

ATTACHMENT – 15

Part – 2 - (4 pgs)

PICTURES of Attorneys - which DOCUMENTS SUPPORTS WHO COULD POSSIBLY
been PART of a CORRUPTION in the CITY OF COLUMBIA
IN WHICH ATTACHED DOCUMENTS SUPPORTS -

Former U.S. Asst Atty MARK C. MOORE before! his RETIREMENT is PUBLIC NEWS quoted, that HE WAS "...Spearheading PUBLIC!!
CORRUPTION" for Three Years "STILL under INVESTIGATION" (before the YEAR 2013) - THEREFORE MARK C. MOORE'S "PUBLIC
CORRUPTION" INVESTIGATION WOULD HAVE STARTED about the SAME YEAR of 2010 - AT ABOUT THE SAME! TIME
when MARK C. MOORE wrote his 12/08/2010 (2ND) FILED "MOTION TO UNSEAL" FILED (again!) to BE HEARD Before
FEDERAL JUDGE M.B. SEYMOUR's REQUESTING HER TO "UNDER SEAL" - "Material" IN A CIVIL/Miscellaneous Case and! a CRIMINAL Case
involving DEFENDANTS Pough, McQueen and Brunson/a/k/a 3/Hebrew Boys; WRITTEN and SIGNED by this FEDERAL COURT's
"JUDGMENT in a CRIMINAL CASE" dated 01/14/2011

filed in B.O.P./Bureau of PRISON Facility RECORDS - SHOWS this FEDERAL COURT and! this South Carolina's U.S. DISTRICT ATTORNEY
OFFICE of NETTLES, DISMISSED!! POUGH, McQUEEN, & BRUNSON's said PRISON SENTENCING of [324 MONTHS], and RELEVANT CRIMINAL
CHARGES since! 01/14/2011 -

But! NEITHER! of said THIS Federal Court's (Personal Forced) APPOINTED! ATTORNEYS, Lang, Small nor DUNCAN NEVER! Represented!
their said APPOINTED CLIENTS said Relevant ISSUES, nor! NEVER

REQUESTED the PRISON RELEASE of Pough, McQueen nor Brunson, resulting in DOCUMENT SHOWS are YET! ILLEGALLY IMPRISONED - who
must be IMMEDIATELY RELEASED FROM said IMPRISONMENT - according with the FOUND ALREADY FILED provided U.S. CONSTITUTION 4th,

5th, & 14th Amendments and Code of Law(s) 28 USC 2255 (a)(b)&(f-4); Rooker-Feldman Doctrine/"...prevents Federal Court from
Assuming JURISDICTION Over ONGOING STATE LITIGATION or REVIEWING ANY DECISION MADE or SOON to be MADE Therein..."

and FR Criminal Procedure(s) 34(a) & FRCP-Rule 15(b) etc.al.

* * Shows State Judge James June 1, 2011 - Under TABE/extra and
 * Federal Case Involving Sakima Bey and Freeman Family
 in the year 2006 - January 11; Involving HSO - Federal
 Judge Seymour (MBS) and the Federal Magistrate Judge
 McCreary... who Admits! Federal Court - Lacks
 Jurisdiction to Intervene within an ongoing state
 Court Case which ^{is} in progress
 includes - ~~the~~ case
 No - 2007 - ~~at~~ 40-3116

United States District Court
 District of South Carolina

Wendell Freeman and David Jathiel Freeman:)
 Plaintiffs:)
 vs.)
 Amy McCulloch, Probate Judge, Richland County Probate)
 Court; Jacqueline D. Belton, Associate Probate Judge.)
 Richland County Court; State of South Carolina; and)
 Governor Mark Sanford, Columbia, South Carolina:)
 Defendants.)

C.A No. 3:06-0010-MBS-JRM

Report and Recommendation

↑
 Courts must be Upheld with All the Federal and State Court Issues so Not to be Intervened by the Federal Court
 ↓
 Please see Attached Typed Writted statements...

The Plaintiffs, Wendell Freeman and David Jathiel Freeman (hereafter, the "Plaintiffs"), appear to allege a denial of rights and privileges secured to persons claiming "nationality" in the United States under Title 8 United States Code Section 1503. Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B), and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e), D.S.C., the undersigned is authorized to review such petitions for relief and submit findings and recommendations to the District Court.

Since the Plaintiffs are *pro se* litigants, their pleadings are accorded liberal construction. Hughes v. Rowe, 449 U.S. 5 (1980) (per curiam); Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S.97 (1976); Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972); Loe v. Armistead, 582 F. 2d 1291 (4th Cir. 1978); Gordon v. Leeke, 574 F. 2d 1147 (4th 1978). Nevertheless, even under this less stringent standard, *pro se* pleadings are still subject to summary dismissal if they fail to meet the requirements for proceeding in this Court. Such is the case here.

The Complaint in this action is virtually unintelligible, consisting of five typewritten

1
 Exhibit - L

~~***~~ ~~Admits!~~ that a Federal Court - Can Nat - Intervene & have legal Jurisdiction - over Ongoing STATE Court Already! Filed Orders! Not! Already Ongoing STATE Litigation or Reviewing ANY! Decision

a "federal question" case which means that Congress establishes by statute the basis contours of litigation to enforce its provisions. "Diversity" jurisdiction exists when the parties are citizens of different states and a minimum jurisdictional amount is at stake. The courts must interpret and apply those statutory provisions in specific cases.

