

Agenda Item 3.04.B**PROPOSAL FOR CONDUCTING STUDY OF DEFERRED MAINTENANCE**

In 1994, the Commission on Higher Education joined the Budget and Control Board in conducting a study of deferred maintenance at South Carolina's state-owned facilities. The study, Deferred Maintenance, an Analysis of South Carolina's Facilities, identified approximately \$173 million of deferred maintenance needs for educational and general facilities at South Carolina's state-supported colleges and universities. Based on this study, the Commission adopted an implementation plan for the elimination of the identified backlog of deferred maintenance for colleges and universities. The plan, which required an appropriation of approximately \$42,000,000 per year for a period of four years, has not been funded.

Although it has been approximately five years since the study was completed, the 1994 study continues to be used as an indicator of the extent of deferred maintenance needs at the colleges and universities. According to a nationally accepted research report by the American Public Works Association, *Plan, Predict, Prevent: How to Reinvest in Public Buildings*, buildings generally deteriorate at a rate of about one-percent to two-percent per year. When maintenance is deferred, however, the deterioration increases to a rate of about four-percent per year. Therefore, the staff is concerned that the 1994 study is no longer a valid reference for identifying current deferred maintenance needs.

At its meeting on April 1, 1999, the Commission requested that the staff look into the possibility of the Budget and Control Board initiating an update of the 1994 study to account for changes in the backlog of deferred maintenance at state-owned facilities. An updated study would serve as a useful tool in determining the current deferred maintenance needs of state facilities, especially those at South Carolina's state-supported colleges and universities.

On October 26, Mr. Rick Kelley, Executive Director of the Budget and Control Board agreed to work with CHE in developing a plan to address deferred maintenance issues. CHE facilities staff and Budget and Control Board staff met on November 5 to discuss a plan for moving forward. At that meeting it was agreed that a proposal for conducting a study of deferred maintenance be developed, including a request for funding of the study by the Legislature. The extent of the study would depend on the amount of funding made available by the Legislature. An outline of the proposal follows.

PROPOSAL:

The staff proposes that the Commission request \$300,000 in state funding to conduct a study of deferred maintenance needs of public colleges and universities in 2000-2001.

- Type of Study - Engineering Study to identify critical deferred maintenance needs
- Buildings to Include:
 - Educational and General (E&G) Buildings (50% or more E&G)
 - >3,000 Sq. Ft.
 - Last major renovation (50% or more of Replacement Cost) was prior to 1984
- Buildings to Exclude:
 - Non-E&G Buildings (auxiliary buildings)
 - "Service and/or Utility" Buildings
 - < 3,000 Sq. Ft.
 - Deferred maintenance problems corrected since 1884
- Committee appointed to develop Request For Proposal (RFP), Select Vendor, and Accept Study:
 - Facilities Advisory Committee

RATING COMMITTEE REPORT AND INSTITUTIONAL SCORES**Exceptional Capital Projects****Previous Process for Capital Projects:**

- **Bond Bill every other year**
- **CHE required to prioritize projects**
- **Scheduled Bond process discontinued**
- **Resulting in no formal process for submitting capital projects for State funding**
- **CHE Exceptional Capital Project Process approved three years ago to allow institutions to submit capital projects to CHE**
- **1998 Capital Projects were submitted to HW&M Committee and General Assembly without rank or scores**
- **In 1998 Budget Process, HW&M Committee members requested that CHE rank the capital projects**
- **1999 Capital Projects were submitted to HW&M Committee and General Assembly without rank or scores**
- **HW&M Committee members again requested CHE Rank projects**
- **CHE action December 2, 1999, recommended each project be rated and scored by
Rating Committee based on application of rating criteria**

APPLICATION OF RATING CRITERIA

<u>Criterion</u>	<u>Points</u>
------------------	---------------

1) Project represents the following type of space:

• Instruction, Library, Research, Infrastructure	30
• Academic Support	20
• Student Services	15
• Institutional Support	10
• Non-Educational & General (E&G)	0

A) Points were assigned based on the percentage of proposed use.

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the joint CHE and B&CB 1994 Study of Deferred Maintenance, or other objective documentation provided by the institution. **up to 25**

A) The 1994 study was used as a baseline for applying this criterion because it was the only consistent, objective documentation available for all institutions. The Committee reviewed the additional documentation provided by the institutions and applied additional points based on the documentation.

