

From: Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>  
To: Adams, Chaney <ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov>  
Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>  
CC: Godfrey, Rob <RobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov>  
Date: 12/1/2015 2:26:44 PM  
Subject: RE: Request

---

Josh's thoughts will be most relevant, but I recommend two small points of clarification. 1) I would add "direct" to the below statements, and 2) I would remove "to date" because the PA number Josh used takes into account \$50 million in wiggle room (the current PA number is \$246 million) (alternatively, I can recalculate the numbers at the \$246 million level, but Gov. Haley used the \$300 million in the press conference, so I will leave that decision up to y'all). Therefore, if we are going to share these costs, I recommend they read:

The estimated total direct cost to state government is \$114,425,000 to date.  
The estimated total direct cost to the federal government is \$492,675,000 to date.

Let me know how else I can be helpful.

DMG

---

From: Adams, Chaney  
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:13 PM  
To: Baker, Josh; Glaccum, David  
Cc: Godfrey, Rob  
Subject: RE: Request

David and Josh, would it be accurate to send this to reporters?

On Background:

The estimated total cost to state government is \$114,425,000 to date.  
The estimated total cost to the federal government is \$492,675,000 to date.

---

From: Baker, Josh  
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:53 PM  
To: Adams, Chaney; Glaccum, David  
Cc: Godfrey, Rob  
Subject: RE: Request

The 10 million is included in the PA state share number; we're trying actively not to give one total but I can walk her through it.

The \$114 million is largely state PA match, which is the state portion of costs associated with storm response and recovering damage to government assets at the state and local level. Another major portion is road work performed by the DOT that will ultimately be deemed ineligible for reimbursement, but was a necessary component of storm readiness, response, or recovery.

---

From: Adams, Chaney  
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:08 PM  
To: Baker, Josh; Glaccum, David  
Cc: Godfrey, Rob  
Subject: FW: Request

Josh and David,

Can you help us out here?

"Also, the roughly \$10 million that was transferred from the unclaimed property fund? is that a onetime thing? Was that included in the \$114?"

From: Cope, Cassie [<mailto:ccope@thestate.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:06 PM

To: Adams, Chaney

Subject: Request

Hey Chaney,

Can you get the official breakdown of

Total flood cost: xxxX?

State share: \$114 million

Federal gov share: XXX?

Also, the roughly \$10 million that was transferred from the unclaimed property fund? is that a onetime thing?  
Was that included in the \$114?

I may have more questions throughout the day...