

MINUTES OF MEETING  
OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION

September 5, 1974  
10:30 a.m. - 1:00 p.m.

PRESENT

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Dr. R. Cathcart Smith, Chairman  
Mr. Howard L. Burns  
Dr. Marianna W. Davis  
Dr. William C. Draffin  
Gen. Larkin H. Jennings, Jr.  
Mr. F. Mitchell Johnson  
Mr. Paul W. McAlister  
Mr. T. Eston Marchant  
Mr. Alex M. Quattlebaum  
Mr. Fred R. Sheheen  
Mr. O. Stanley Smith  
Mr. I. P. Stanback  
Mr. T. Emmet Walsh

STAFF

Dr. Howard R. Boozer  
Mr. Charles A. Brooks  
Dr. George P. Fulton  
Mr. William C. Jennings  
Dr. Frank E. Kinard  
Mr. Alan S. Krech  
Mrs. Rande Lee  
Mr. James R. Michael  
Mr. James L. Solomon, Jr.  
Mrs. Gaylon Syrett

GUESTS

Mr. J. Lacy McLean  
Dr. Gyuri Nemeth  
Gen. George M. Seignious II  
Dr. Charles B. Vail  
Dr. John P. Wescott  
Dr. Charlie G. Williams

MEMBERS OF THE PRESS

Mr. Fred Monk  
Mr. Hugh Gibson  
Ms. Warren McInnis

I. Introductions

Chairman Smith opened the meeting by introducing three new members of the Commission: Mr. Howard L. Burns, appointed to succeed Mr. Grier as an *ex officio* member, representing Winthrop College; Dr. William C. Draffin, elected Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Medical University of South Carolina, succeeding Dr. Peebles; and Gen. Larkin H. Jennings, Jr., elected Chairman of the Board of

Visitors of The Citadel, succeeding Col. Holliday. Dr. Smith also introduced Gen. George M. Seignious II, who became President of The Citadel on August 1.

II. Approval of Minutes of July 11, 1974, Commission Meeting

It was moved (Stanback) and seconded (S. Smith) and unanimously voted to approve the minutes of the July 11 Commission meeting, as written.

\* III. Consideration of Academic Programs

- a. Baccalaureate Minor in Criminal Justice - South Carolina State College -- Approved
- b. Master of Education in Educational Administration, in Elementary Education, in Reading, and in School Librarianship (degree title changes from M. A. T.) - Winthrop College -- Approved
- c. M. A. in Art - University of South Carolina -- Approved
- d. Ed. D. in Educational Administration, with concentrations in five areas -- Special Education, Curriculum and Instruction, Student Services, Health Education, and Administration - University of South Carolina -- Approved
- e. A. A. S. in Criminal Justice - Horry-Georgetown TEC -- Approved
- f. Two-year Diploma Program in Residential-Commercial-Industrial Electricity, with a One-year Diploma Option in Residential Electricity - Horry-Georgetown TEC -- Approved
- g. A. A. S. in General Engineering Technology - Trident Technical College. It was suggested by the staff that the specialized technology programs be carefully monitored when this program is implemented to determine whether those with low productivity should be discontinued. Approved

In response to an inquiry from Mr. Sheheen, Dr. Boozer indicated that he would arrange with Dr. Palmer for the review of actions taken on recommendations and conditions which have been attached to the approval of programs in the technical education centers and technical colleges since July 1, 1972. The CHE staff is doing a comparable follow-up with reference to programs approved in the senior colleges and universities in the same period.

