN.
51	N04	Department of Corrections	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: SIGN the 9 projects listed here - they were in your budget (although in the CRF)...</u> <u>\$6M for Wateree Radium Drinking Water Compliance, \$2.5M Statewide Roof Replacement, \$2.5M Statewide Maintenance Projects, \$500k Victim Services Web Based Case Management System, \$500k SC SAVIN Court Notification System, \$350k Allendale CI Pre-Treatment Wastewater Plant Closing, \$300k Statewide Infirmity Consolidation, \$100k Farm Irrigation - Wateree, and \$40k Training Academy - Weapons Replacement.</u>	SIGN.
52	N08	Department of Probation, Parole & Pardon Services	IA: Conference Report is \$2.3M below the Governor, mostly due to a reduction in Offender Supervision-Other Operating Expenses. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	SIGN.
53	N12	Department of Juvenile Justice	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	SIGN.

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
50	N20	Law Enforcement Training Council	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
33	P12	Forestry Commission	IA: As recommended by Governor (couple thousand dollar difference in some allocations). IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: SIGN \$3.5M for Firefighting Equipment.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
34	P16	Department of Agriculture	IA: Conference Report is \$700k above you - \$500k more for Marketing and Branding, plus \$200k for Lab Services (which is inaccessible). For Marketing and Branding...you can veto the Agribusiness line at \$250k, or you could veto Classified Positions (\$461k) to get almost all of the increase back. In either case, they can use the growth in Other Operating Expenses to plug the hole. IB: New P34.9 (Pesticide Disposal) carves out up to \$100k of Department's funds to continue the pesticide disposal program. They could use half the Lab Services increase for this.	<u>IA: VETO Agribusiness (\$250k) special line</u> Offer the explanation that the Other Operating Expenses increase should be used to backfill this program. <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$50k for Farmers Market Phase II Property Acquisition and Expansion.</u> This would be for a new FMV appraisal. Rep. Norman makes an interesting point, which is that you could veto this and recommend that the appraisal be funded through one of the approved §90 lines. If Rep. White wouldn't see this as bad faith on our point, then it's worth considering. <u>§90: VETO \$500k Marketing and Branding, SIGN \$400k State Farmer's Market Infrastructure and \$600k Market Operations.</u> We can also veto the \$600k for Market Operations, but they would almost certainly run a deficit this year.	<b>(§90) VETO \$500k for Marketing and Branding and \$600k for Market Operations.</b> This agency generally is still held open for discussion. This would leave the Agribusiness special line (\$250k) alone, and would split the difference on Marketing and Branding...the agency would keep the new \$500k in IA, but would lose the "second" \$500k in Section 90.  Otherwise, SIGN.
35	P20	Clemson University (Public Service Activities)	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: VETO \$75k for Power Grid Research.</u> <u>§90: VETO \$4.1M for Advanced Plant Technology Lab and \$100k for Operating.</u> Vetoing the Operating line is an easy one. We thought pretty seriously about funding the Plant Lab during the Executive Budget process, though. That said, we've generally adhered to the "Deferred Maintenance only" argument here.	<b>(§90) VETO \$100k for Operating.</b>  <b>HOLD for further discussion/review on \$75k for Power Grid Research and \$4.1M for Advanced Plant Technology Lab.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
36	P21	South Carolina State University (Public Service Activities)	IA: Conference Report is about \$200k above Governor on Employer Contributions - no way to get at that. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
37	P24	Department of Natural Resources	<p>IA: Conference Report is about \$700k above you, primarily due to the additional law enforcement officers added by the Assembly (beyond those you proposed to add). This one's basically an up/down - I'm assuming you're for it, given your other positions on law enforcement staffing.</p> <p>IB: New P37.10 (Lake Paul Wallace Authority) organizes a new authority.</p>	<p><u>IA: Sign.</u>  <u>IB: VETO P37.10 (Lake Paul Wallace Authority).</u>  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: VETO \$600k Darlington County Watershed Project, \$150k Lake Wallace Special Purpose District, \$1M for Water Resources Other Operating, and \$1.26M for IT Equipment and Maintenance, and SIGN \$200k Drill Rig for Geological Survey, \$100k Savannah River Basin Study Phase II, and \$250k Catawba/Wateree River Basin Supply Study.</u></p> <p>We need more information from DNR on these - these recommendations are our first impressions.</p>	<p>(IB) VETO P37.10 (Lake Paul Wallace Authority).</p> <p>(90) VETO \$600k for Darlington County Watershed Project and \$150k for Lake Wallace Special Purpose District.</p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>
38	P26	Sea Grant Consortium	<p>IA: Conference Report is about \$160k above your request and about \$100k over the current year level. You could veto Administration-Other Operating Expenses at \$90,473.</p> <p>IB: As requested by Governor.</p>	<p><u>IA: VETO Administration-Other Operating Expenses at \$90,473.</u>  <u>IB: Sign.</u>  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: None.</u></p>	<p>(IA) HOLD for possible veto of entire section.</p>
