

From: Kraninger, Kathleen <Kathleen.Kraninger@dhs.gov>

To: bgoodrich@gov.sc.gov bgoodrich@gov.sc.gov
Earley, Jr., Jimmy E Jimmy.Earley@SCDMV.net
Adams, Marcia S Marcia.Adams@SCDMV.net

CC: Greene, Katie Kathryn.Greene@dhs.gov
Williams, Darrell Darrell.Williams1@dhs.gov
Lepage, Frank Frank.Lepage@dhs.gov
Smith, Gary Gary.smith@dhs.gov
Street, Stacey Stacey.Street@dhs.gov
Tolson, Tammy Tammy.Tolson@dhs.gov

Date: 3/27/2009 8:37:32 AM

Subject: Re: proposed path forward for DLSGP grant

Good morning. Just checking in to see where SC is on a decision. We meet with the Secretary today and definitely want your response even if letters haven't yet been exchanged. Thank you. Kathy

Kathleen Kraninger
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy (Screening Coordination)
Department of Homeland Security
202-447-3663

From: Kraninger, Kathleen
To: 'Blair Goodrich' ; Jimmy.Earley@SCDMV.net ; Marcia.Adams@SCDMV.net
Cc: Greene, Katie; Williams, Darrell; Lepage, Frank; Smith, Gary; Street, Stacey; Tolson, Tammy
Sent: Wed Mar 25 17:03:01 2009
Subject: proposed path forward for DLSGP grant

Thanks again for your time today. Below is the language we discussed on the phone – changes that could be made to the South Carolina letter that would allow us to approve the grant packages. Attached is the letter we would propose to send back acknowledging your letter. Look forward to hearing back from you. Kathy

South Carolina letter dated February 27, 2009, signed by Marcia Adams

- Two sentences are problematic: "Specifically, South Carolina **cannot** agree to 'prioritizing achieving 15 of the 18 benchmarks' as outlined in the amended grant language of October, 6, 2008. **Instead...**" and "Further, South Carolina cannot agree to the October, 6, 2008 amended Special Condition 10 of the Award Continuation Sheet of the grant agreement requiring the state to work towards 15 of the 18 benchmarks outlined in the REAL ID Material Compliance Checklist by December 31, 2009."
- They are problematic because the special conditions require agreement. However, the special conditions allow for reporting on why benchmarks cannot be achieved including for reasons of state law or state regulation.
- SC can edit those sentences consistent with the following and the letter will be acceptable: "Specifically, South Carolina cannot agree to 'prioritizing achieving 15 of the 18 benchmarks as outlined in the amended grant language of October, 6, 2008. Instead South Carolina is agreeing to use grant funds to upgrade the physical security features of its credentials **and changing its processes to take a photo earlier in the process, prioritizing benchmarks 1 and 9.** This initiative is consistent with the principle of increased security reflected in the REAL ID Act, but does not constitute compliance or implementation of the Act. **Further, due to state law, South Carolina will not prioritize or work towards x and y benchmarks. Special Condition 10 notes that a state should provide information as to why a benchmark cannot be achieved.**"

Kathleen Kraninger
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy (Screening Coordination)
Department of Homeland Security
202-447-3663 office
202/282-8420 fax