<u>1994 Score</u>	<u>Baseline Points Assigned</u>
90-100	10
80-89	15
70-79	20
Less than 70	25
Multiple Buildings/Multiple Scores	20
Infrastructure	25
Project does not address 1994 study	0

3) Documentation that the project corresponds to the institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs. **up to 25**

A) All projects submitted were found to correspond to the institutions' missions, and to enhance institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs.

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives to the project have been considered, that the project represents the best long term resolution of the problem, and that the total estimated cost, including each component, can be documented as realistic. **up to 10**

A) Points were assigned based on the 1994 Study and documentation provided by the institution.

5) Documentation that space programmed for the proposed project is based on the application of objective space-planning guidelines. up to 10

A) Points were assigned based on the documentation submitted.

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports (CHE consultants, institutional consultants, specialized accrediting reports, CHE staff evaluation, etc.) that existing space is unsatisfactory and unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns. up to 10

A) Points were assigned based on the documentation submitted. Examples of health/safety concerns include external or internal documentation of exposure to asbestos and/or other harmful substances, air quality problems, threat of physical danger associated with condition of facility, and life/safety issues, etc.

- | | |
|---|----|
| • Documented through external reports: | 10 |
| • Documented by institution without external documentation - 66% of total points, rounded up: | 7 |
| • Not applicable: | 0 |

All scores were totaled and rounded to the nearest whole number.

Rating Committee Members:

Mr. Eugene D. Allen, Professional Engineer and President of Palmetto-Richland Foundation, representing the Private Sector

Dr. Tony Lolas, Director of Business Management, Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC), representing DHEC

Dr. Hardy Merritt, author of the 1994 Study of Deferred Maintenance, Management Consultant to the Budget and Control Board (B&CB), representing B&CB.

Mr. Donald Peterson, Associate Executive Director for Finance and IRM, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education (SBTCE), representing SBTCE

Col. William J. "Jack" Whitener, Retired, former Chair of CHE and member of CHE's Facilities Committee, former Dean of USC-Union, representing the higher education community at large.

Staff:

Mr. John E. Smalls, Director of Finance, Facilities, and Statistical Services, CHE

Ms. Lynn W. Metcalf, Program Manager for Facilities, Finance, and IPEDS

INSTITUTION		PROJECT TYPE	STATE FUNDS REQUESTED	PROJECT SCORE
PROJECT NAME				
THE CITADEL				
Padget-Thomas Barracks/Leadership Lab.*		Replacement Building	16,500,000	100
Capers Hall		Replacement Building	16,500,000	104
Various Campus Bldgs.		Roof Repl./Mech. Systems, etc.	2,120,000	85
Institution Total:			\$35,120,000	
CLEMSON				
Civil Engineering/Textiles Building		Replacement Building	26,000,000	102
Wellness Center		Renovation/Expansion	4,000,000	72
Newman Hall		Renovation	3,000,000	90
Municipal Complex		Addition/Renovation	1,939,080	52
Institution Total:			\$34,939,080	
COASTAL CAROLINA				
Science Center		Addition/Renovation	25,225,000	105
EM Singleton Building		Renovation	5,350,000	90
Institution Total:			\$30,575,000	
COLLEGE OF CHARLESTON				
Science Building		Renovation/New Construction	38,506,000	110
School of Arts		Addition/Renovation	3,000,000	91
Stern Student Center		Addition/Renovation	5,500,000	67
Robert Scott Small Library		Renovation	2,000,000	82
Institution Total:			\$49,006,000	
FRANCIS MARION				
Sch of Education/School of Business		New Building	8,500,000	87
Institution Total:			\$8,500,000	
LANDER				
Various Campus Bldgs.		HVAC Repairs & Upgrades	485,000	100
Math/Science Complex		Repair Brick Veneer	150,000	92
Genesis Hall		Renovation	125,000	62
Institution Total:			\$760,000	
MUSC				
College of Dental Medicine		Replacement Building	19,000,000	110
College of Health Professions		Replacement Building	30,000,000	100
College of Pharmacy		Renovation	11,600,000	110
Research Building III		New Building	37,500,000	107
Institution Total:			\$98,100,000	
SC STATE				
Lowman Hall		Renovation/addition	7,700,000	100
Whittaker Library		Addition/Renovation	12,000,000	102
Green Student Center		Renovation/Expansion	8,000,000	77
Various Campus Buildings		Repairs, roofs, HVAC, Struct.	7,500,000	107
Institution Total:			\$35,200,000	

*This project was included in The Citadel's Exceptional Capital Requests for 1999-2000. It was approved by CHE with the provision that The Citadel obtain a waiver from the proviso that prevents appropriated funds from being used for residence halls and other auxiliary enterprises and that, if a waiver were obtained, this project would be considered as an exception and not as a setting a precedent. The Citadel did not receive a waiver but the Legislature approved \$8 million in state funds for this project, noting that it should be considered an exception.