39	P28	Department of Parks, Recreation & Tourism	<p>IA: For "Administrative Services," the Conference Report is \$100k above you for Classified Positions and \$260k above you for Other Operating Expenses. Neither change is accessible by veto. For "Tourism Sales &amp; Marketing," it's essentially your proposal, but with a new dedicated \$8M line for Destination-Specific Advertising. This is a significant increase over last year, but if you have to choose between \$8M and \$0, I expect you'd be for \$8M.</p> <p>IB: Revisions to P39.9 (Destination Specific Tourism and Marketing Transfer) address the PGA issue. Changes to P39.12 (PARD) are not ideal, but not worth a veto. P39.13 (Admission Fees and Charges) allows PRT to "impose reasonable fees and charges for admission to and/or use of park and recreational facilities" if the proceeds are used to support those facilities. It allows fees, but it's also a key part of the agency's self-sufficiency plan.</p>	<p><u>IA: Sign.</u>  <u>IB: Sign.</u>  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: VETO \$200k Southeastern Wildlife Exposition Regional Marketing and Advertising, \$30k Irmo Veterans Park, and \$100k Patriot Park Environmental Pavilion and SIGN \$250k for Kings Mountain Bridge Replacement.</u></p>	<p>(90) VETO \$30k for Irmo Veterans Park and \$100k for Patriot Park Environmental Pavilion.</p> <p>HOLD for decision on \$200k Southeastern Wildlife Exposition Regional Marketing and Advertising.</p>
40	P32	Department of Commerce	<p>IA: Conference Report is more than \$13M above your request (which was for \$12.3M). \$5M is on a standalone line for Local Economic Development Alliances. \$682k is on a standalone line for SC Manufacturing Extension Partnership. Closing Fund (the recurring one) is on a Special Item line at \$8M instead of \$5M - not accessible by veto. Finally, there is also a new \$4.5M line (NR) for Research.</p> <p>IB: P40.11 (Community Development Corporation Carry Forward) grants carry-forward authority that already exists in permanent law. P40.17 (Regional Economic Development Organizations) has been amended to transfer unexpended funds from prior years to the Rural Infrastructure Fund - this is objectionable, but vetoing this proviso is a losing proposition. P40.18 (Research Funds) is new, and serves as enabling legislation for the "Research" lines - \$4.46M NR and \$3.5M from the statewide revenue proviso. If you allow either of those lines to stand, then you need the new proviso.</p>	<p><u>IA: We need to talk about how much incentive money the Department of Commerce actually needs, and in what format.</u>  <u>IB: See above. Also, VETO P40.11.</u>  <u>CRF: None.</u>  <u>\$90: Two items are (1) \$3.5M for Research and (2) \$7M for Deal Closing Fund.</u></p>	<p>(IB) VETO P40.11 (Community Development Corporation Carry Forward).</p> <p>Otherwise, SIGN.</p>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
41	P34	Jobs-Economic Development Authority	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
42	P36	Patriots Point Development Authority	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: VETO \$393k for National Flight Academy.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
43	P40	Conservation Bank	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
56	R04	Public Service Commission	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
57	R06	Office of Regulatory Staff	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
58	R08	Workers' Compensation Commission	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
59	R12	State Accident Fund	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
60	R14	Patients' Compensation Fund	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
61	R16	Second Injury Fund	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
62	R20	Department of Insurance	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
63	R23	Board of Financial Institution	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
64	R28	Department of Consumer Affairs	IA: Conference Report is about \$65k above Governor, for Witness Fees. Not reachable. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
65	R36	Department of Labor, Licensing & Regulation	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
66	R40	Department of Motor Vehicles	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: P66.7 (Underutilized Offices) was amended, but not as recommended by Governor. It now requires that legislative delegations be notified before field office hours are reduced and also directs the agency to consider expanding hours in busier offices. Is the revised version better than nothing?	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Consider veto of P66.7. Probably Sign, though.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: SIGN \$89k for Programming/Training for Implementation of S.1031 (Demolishers Bill).</u> I'd have this one marked as a veto, but I understand we told the Assembly we were OK with this one (?).	<b>SIGN.</b>
81	R44	Department of Revenue	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: 1 proviso deleted without recommendation, but otherwise, as recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: SIGN \$4.4M for SCITS Implementation.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
82	R52	State Ethics Commission	IA: New \$25,800 line for Other Operating Expenses. If you're looking to give them more work, then I guess leave this alone? IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: SIGN \$25k for IT Upgrade.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
67	R60	Department of Employment & Workforce	IA: Conference Report doesn't include \$350k for ACT, but otherwise, as recommended by Governor. IB: 4 new provisos - DEW is asking for a veto on P67.9 (Benefit Amount) - we need to discuss the others with them as well.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: VETO P67.9 (Benefit Amount) and potentially others, pending discussion with DEW.</u> <u>CRF: SIGN \$43.2M for SUTA Tax Relief.</u> <u>§90: SIGN \$3M for SUTA Tax Relief.</u>	<b>(IB) VETO P67.9 (Benefit Amount).</b> Staff confirming this is the only issue.  Otherwise, SIGN.