IV. Proposed 1974-75 State Plan for Title VII-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (Construction of Undergraduate Academic Facilities)

Mr. Solomon reported that the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, requires that each participating state submit a State Plan to the United States Commissioner of Education at least sixty days prior to the first closing date for receipt of proposals under Title VII-A of the Act. He noted that the basic content of the proposed plan was the same as in previous years, the changes dealing principally with the transfer of the functions of the Higher Education Facilities Commission to the Commission on Higher Education on July 1, 1974. The staff recommended adoption of the proposed State Plan, which would be forwarded to the United States Commissioner of Education for approval. It was moved (Marchant) and seconded (Davis) to adopt staff recommendations. Dr. Boozer noted that there might be minor editorial changes, having nothing to do with content, to be made in the draft of the proposed plan, and requested that the motion incorporate permission for further staff editing of the draft. The motion was approved.

V. 1975-76 Appropriation Request of the Commission on Higher Education

Mr. Michael reported that the Commission budget request reflected the Commission's proposed increased activity in comprehensive planning. He noted that most of the requested increase in the Commission's administrative budget would provide for the addition to the staff of three higher education specialists as well as expanded staff capabilities through increased employment of consultants. Dr. Davis requested that consideration be given to the employment of women and minorities in top-level administrative positions.

Mr. Michael noted also that the proposed budget would bring staff positions now supported by federal funds into the State appropriation to insure continuity in the comprehensive planning effort. Service programs included \$50,000 for consortia of institutions, and \$200,000 for enlargement and improvement of the in-service teacher education program.

Mr. Sheheen asked for additional information in reference to the \$50,000 request for consortia development. Dr. Boozer stated that several years ago the Commission received an appropriation of \$50,000 to be used for development of a consortium of Charleston institutions, to assist them in working together to improve efficiency, reduce cost, and expand educational opportunities. He noted that consortia are increasing over the country, sometimes across state lines, and involve private as well as public institutions. The funds requested would support efforts to develop consortia arrangements in other areas in the State which have institutions in proximity, to benefit from consultation with representatives of successful consortia, and to provide modest sums for seed money to initiate appropriate consortia projects.

Mr. Walsh suggested that, if the requested \$50,000 would be used for staff personnel in consortia programs, it would be helpful for the budget to so specify. Dr. Smith requested that the Commission allow the Executive Committee to discuss this budget item further and report back to the Commission later. The Commission unanimously voted to adopt the staff recommendation to approve the budget request, with possible rephrasing as related to consortia.

VI. Report on Clinical Faculty Salary Study at the Medical University of South Carolina

Mr. Michael reported on the results of the study made by the Commission staff with respect to fees earned by faculty and staff physicians at the Medical University of South Carolina, and with respect to the utilization of State facilities in their practice. He stated that procedures at MUSC are consistent with those followed at other medical schools, and noted that it is important for South Carolina medical schools to make provisions for private practice if they are to be competitive with other schools in attracting faculty. The staff recommended that the Commission staff, in conjunction with appropriate staff personnel at the Medical University and the University of South Carolina, develop guidelines for the private practice of medicine by staff and faculty to be used by the Commission in reviewing present and future private practice plans or proposed changes.

Mr. Sheheen requested that Dr. Draffin obtain, prior to the budget meeting on October 8, additional information concerning audit procedures for the expenditure of the overage collected by the Professional Staff Office and distributed by MUSC to the President and Deans Fund and the Division or Departmental Funds. Dr. Draffin agreed to provide this information at the October 8 meeting.

It was moved (Walsh) and seconded (Marchant) and unanimously voted that the Commission staff, in conjunction with staff at MUSC and USC, develop guidelines for the private practice of medicine by faculty and staff.

VII. Report on Post-secondary Education in Sumter County Area

Mr. Michael presented a report (attached as Exhibit A) from the Commission staff representatives appointed to a committee to study two-year post-secondary education in the Sumter County area. It was recommended that the Sumter Branch continue to be operated by the University of South Carolina, and that the Commission on Higher Education, the University of South Carolina, and the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, together with the USC Sumter Branch and Sumter Technical College, develop plans to maximize cooperation and minimize duplication between those two institutions in the Sumter area. Mr. Marchant stated that, as far as USC was concerned, the staff recommendation was a reasonable one and he would urge the Commission to approve it and, in effect, afford more time to the people in Sumter to work out their own solution.