INSTITUTION		PROJECT TYPE	STATE FUNDS REQUESTED	PROJECT SCORE
PROJECT NAME				
USC-COLUMBIA				
Energy Master Plan		Upgrade Energy Distr. System	6,500,000	110
Thomas Cooper Library		Renovation/Expansion	10,000,000	104
Historic Buildings-Gibbes Green		Continuation of Renovations	12,500,000	104
Institution Total:			\$29,000,000	
USC-SCHOOL OF MEDICINE				
Building 3 - Office of Med. Ed. & Acad. Aff.		Renovation	1,650,000	76
Medical Library		Renovation	1,250,000	86
Institution Total:			2,900,000	
USC-AIKEN				
Convocation Center/Lecture Hall		New Building	6,000,000	80
Institution Total:			\$6,000,000	
USC-SPARTANBURG				
Library/Technology Center		New Building	19,500,000	93
Administration Building		Repair/Replace/Renovate	3,000,000	96
Institution Total:			\$22,500,000	
USC-BEAUFORT				
New River Facility		New Building	1,500,000	62
Beaufort College Building		Renovation	415,500	82
Institution Total:			\$1,915,500	
USC-LANCASTER				
Medford Library		Renovation/Expansion	1,950,000	101
Institution Total:			\$1,950,000	
WINTHROP				
Peabody Health/Phy. Ed. Bldg.		Addition/Renovation	9,000,000	110
Waterproofing/Roof Repair-Rutledge Building		Repair/Replace	964,636	104
Dacus Library		New Building	20,000,000	87
14 Campus Buildings		Replace Windows	1,779,000	85
Sims Science Building Complex		Renovation	2,500,000	95
Institution Total:			\$34,243,636	
AIKEN TC				
Class Laboratories and Library		Renovation	5,118,479	96
Chilled Water Plant Replacement		Renovation	971,600	107
Institution Total:			\$6,090,079	
CENTRAL CAROLINA TC				
Various Campus Buildings		Repairs/Replacement	3,135,630	101
Institution Total:			\$3,135,630	
CHESTERFIELD-MARLBORO TC				
Parking Areas		Renovation	125,000	72
Institution Total:			\$125,000	
DENMARK TC				
Engineering Technology Ctr.-Bldg. 400		Renovation	669,860	99
Renovation/Deferred Maintenance		Renovation	1,447,700	96
Institution Total:			\$2,117,560	

INSTITUTION	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	STATE FUNDS REQUESTED	PROJECT SCORE
FLORENCE-DARLINGTON TC				
	Advanced Applied Technology Center	New Building	12,000,000	72
	Institution Total:		\$12,000,000	
GREENVILLE TC				
	Industrial Complex HVAC System	Renovation/Replacement	4,500,000	97
	Institution Total:		\$4,500,000	
HORRY-GEORGETOWN TC				
	Grand Strand Campus	Renovation	1,927,650	101
	Institution Total:		\$1,927,650	
MIDLANDS TC				
	Northeast Campus Classroom/Stu. Ser.Bldg.	New Building	15,200,000	69
	Institution Total:		\$15,200,000	
ORANGEBURG-CALHOUN TC				
	Learning Resource Center	Renovation	500,000	78
	Institution Total:		\$500,000	
PIEDMONT TC				
	Business Industrial Technology Bldg.	New Building	3,676,800	72
	Various Campus Facilities	Repair/Replace./Asbestos/HVAC	2,040,000	100
	Institution Total:		\$5,716,800	
SPARTANBURG TC				
	Student Life Building	New Building	3,508,000	93
	Institution Total:		\$3,508,000	
TC OF THE LOW COUNTRY				
	Buildings 10 and 14 (Classrooms)	Renovation	1,191,000	107
	Building 8	Renovation/Code Compliance	1,197,800	84
	Institution Total:		\$2,388,800	
TRI-COUNTY TC				
	Anderson, Miller, & Pickens Hall	Renovate & Reconfigure	2,200,000	97
	Institution Total:		\$2,200,000	
TRIDENT TC				
	Industrial/Economic Development Complex	Renovate & Reconfigure	7,000,000	103
	Institution Total:		\$7,000,000	
WILLIAMSBURG TC				
	Technology/Student Center	New Building	6,400,000	71
	Institution Total:		\$6,400,000	
YORK TC				
	Classroom Bldg. In Chester County	New Building	6,400,000	78
	Institution Total:		\$6,400,000	
GRAND TOTAL			\$469,918,735	

