

Agenda Item 3.05 B: Consideration of recommendations for 2001-02, Year 6, performance assessment of Indicator 1D/E and, as applicable to some institutions, goal and standard revisions for 2002-03, Year 7

Explanation:

On July 6, 2000, Commission approved revising the definition of Indicators 1D (Adoption of a Strategic Plan to Support the Mission Statement) and 1E (Attainment of Goals of the Strategic Plan) to provide more meaningful and individualized assessment. As a result of the approved changes, institutions were required to submit two goals as their focus for Indicator 1D and to propose standards to use in determining success in attaining the selected goals for Indicator 1E. On April 5, 2001, the Commission modified the indicator to include only one goal for the 1D/1E, to be chosen by the institution from the two goals previously approved. The approved standards for the selected goal remain unchanged, and performance is scored relative to each institution's own targets set for "Exceeding," "Achieving," or "Failing to achieve" its selected goal. On July 12, 2001, the Committee approved the single goal selected by each institution.

In compliance with the requirements of the indicator, the institutions submitted performance data for this indicator to the Commission staff in early October. Staff has reviewed the data against the individual performance standards for each institution and produced the scoring chart below. In some instances, which are noted on the chart, the performance data reported for 2001-02, Year 6 scoring has indicated a need for a modification in standards for subsequent years. The primary reason for this is high performance leaving little or no possibility for improvement as required by existing standards. In such cases, the staff and institution are working out revised standards in order to prevent the institution from being unfairly penalized.

Recommendation: The Committee recommends for approval of the Commission the institutional scores for Indicator 1D/1E for the current assessment year, 2001-02, Year 6, presented in the chart on the attached page as were initially recommended to the Committee.

Institution	Indicator Score for 2001-02	Notes Regarding Subsequent Years and Standards
RESEARCH SECTOR		
Clemson	3	
USC-Columbia	3	
MUSC	3	
4-YR COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES		
Citadel	2	In process of reviewing Year 2 and Year 3 standards
Coastal Carolina	3	
College of Charleston	3	
Francis Marion	2	In process of reviewing methodology used in determining baseline. If methodology stands, will need to revise standards or goals.
Lander	3	
SC State	3	
USC-Aiken	3	
USC-Spartanburg.	3	
Winthrop	3	
REGIONAL CAMPUSES		
USC-Beaufort	3	
USC-Lancaster	3	
USC-Salkehatchie	2	
USC-Sumter	3	
USC-Union	2	
TECHNICAL COLLEGES		
Aiken Tech	3	
Central Carolina Tech	3	
Denmark Tech	3	
Florence-Darlington Tech	3	
Greenville Tech	3	
Horry-Georgetown Tech	3	
Midlands Tech	3	
Northeastern Tech	2	
Orangeburg-Calhoun Tech	3	
Piedmont Tech	3	
Spartanburg Tech	3	
TCLC	3	
Tri-County Tech	3	
Trident Tech	3	
Williamsburg Tech	3	
York Tech	3	