

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#)
To: [Emory Smith <ESmith@scag.gov>](#)
Date: 2/3/2016 5:02:16 PM
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA
Attachments: [Scanned from a Xerox multifunction device.pdf](#)

From: Boone, Susan [<mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 1:29 PM
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)
Cc: Boone, Susan
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

Good afternoon, Holly. I have communicated the terms of the Protective Order with the SC DEW employees who may be involved in conducting research on this matter. Attached in the above pdf are the signature pages for those individuals. If you would please let me know what else may be needed at this point, I would appreciate it. If I should communicate directly with Emory, just let me know.

Thank you,

Susan M Boone
Chief Legal Officer
SC Department of Employment and Workforce
803.737.2176

From: Boone, Susan
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2016 1:59 PM
To: 'Pisarik, Holly'
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Holly, thank you for your patience. I had an opportunity to discuss this further with our UI Department and the only way for the agency to confirm whether any of the potential claimants received benefits improperly is to have their social security numbers. Cheryl agrees with our recommendation to move forward so that we can be sure that we are protecting the trust fund. We will take a look at the agreement, confirm who at SC DEW will have access to the information and provide you with the appropriate signatures. Please let me know if there is anything else that you need from us.

Thank you,
Susan

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#) [<mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:49 AM
To: Boone, Susan
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

Susan, Please see below e-mail from Emory. How would you like to proceed?

From: [Emory Smith](#) [<mailto:ESmith@scag.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:17 AM
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

See attached explanatory email from TX and the protective order. As you can see, the protective order is very restrictive. I believe I would have to provide the info directly to the DEW lawyer or supervisor after he or she signed

the agreement as provided therein (see para. 4d of order). Anyone at DEW who receives the info would have to sign it, also. Para 5. I would prefer to request only names at this point rather than ss no's, etc. . If the names don't show up, we should not need the other personal info. If they do match up, I can request the remaining info.

Please let me know whether you'd like me to request the names of the SC persons who received three-year Employment Authorization Documents that subsequently have been invalidated.

Emory

From: Pisarik, Holly [<mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 4:44 PM
To: Emory Smith
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

See message below from DEW. How should we proceed?

From: Boone, Susan [<mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 3:38 PM
To: Pisarik, Holly
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Holly, thank you for reaching out to us. I have reviewed the information and conferred with our team and it appears that DEW could be impacted by this decision. The only way to confirm whether benefits were provided to these individuals is to obtain their PII and compare it to our claims information. Please let me know how you would like us to proceed.

Thank you,
Susan M Boone