83	S60	Procurement Review Panel	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
68A	U12	Department of Transportation	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor, except for new P68A.10 (Utilities Relocation), which sets up a study committee. DOT is fine with it.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: VETO \$60k for Smart Ride - Camden.</u>	<b>(\$90) VETO \$60k for Smart Ride - Camden.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
68B	U15	Infrastructure Bank Board	IA: Sign. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
68C	U20	County Transportation Funds	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>§90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>

Section	Dept Code	Dept Name	Discussion	Recommendations	Decisions
68D	U30	Division of Aeronautics	IA: Conference Report is slightly below Governor. IB: Conference Report retains a proviso the Governor recommended for deletion. Not worth vetoing.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
84	V04	Debt Service	IA: As recommended by Governor. IB: As recommended by Governor.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
86	X22	Aid to Subdivisions - State Treasurer	IA: As recommended by Governor (with one formula-driven value recalculated since January). IB: As recommended by Governor, with one new proviso, which is fine.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: VETO \$30M for Local Government Fund.</u> A veto for the additional \$30M would keep local governments at the current year level of \$182.6M. This is below the "required" 4.5%, but the budget leaves in place the proviso that suspends §6-27-30. Override likely.	<b>(\$90) HOLD for further discussion on \$30M for Local Government Fund.</b>
86A	X44	Aid to Subdivisions - Department of Revenue	IA: Homestead Property Tax Relief. IB: None.	<u>IA: Sign.</u> <u>IB: Sign (None).</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>SIGN.</b>
69	Y14	State Ports Authority	IA: None. IB: New P69.4 (Joint Project Office Funding Approval) and P69.5 (Dredge Disposal Material) provisos should be vetoed, per Legal.	<u>IA: Sign (None).</u> <u>IB: VETO P69.4 and P69.5.</u> <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: SIGN \$300M for Harbor Deepening Reserve Fund.</u>	<b>(IB) VETO P69.4 (Joint Project Office Funding Approval) and P69.5 (Dredge Disposal Material).</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
89	N/A	General Provisions	IA: None. IB: P89.108 (Means Test) directs agencies providing healthcare services to impose means testing where permissible, and to report on this by 1/1/12 - veto candidate, since the reporting date has passed - but the means testing rule continues? P89.127 (Law Enforcement Special Salary Increase) gives Class 1 officers making less than \$50k another 2% raise. Zais asked for a veto of P89.130 (Open Market for Bus Contract Vendors), which gives parts vendors access to individual shops so that they can undermine statewide contracts.	<u>IA: None.</u> <u>IB: VETO P89.130 (See Section 1 / H63 / Dept of Ed)</u> Review P89.108 with HHS/DSS and consider P89.127. <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: None.</u>	<b>(IB) VETO P89.130 (Open Market for Bus Contract Vendors), per Department of Education recommendation.</b>  Otherwise, SIGN.
90	N/A	Statewide Revenue	IA: None. IB: P90.7 (E-Verify) requires state agencies to certify their participation in E-Verify before accepting ARRA funds - it is obsolete. Need to take a hard look at P90.19 (National Mortgage Settlement). P90.20 (Non-recurring Revenue) is the primary NR proviso this year.	<u>IA: None.</u> <u>IB: VETO P90.7.</u> Need to look at P90.19 still. <u>CRF: None.</u> <u>\$90: See IB above.</u>	<b>(IB) VETO P90.7 (E-Verify) and P90.19 (National Mortgage Settlement).</b> P90.20 (Non-recurring Revenue) will have various items stricken.  Otherwise, SIGN.