Institution Name: The Citadel

Project: Padget-Thomas Barracks/Leadership Lab State Funds Requested:

Project Type: Replacement - New Constr. In Exist. Footprint **\$16,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Academic-Other*</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	20
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	25
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	10
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		90
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	10
Total		100

*The Legislature has determined that this facility has a unique E&G Component

Institution Name: The Citadel

Project: Capers Hall State Funds Requested:

Project Type: Replacement - New Constr. In Exist. Footprint \$ 16,500,000

Points

1) Type of Space: Instruction

Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u></u>
Student Services	15	<u></u>
Institutional Support	10	<u></u>
Non-E&G	0	<u></u>

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: up to 25 20

3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: up to 25 25

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: up to 10 8.5

5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines up to 10 10

Sub-total 93.5

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10 10

Total 103.5

Institution Name: The Citadel

Project: Roof & Mechanical System Replacement State Funds Requested:

Project Type: Repair/Replacement \$ 2,120,000

Points

1) Type of Space: Instructional/Infrastructure/Other

Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>20</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u></u>
Student Services	15	<u></u>
Institutional Support	10	<u></u>
Non-E&G	0	<u></u>

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: up to 25 20

3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: up to 25 25

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: up to 10 10

5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines up to 10 10

Sub-total 85

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10

Total 85

Institution Name: Clemson University

Project: Civil Engineering/Textiles Building State Funds Requested

Project Type: New Construction **\$26,000,000**

Points

1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction, Research</u>		
Instruction, Library			
Research, Infrastructure	30		<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20		
Student Services	15		
Institutional Support	10		
Non-E&G	0		
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
	Sub-total		95
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u>7</u>
	Total		102

Institution Name: Clemson University

Project: Fike Recreation/Wellness Center State Funds Requested

Project Type: Expansion/Renovation of Exist. Facility **\$4,000,000**

Points

1) Type of Space: Student Services

Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	<u>15</u>
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: up to 25 15

3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: up to 25 25

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: up to 10 7

5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines up to 10 10

Sub-total 72

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10

Total 72

Institution Name: Clemson University

Project: Newman Hall State Funds Requested

Project Type: Renovation of Existing Facility **\$3,000,000**

Points

1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>		
	Instruction, Library		
	Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
	Academic Support		
	(not including libraries)	20	<u></u>
	Student Services	15	<u></u>
	Institutional Support	10	<u></u>
	Non-E&G	0	<u></u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>15</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
	Sub-total		90

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u></u>
	Total	90

Institution Name: Clemson University

Project: Municipal Services Center State Funds Requested

Project Type: Addition and Renovation of Existing Facility **\$1,939,080**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	10
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	0
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	7
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		52

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports
that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or
unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity
because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10

Total 52

Institution Name: Coastal Carolina University

Project: Smith Science Center Addition & Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Addition and Renovation to Exist. Facility **\$25,225,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		95
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		105

Institution Name: Coastal Carolina University

Project: EM Singleton Building Renovation State Funds Requested:

Project Type: Renovate Existing Facility \$ 5,350,000

Points

1) Type of Space:	<u>Institutional Support</u>		
Instruction, Library			
Research, Infrastructure	30		
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20		
Student Services	15		
Institutional Support	10		10
Non-E&G	0		
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		25
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		10
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		10
	Sub-total		80
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		10
			90

Institution Name: College of Charleston

Project: Science Center Repair and Expansion State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation/Construction of New Facility **\$38,506,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		110

Institution Name: College of Charleston

Project: Expansion of School of the Arts Building State Funds Requested:

