

**From:** Taylor, Richele <RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov>  
**To:** Patel, SwatiSwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov  
**CC:** Godfrey, RobRobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov  
Adams, ChaneyChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov  
Schimsa, RebeccaRebeccaSchimsa@gov.sc.gov  
**Date:** 9/26/2016 4:43:37 PM  
**Subject:** Re: reimbursements

---

We can just say:

"The Movement Fund pays for costs associated with shaping policy debate and issues advocacy. "

The fund is a 527 and those are appropriate expenses.

Richele K Taylor  
Chief Legal Counsel  
Office of the Governor  
South Carolina  
Direct 803-734-8465

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2016, at 4:32 PM, Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov> wrote:

I think it is better if we just answer it. What would your proposed response be?

---

**From:** Taylor, Richele  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2016 4:28 PM  
**To:** Patel, Swati  
**Cc:** Godfrey, Rob; Adams, Chaney; Schimsa, Rebecca  
**Subject:** Re: reimbursements

I am too - thank you Chaney.

I've placed a call for some guidance and haven't heard back on the Movement Fund. But, is it appropriate to say campaign related payment fund decisions are made by staff outside of the Governor's office? Since we don't make the payments or choose the fund?

Richele K Taylor  
Chief Legal Counsel  
Office of the Governor  
South Carolina  
Direct 803-734-8465

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2016, at 4:20 PM, Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov> wrote:

I'm fine with all responses so far.

---

From: Godfrey, Rob  
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 4:16 PM  
To: Adams, Chaney; Taylor, Richele; Schimsa, Rebecca; Patel, Swati  
Subject: RE: reimbursements

I meant to loop you in here, too, Swati, since we discussed the request earlier. My bad.

**Rob Godfrey**  
**Office of Governor Nikki Haley**  
**Desk: (803) 734-2028 | Mobile: (803) 429-6068**

---

From: Godfrey, Rob  
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 4:08 PM  
To: Adams, Chaney; Taylor, Richele; Schimsa, Rebecca  
Subject: RE: reimbursements

Thanks Chaney. I think this looks mostly right.

One thing though – we have to close this out. Seanna sent this request 4 hours ago.

**Rob Godfrey**  
**Office of Governor Nikki Haley**  
**Desk: (803) 734-2028 | Mobile: (803) 429-6068**

---

From: Adams, Chaney  
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 3:31 PM  
To: Taylor, Richele; Schimsa, Rebecca  
Cc: Godfrey, Rob  
Subject: RE: reimbursements

Richele and Becca, will you all please review the following answers and suggest an answer for the Movement Fund question highlighted in yellow?

So, I see from Gavin's article, and by looking at ethics filings, that Haley has not reimbursed SLED, DNR or DPS for campaign-related security expenses since January 2015. Why did those payments stop? According to Gavin's article, no one even bothered to review the expenses after she was re-elected. Why?

Quote from Haley press secretary Chaney Adams, "When the governor stopped running an active campaign for re-election by the end of 2014, she stopped regularly attending campaign events, and a quarterly review of campaign-related security costs became inefficient - in 2015, the total reimbursable costs for the year were only \$142.23. These costs were paid in conjunction with recent reimbursable costs, and, now that she has begun attending more campaign events for other candidates this year, a quarterly review of security costs will continue."

On Background: Since January 2015, state law enforcement agencies (SLED, DPS, DNR) have been reimbursed for the following campaign-related events:

What are the 13 trips that Haley is now reimbursing for since January 2015 and how do those reimbursements break down?

- Bevin for Governor (KY)
- McCrory for Governor (NC)
- Hanaway for Governor (MO)
- Collins for House

- Rubio for President
- Huggins for House
- Boyd for Senate
- Skipper for Senate
- Pyle for Senate
- Duncan for House
- Climer for Senate
- Talley for Senate
- Comstock for Congress (VA)

Why is the Movement Fund paying for it this time?

Have those reimbursements been made?

Yes.

---

From: Adams, Chaney  
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 11:43 AM  
To: Godfrey, Rob  
Cc: Taylor, Richele; Schimsa, Rebecca  
Subject: FW: reimbursements

FYI

---

From: Adcox, Seanna M. [<mailto:SAdcox@ap.org>]  
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 11:27 AM  
To: Adams, Chaney  
Subject: reimbursements

So, I see from Gavin's article, and by looking at ethics filings, that Haley has not reimbursed SLED, DNR or DPS for campaign-related security expenses since January 2015. Why did those payments stop? According to Gavin's article, no one even bothered to review the expenses after she was re-elected. Why?

The explanation can't be that she wasn't running for a second term.

As I reported in November 2013: Herb Hayden said he insisted the agreement specify that the governor reimburse for traveling to anyone's campaign event, not just her own, according to his reading of the law. Going forward, she'll do that, he said.

¶ "It says an election campaign. That's not limited just to her campaign," he said.

What are the 13 trips that Haley is now reimbursing for since January 2015 and how do those reimbursements break down? Why is the Movement Fund paying for it this time? Have those reimbursements been made?

Seanna