It was moved (Sheheen) and seconded (S. Smith) that the Commission on Higher Education believes it is highly desirable that two-year public institutions in South Carolina cooperate when in the same locality and that merger should be considered when in the judgment of this Commission it is feasible and desirable. Accordingly, it is recommended that the Commission on Higher Education approve the operation of the Sumter Center by the University of South Carolina until June, 1975; that the staffs of the Commission on Higher Education, the University of South Carolina and the USC Sumter Branch, and the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education and the Sumter Area Technical College, develop plans to assure cooperation between the USC Sumter Branch and the Sumter Area Technical College while minimizing duplication; that a review of cooperative efforts be made in June, 1975, at which time the matter will again be considered by the Commission on Higher Education; and that in the interim period, the legal position of the Commission be preserved. The motion was approved, with Mr. Marchant dissenting.

VIII. Report of CHE Ad Hoc Committee on Off-campus Graduate Teacher Education

Dr. Kinard reported that in May, 1972, the Commission appointed an ad hoc committee to devise a plan for coordination of off-campus graduate course offerings for teachers. That committee has completed its short-term assignment and has recommended that the Commission on Higher Education establish a permanent advisory committee on graduate teacher education. It was further recommended that the new committee be asked:

1. To review prior to each term proposed off-campus graduate course offerings for teachers of each institution, for the purpose of preventing needless duplication and encouraging greater coverage;
2. To continue oversight and coordination of the ETV course, Teacher as Manager, and other similar cooperative programs that may be developed;
3. To provide advice and comment, if and as requested, to the Commission on proposals submitted to the Commission by any public institution on the matter of courses or programs for teachers or other school personnel; and
4. To make recommendations to the Commission on such other matters concerning graduate teacher education, which would lead to the further improvement of graduate teacher education in the State.

Mr. McAlister stated that he had discussed these recommendations with President Edwards, who asked that they be reviewed by the Council of Presidents before the Commission acted, if such a delay would not interfere with the time frame.

Dr. Vail noted that the report of the ad hoc committee had been discussed at the Council of Presidents meeting in August, having been brought before the Council by him without prior notice. He requested time for the Council to study the staff report and recommendations before action is taken. It was moved (Burns) and seconded (Marchant) and unanimously voted to defer action on the recommendation for a permanent advisory committee until the November meeting of the Commission.

#### IX. Appointment of Committees

Dr. Smith reported that the Commission has had until now an Executive Committee and three standing committees (on Health Affairs, Legislative Relations, and the Budget Formula), and has need for two new standing committees -- one concerned with the Federal Programs for which the Commission assumed responsibility on July 1, and one on Academic Programs. He noted that the functions of the Health Affairs Committee would be assumed by the Academic Programs Committee. He announced that he had appointed (1) Mr. Wienges as chairman of the Legislative Relations Committee, to succeed Mr. Marchant, (2) Mr. Sheheen as chairman of the new Academic Programs Committee, and (3) Mr. Howe as chairman of the new Federal Programs Committee. He noted that the Executive Committee now consists of five members: the Chairman of the Commission and the chairmen of the four standing committees (Mr. Howe, Mr. Chapman, Mr. Sheheen, and Mr. Wienges). Dr. Smith noted that, in addition, he, as Chairman of the Commission, would serve as an ex officio member of each of the standing committees. He also noted that he would appoint other members to the two new committees in the near future.

It was moved (Marchant) and seconded (Stanback) and unanimously voted to approve the committee appointments.

#### X. Arrangements for October 8 and October 15 meetings of CHE, and October 9 meeting of the Executive Committee

Mr. Jennings noted that the Commission at its July 11 meeting had approved a schedule of meetings in October. The full Commission will hear presentations by the college and university presidents of their 1975-76 appropriation requests on October 8, and the Commission will consider and act upon the recommendations of the Executive Committee pertaining to the institutions' appropriation requests on October 15. The October 8 meeting will last most of the day, and Commission members indicated their preference not to adjourn for lunch but to remain in session and to have lunch brought in. The October 8 meeting will begin at 10:00 a. m. rather than at 10:30 a. m. as usual. The October 15 meeting will begin at 10:30 a. m.