Project Type: Expansion of Existing Facility **\$3,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support		
(not including libraries)	20	<u></u>
Student Services	15	<u></u>
Institutional Support	10	<u></u>
Non-E&G	0	<u></u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>17.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		91
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u></u>
Total		91

Institution Name: College of Charleston

Project: Stern Student Center Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Addition to and Renovation of Existing Facility **\$5,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Student Services</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	15
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	10
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	7
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		67
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	
Total		67

Institution Name: College of Charleston

Project: Renovation of Robert S. Small Library State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation of Existing Facility **\$2,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>10</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		82
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		82

Institution Name: Francis Marion University

Project: School of Education/School of Business Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Building **\$8,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>15</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		87
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		87

Institution Name: Lander University

Project: HVAC Repair and Controls Upgrade State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Deferred Maintenance **\$485,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		100

Institution Name: Lander University

Project: Math/Science Building Brick Veneer Repair State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Deferred Maintenance **\$150,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>10</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		85
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		92

Institution Name: Lander University

Project: Genesis Hall Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation **\$125,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Academic Support</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>20</u>
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>0</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		62
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	
Total		62

Institution Name: Medical University of SC

Project: College of Dental Medicine Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$19,000,000**

			Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>		
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30		<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20		<u> </u>
Student Services	15		<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10		<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0		<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
Sub-total			100
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
Total			110

Institution Name: Medical University of SC

Project: College of Health Professions Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$30,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		100

Institution Name: Medical University of SC

Project: College of Pharmacy Renovations State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation **\$11,600,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		110

Institution Name: Medical University of SC

Project: Research Building III State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$37,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Research</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		97

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports
that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or
unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity
because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10 10

Total 107

Institution Name: SC State University

Project: Lowman Hall Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation **\$7,700,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Academic Support</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	_____
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>20</u>
Student Services	15	_____
Institutional Support	10	_____
Non-E&G	0	_____
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		90
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		100

Institution Name: SC State University

Project: Renovation and Addition to Whittaker Library State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation/Addition **\$12,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Library</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		92
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		102

Institution Name: SC State University

Project: Green Student Center Renovation and Expansion State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation/Addition **\$8,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Student Services</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	15
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	20
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	7
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		77
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	
Total		77

Institution Name: SC State University

Project: Repairs to Plumbing, Electrical, HVAC, Roofs, etc. State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repairs/Replacement **\$7,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		107

Institution Name: University of South Carolina - Columbia

Project: Central Energy Distribution State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Replace/Upgrade Utility Distribution System **\$6,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		107

Institution Name: University of South Carolina - Columbia

Project: Thomas Cooper Library Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation/Addition **\$10,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Library</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		93.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		100.5

Institution Name: University of South Carolina - Columbia

Project: Historic Buildings Renovations- Gibbes Green State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovations **\$12,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		93.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		100.5

Institution Name: USC-School of Medicine

Project: Building 3 Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$1,650,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Academic Support</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>20</u>
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>12.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		76
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	
Total		76

Institution Name: USC-School of Medicine

Project: Medical Library Renovation/Expansion/Reorientation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair/Expansion **\$1,250,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Library</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>12.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		86
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	
Total		<u>86</u>

Institution Name: USC-Aiken

Project: Convocation Center/Lecture Hall State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$6,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Student Services/Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>8</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u>11</u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>17.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		80
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		80

Institution Name: USC-Spartanburg

Project: Administration Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$3,000,000**

Points

1) Type of Space: Instruction/Academic Support/Institutional Support

Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>9</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>4</u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u>5</u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>

- | | | |
|---|----------|-------------|
| 2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: | up to 25 | <u>22.5</u> |
| 3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: | up to 25 | <u>25</u> |
| 4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: | up to 10 | <u>10</u> |
| 5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines | up to 10 | <u>10</u> |

Sub-total 85.5

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
--	----------	-----------

Total 95.5

Institution Name: USC-Spartanburg

Project: Library/Technology Center State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction/Renovation Repair of Exist. Facility **\$19,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Library, Academic Support/Stu. Ser./Institutional Sup.</u>	
	Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure 30	<u>27</u>
	Academic Support (not including libraries) 20	<u>2</u>
	Student Services 15	<u> </u>
	Institutional Support 10	<u> </u>
	Non-E&G 0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>15</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>7</u>
	Sub-total	83
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
	Total	93

Institution Name: USC-Beaufort

Project: Beaufort College Building Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovate/Repair **\$415,500**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	10
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	20
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	10
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		75
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	7
Total		82

