

From: Datrecia P. Edwards <dpedwards2@juno.com>

To: Robert.Sneed@usdoj.govRobert.Sneed@usdoj.gov

lcoggiola@sccourts.orglcoggiola@sccourts.org

dbeatty@sccourts.orgdbeatty@sccourts.org

agwilson@scag.govagwilson@scag.gov

Lt. Governor's OfficeLtGov@scstatehouse.gov

dmckeown@sccourts.orgdmckeown@sccourts.org

dmorrisj@sccourts.orgdmorrisj@sccourts.org

CC: us.marshals@usdoj.govus.marshals@usdoj.gov

usasc.civilrights@usdoj.govusasc.civilrights@usdoj.gov

usms.wanted@usdoj.govusms.wanted@usdoj.gov

dc.outreach@usdoj.govdc.outreach@usdoj.gov

Civil.Feedback@usdoj.govCivil.Feedback@usdoj.gov

dpedwards2@juno.comdpedwards2@juno.com

stricklerd@rcgov.usstricklerd@rcgov.us

Date: 10/17/2017 11:21:32 AM

Subject: Exercise Authority and Rescind SC Court Orders, Please

Attachments: Datrecia Edwards (1).pdf

Datrecia Edwards.pdf

Greetings,

I am writing to respectfully ask you to exercise your authority and rescind the attached court orders for Richland County (South Carolina) Probate Court case 2017MI401026 (rendered on September 5, 2017) and case 2017MI401404 (rendered on October 16, 2017).

I am not satisfied with the court's decisions because:

1. The court appears to comply tendentiously with the intent (e.g., public safety) of the U.S. Constitution based on Title 44 of South Carolina law but not the letter or the spirit (e.g., natural rights, national security) of the U.S. Constitution.
2. The court, through its orders, is impeding my efforts to seek justice as a victim/survivor of a police case in Virginia.
3. The court, through its orders, unduly, unreasonably restricts my liberty, life, and body/property for a period not to exceed twelve (12) months and subjects me to financial harm and/or detrimental effects. Frankly, the court orders endanger me.

It appears South Carolina Code of Laws, Title 44, Health, Chapter 17 (specifically, Article 7, Section 44-17-510 and following sections) permits Richland County (South Carolina) Probate Court to comply with the intent (e.g. public safety) but not the letter or the spirit of the U.S. Constitution (e.g. natural rights, national security), which violates my constitutional rights. For example, the court used separate testimonies from the court-appointed examiners who evaluated negatively my use of silence/constitutional rights during a closed-door examination that occurred for less than 30 minutes on August 29, 2017. However, at the hearing on September 5, 2017, both examiners presented testimony that seemed inconsistent with the U.S. Constitution, American Psychological Association, "Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct," or standards for evaluating those who are allegedly mentally ill. Furthermore, the court seems unwilling to reconsider its initial decision or rescind the existing court orders even when I respectfully ask in writing or through verbal testimony for the court to do so. (To my knowledge, no court-appointed attorney and guardian ad litem has filed legal

documents to rescind the court orders or dismiss the cases on my behalf.) The court's unwillingness borders on being harsh, threatening, hostile toward me--a litigant for cases 2017MI401026 and 2017MI401404. Overall, the court appears to maintain a disregard for my rights enumerated in the U.S. Constitution, my medical history (i.e., multiple sclerosis and temporary cardiac issues), my neurologist (Dr. James Simsarian), and my desires for my body/property.

I temporarily relocated to South Carolina after being a victim/survivor of crimes, including stalking and criminal harassment, that I reported to the police (case 2016104-0105) in Franconia/Alexandria (Fairfax County), Virginia. I believe the police case in Virginia is still open. As I slowly rebuild and move forward with my life, I would like to pursue justice without corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstructs, or impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503). The intent and spirit of the court orders for case 2017MI401026 and case 2017MI401404, as revealed by Judge Ralph J. Smiley on October 16, 2017 at the hearing, do not empower or allow me the freedom to seek justice.

Finally, Section 44-17-660 of the South Carolina law allows specific South Carolina employees to receive money due to the mental health commitment process. I am not a South Carolina employee and I did not receive money to comply with the court-ordered, involuntary treatment. I am pursuing a tentative job offer for a Federal, competitive, civilian position outside of South Carolina in accordance with 5 CFR part 536 and applicable sections. Unfortunately, at present, I am unable to pay for the court-ordered, involuntary treatment where, per the court orders, I "must comply with their treatments recommendations, take prescribed medications, and keep all scheduled appointments." Therefore, I believe the court, through its orders, subjects me to unreasonable and unnecessary restrictions on my liberty, life, and body/property; financial harm; and other detrimental effects. To my knowledge, no other U.S. state or territory has adversely assessed me in this manner, which leaves South Carolina through Richland County Probate Court seemingly responsible for my financial harm and/or detrimental effects.

Please assist me in protecting and defending my constitutional rights by rescinding the court orders for case 2017MI401026 and case 2017MI401404. Thank you for your consideration and have a wonderful holiday season.

Kind regards,
Datrechia P. Edwards
E-mail: dpedwards2@juno.com
Mailing address: 126 Wincay Road, Columbia, SC 29223