The matter of Wendell Freeman's parental rights has apparently been determined by the Richland County Probate Court from which decision he has a right to appeal within the state courts of South Carolina. By asking this Court to intervene in that proceeding, the Plaintiffs have requested relief which simply cannot be granted. The well-settled Rooker-Feldman doctrine prevents this Court from assuming jurisdiction over ongoing state litigation or reviewing any decision made or soon to be made therein.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that this action be dismissed without issuance or service of process upon the Defendants. The Plaintiffs' attention is directed to the notice on the next page.

Respectfully Submitted,

s/Joseph R. McCrorey
United States Magistrate Judge

January 11, 2006
Columbia, South Carolina

² - This doctrine derives from two U.S. Supreme Court cases, Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co, 263 U.S. 413, 66 L. Ed. 362, 44 S. Ct. 149 (1923) and D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 75 L. Ed. 2d 206, 103 S. Ct. 1303 (1983). See Allstate Insurance Company v. West Virginia State Bar, 233 F. 3d 813 (4th Cir. 2000) for recent application of the doctrine in the Fourth Circuit.

Exhibit-M

THAT
can
be
possible
made
Already
By
the
STATE
Court
↓

~~***~~
which
Defeats!
Judge
James
Ordered
June
1st
2011
Claimer.

Lee JM

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

HENRY D. McMASTER,
in his official capacity as the
SECURITIES COMMISSIONER
FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH
CAROLINA,

Plaintiff,
vs.

CAPITAL CONSORTIUM GROUP,
LLC, 3 HEBREW BOYS, LLC, TONY
POUGH, TIM McQUEEN, JOSEPH
BRUNSON, DANIEL DEVELOPMENT
GROUP, LLC and FIRST CITIZENS
BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, INC.,
Defendants.

State Appointed Receiver - conflicts with Federal Receiver ASK more
OBJECTION TO APPOINTMENT
OF
GEORGE B. CAUTHEN AND/OR
NELSON, MULLINS, RILEY
& SCARBOROUGH, LLP
AS
A RECEIVER

Docket No. 07-CP-40-03116

U.S. Attorney Helliwell
8-12-2007

**This state! Receiver Law firm also the Federal! Receiver Attorney Tallison whose LAW firm for over 20th year Nelson + mullins*

JUL 26 PM 3:53
COLUMBIA, S.C.

COME now the Defendants, CAPITAL CONSORTIUM GROUP, LLC, 3 HEBREW BOYS, LLC, TONY POUGH, TIM McQUEEN, JOSEPH BRUNSON, and DANIEL DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC [hereinafter collectively "Defendants"], by undersigned counsel, and respectfully object to the appointment of George B. Cauthen, Esq., Partner with the Law Firm of Nelson, Mullins, Riley & Scarborough, LLP ["Nelson Mullins"], and/or any other partner or associate of Nelson Mullins as Receiver in the above-captioned matter. This objection is based on the following, to wit:

1. The Supplemental Affidavit of George B. Cauthen evidences he, as a partner with Nelson Mullins, and Nelson Mullins, in general, have a prima facie conflict of interest in that said affidavit clearly shows an on-going pecuniary relation between the Office of the Attorney General, a party to this action, and Nelson Mullins.

Receiver Informal - actual appoint still being discussed

Law Office
of
HEMPHILL P. PRIDE II
H. P. Pride II
Hemphill P. Pride II
Post Office Box 4529
Columbia, South Carolina 29240
(803) 256-8015

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS CAPITAL CONSORTIUM GROUP, LLC, 3 HEBREW BOYS, LLC; TONY POUGH, TIM McQUEEN JOSEPH BRUNSON and DANIEL DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC

July 26, 2007.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

PLAINTIFF,)

vs.)

TIMOTHY MCQUEEN, TONY B)
POUGH, JOSEPH B BRUNSON,)

DEFENDANTS.)

CR. NO. 3:08-615

COLUMBIA, SC

NOVEMBER 10, 2009

VOLUME 1

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARGARET B. SEYMOUR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
JURY TRIAL

A P P E A R A N C E S:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: WINSTON D HOLLIDAY, AUSA
MARK C MOORE, AUSA
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
1441 MAIN STREET, SUITE 500
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

FOR TIMOTHY MCQUEEN: LOUIS H LANG, ESQ.
CALLISON, TIGHE AND ROBINSON
PO BOX 1390
COLUMBIA, SC 29202

FOR TONY B POUGH: PARKS SMALL, FPD
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
1901 ASSEMBLY STREET, SUITE 200
BB&T BUILDING
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

FOR JOSEPH B BRUNSON: W. MICHAEL DUNCAN, ESQ.
AUSTIN, LEWIS AND ROGERS
PO BOX 11716
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

COURT REPORTER: KATHLEEN RICHARDSON, RPR, CRR
UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER
901 RICHLAND STREET
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

STENOTYPE-COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

Exh. #7