Institution Name: USC-Beaufort

Project: New River Facility State Funds Requested:

Project Type: New Construction **\$1,500,000**

Points

1) Type of Space: Instruction/Acad. Support/Inst. Support

Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>20</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u></u>
Student Services	15	<u></u>
Institutional Support	10	<u></u>
Non-E&G	0	<u></u>

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: up to 25 0

3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: up to 25 25

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: up to 10 7

5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines up to 10 10

Sub-total 62

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10

Total 62

Institution Name: USC-Lancaster

Project: Medford Library Renovation/Expansion State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair/Expansion **\$1,950,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Library</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>17.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		91
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		101

Institution Name: Winthrop University

Project: Peabody Health/Physical Education Facility State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovate/Replace/Demolish **\$9,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		110

40

Institution Name: Winthrop University

Project: Rutledge Waterproofing Project State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair **\$964,636**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		93.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		103.5

Institution Name: Winthrop University

Project: Fourteen Building Window Replacement Project State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Replacement **\$1,779,000**

			Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Other</u>		
	Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>20</u>
	Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
	Student Services	15	<u> </u>
	Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
	Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
Sub-total			85
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u> </u>
Total			85

42

Institution Name: Winthrop University

Project: Dacus Library State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction - Replacement **\$20,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Library</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u> 15</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u> 25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u> 7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u> 10</u>
Sub-total		87
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		87

Institution Name: Winthrop University

Project: Sims Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$2,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support		
(not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>20</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		95
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		95

Institution Name: Aiken TC

Project: Class Lab & Library Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$5,118,479**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Library</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>15</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		88.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		95.5

45

Institution Name: Aiken TC

Project: Replacement of Existing Campus Chilled Water Plant State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Replacement **\$971,600**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		107

46

Institution Name: Central Carolina TC

Project: Repairs and Renovations Various Campus Bldgs. State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$3,135,630**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Library, Student Ser./ Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>24</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u>2</u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>22.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		93.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		100.5

Institution Name: Chesterfield-Marlboro TC

Project: Parking Area Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation **\$125,000**

Points

- | | | |
|---|----------|----|
| 1) Type of Space: _____ | | |
| Instruction, Library
Research, Infrastructure | 30 | |
| Academic Support
(not including libraries) | 20 | |
| Student Services | 15 | |
| Institutional Support | 10 | 10 |
| Non-E&G | 0 | |
| | | |
| 2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: | up to 25 | 20 |
| | | |
| 3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: | up to 25 | 25 |
| | | |
| 4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: | up to 10 | 7 |
| | | |
| 5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines | up to 10 | 10 |
| | | |
| Sub-total | | 72 |

Extra Points:

- | | | |
|--|----------|----|
| Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: | up to 10 | |
| | | |
| Total | | 72 |

48

Institution Name: Denmark TC

Project: Renovation of Building 400 State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation **\$669,860**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>20</u>
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>22.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		87.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>0</u>
Total		87.5

Institution Name: Denmark TC

Project: Deferred Maintenance Needs State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation **\$1,447,700**

Points

1) Type of Space: _____

Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	_____
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	_____ 20
Student Services	15	_____
Institutional Support	10	_____
Non-E&G	0	_____

- | | | |
|---|----------|------------|
| 2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: | up to 25 | _____ 22.5 |
| 3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: | up to 25 | _____ 25 |
| 4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: | up to 10 | _____ 8.5 |
| 5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines | up to 10 | _____ 10 |

Sub-total 86

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	_____ 10
--	----------	----------

Total 96

50

Institution Name: Florence-Darlington TC

Project: Advance Applied Technology Center State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$12,000,000**

Points

1) Type of Space: Instruction/Academic Support

Instruction, Library			
Research, Infrastructure	30		28
Academic Support			
(not including libraries)	20		2
Student Services	15		
Institutional Support	10		
Non-E&G	0		

2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: up to 25 0

3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: up to 25 25

4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: up to 10 7

5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines up to 10 10

Sub-total 72

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns: up to 10

Total 72

Institution Name: Greenville TC

Project: Industrial Complex Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair Existing Facility **\$4,500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>27</u>
Academic Support		
(not including libraries)	20	<u>2</u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>17.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		90
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		97

Institution Name: Horry-Georgetown TC

Project: Grand Strand Campus Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$1,927,650**

			Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Library/Institutional Support</u>		
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30		<u>26</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20		
Student Services	15		
Institutional Support	10		<u>1.5</u>
Non-E&G	0		
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>22.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
Sub-total			93.5
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u>7</u>
Total			100.5

Institution Name: Midlands Technical College

Project: Northeast Classroom/Student Services Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$15,200,000**

Points

1) Type of Space: Instruction/Academic Support

Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>19.5</u>
Academic Support		
(not including libraries)	20	<u>7</u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>

- | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|
| 2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution: | up to 25 | <u>0</u> |
| 3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs: | up to 25 | <u>25</u> |
| 4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic: | up to 10 | <u>7</u> |
| 5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines | up to 10 | <u>10</u> |

Sub-total 68.5

Extra Points:

Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
--	----------	-------------------

Total 68.5

Institution Name: Orangeburg-Calhoun TC

Project: Learning Resource Center Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$500,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Student Services/Non-E&G</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	10
Institutional Support	10	
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	17.5
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	8.5
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		71
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	7
Total		78

Institution Name: Piedmont Technical College

Project: Business Industrial Technology Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$3,676,800**

			Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>		
Instruction, Library			
Research, Infrastructure	30		30
Academic Support			
(not including libraries)	20		
Student Services	15		
Institutional Support	10		
Non-E&G	0		
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		0
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		7
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		10
Sub-total			72
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		
Total			72

Institution Name: Piedmont TC

Project: Campus Deferred Maintenance Project State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Replacement **\$2,040,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Infrastructure/Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>20</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		90
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		100

Institution Name: Spartanburg TC

Project: Student Life Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$3,508,000**

			<u>Points</u>
1) Type of Space:	<u>Student Services/Acad. Support/ Institutional Support</u>		
	Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
	Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>10</u>
	Student Services	15	<u>8</u>
	Institutional Support	10	
	Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>22.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
	Sub-total		85.5
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u>7</u>
	Total		92.5

Institution Name: TC of the Low Country

Project: Renovation Buildings 10 and 14 State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$1,191,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		100
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		107

Institution Name: TC of the Low Country

Project: Building 8 Renovation State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Repair/Renovation **\$1,197,800**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
Student Services	15	
Institutional Support	10	10
Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	20
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	25
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	8.5
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	10
Sub-total		73.5
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	10
Total		83.5

60

Institution Name: Tri-County TC

Project: Renovation of Anderson, Miller, and Pickens Halls State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair \$2,200,000

			Points
1) Type of Space:	Instruction/Academic Support/ Student Services		
	Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>22</u>
	Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	
	Student Services	15	<u>4</u>
	Institutional Support	10	
	Non-E&G	0	
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25		<u>17.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25		<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10		<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10		<u>10</u>
	Sub-total		<u>87</u>
Extra Points:			
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10		<u>10</u>
	Total		<u>97</u>

Institution Name: Trident Technical College

Project: Complex for Industrial/Economic Development State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: Renovation/Repair **\$7,000,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instructional</u>	
Instruction, Library		
Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>30</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>22.5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>8.5</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		96
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
Total		103

Institution Name: Williamsburg TC

Project: Technology Building State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$6,400,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Student Services/ Academic Support/Non-E&G</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>27</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u>2</u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>0</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institu- tional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alterna- tives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		71
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u> </u>
Total		71

Institution Name: York TC

Project: Classroom Building at Chester State Funds Requested: _____

Project Type: New Construction **\$6,400,000**

		Points
1) Type of Space:	<u>Instruction/Library/Student Services/Institutional Support</u>	
Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	<u>26</u>
Academic Support (not including libraries)	20	<u> </u>
Student Services	15	<u> </u>
Institutional Support	10	<u> </u>
Non-E&G	0	<u> </u>
2) Degree to which proposed project addresses deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the 1994 study, or other objective documentation provided by institution:	up to 25	<u>5</u>
3) Documentation that project corresponds to institution's mission and enhances institutional effectiveness and efficiencies with respect to programs and/or costs:	up to 25	<u>25</u>
4) Documentation that all reasonable alternatives have been considered, and that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the cost can be documented as realistic:	up to 10	<u>7</u>
5) Documentation that space programmed for proposed project is based on application of objective space planning guidelines	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Sub-total		73
Extra Points:		
Documentation through external reports that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns:	up to 10	<u>10</u>
Total		83