Dr. Smith stated that the Executive Committee will meet on October 9. He noted that there will not be a regularly scheduled Commission meeting on the first Thursday of October.

XI. Executive Director's Report

Dr. Boozer noted that the \$200,000 requested in the budget for In-Service Teacher Education has been expended in the past as regrants to fund projects in the various institutions in the State. Half of that amount was used this year to help underwrite the ETV course for teachers currently being televised over the State. The remainder was used to fund various other projects in several of the colleges. He suggested that additional discussion might be helpful concerning possible uses for a portion of those funds for purposes other than only the funding of special program proposals.

Dr. Boozer asked Mr. Jennings to comment in reference to the SBTCE budget. Mr. Jennings reported that the SBTCE had been scheduled to make its appropriation presentation to the Budget and Control Board last year prior to making its presentation to the Commission on Higher Education. The SBTCE Board has indicated a desire to cooperate in every way with the Commission in this matter, and has made available to Commission staff an informal draft of its 1975-76 appropriation request. Mr. Jennings suggested that, when the SBTCE Board's formal appropriation request was received by the Commission, probably during the week of September 9, copies, along with staff analysis and comments, be mailed to the Commission members for their comments. The Commission staff will then submit a summary report to the SBTCE.

Mr. Jennings suggested that the Commission staff discuss the timing of the SBTCE budget presentation for future years with the State Auditor to see if it might be placed on the same schedule as the colleges and universities.

Dr. Boozer suggested that the Commission give consideration in the future to not meeting in August each year. Many Commission and staff members are away on vacation during that month, and there are several national meetings scheduled in July and early August which he should always plan to attend.

Dr. Boozer noted that the Ed-Op Committee would be meeting during the afternoon of September 5, and that Dr. Kinard and Dr. Davis would meet with that committee in order to follow up on the suggestion made at the Commission meeting on June 6. Copies of Profiles, for which the Commission provided \$3,840.00 to cover the cost of printing, were distributed to Commission members.

Dr. Boozer also noted that Dr. Kinard had taken the leadership in inviting a number of people from other states to meet in Atlanta on September 10 and 11 to discuss their policies and procedures concerning program proposals, academic program review, and related matters. Approximately fourteen states were expected to participate.

Dr. Boozer announced the appointment of Mr. Horace F. Byrne as Coordinator of Planning, effective immediately. Mr. Byrne was formerly Administrator of the Department of Biology at Boston University, and is a retired foreign service officer.

XII. Other Business

It was moved (Marchant) and seconded (Davis) and unanimously voted that the Commission, through appropriate resolutions drafted by the CHE staff, go on record as expressing appreciation to Mr. Furman, Mr. Grier, Col. Holliday, and Dr. Peeples, former members of the Commission, for their past years of dedicated service.

Dr. Smith noted that November 8 and 9 have been scheduled for the weekend meeting of the Commission at Hobcaw Barony near Georgetown, and that a block of rooms has been reserved at the Holiday Inn in Georgetown. Mr. Quattlebaum has extended an invitation to the Commission to be his guests on Friday evening at his Georgetown plantation, Arundel. The Commission will meet on Saturday morning, and will tour the facilities at Hobcaw on Saturday afternoon. Dr. Smith noted that this weekend meeting will replace the regular November Commission meeting.

Dr. Davis suggested that the Commission staff explore the possibility of allowing State-supported institutions to give course credit to participants in professional meetings which are held in the State.

It was moved (Marchant) and seconded (S. Smith) and unanimously voted that the meeting be adjourned at 1:00 p. m.

Respectfully submitted,



Gaylon Syrett  
Recording Secretary