

**From:** Soura, Christian  
**To:** Veldran, Katherine [KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov](mailto:KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov)  
**Date:** 6/23/2013 5:43:38 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: Funding for USC regional campuses

---

Thanks - none of those items are in peril as of now.

CLS

---

**From:** Veldran, Katherine  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 23, 2013 05:42 PM  
**To:** Soura, Christian  
**Subject:** Fwd: Funding for USC regional campuses

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Greg Gregory <[rhvolute@hotmail.com](mailto:rhvolute@hotmail.com)>  
**Date:** June 23, 2013, 5:04:26 PM EDT  
**To:** "Veldran, Katherine" <[KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov](mailto:KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov)>, "Pitts, Ted" <[TedPitts@gov.sc.gov](mailto:TedPitts@gov.sc.gov)>  
**Subject:** Funding for USC regional campuses

Ted / Katherine -

The Senate added around \$530,000 in funding for the regionals to address parity. It was a bit ironic in that Sumter received more money than the others when they already get 3X the funding per FTE over Lancaster and 2X over the others. Nevertheless, the others, especially Lancaster, need the money so I let it go. Without this, USC Lancaster is educating students on funding of less than \$1,200 each from the state.

This, and the \$400,000 for USCL deferred maintenance, are the only parochial matters I have in the budget. I appreciate Governor Haley not vetoing deferred maintenance for higher ed last year. Unfortunately, USCL got snaked in the last few minutes of conference in 2012, so the House put the funding back in this year to right that wrong. Of the last \$16MM spent on buildings at USCL only about \$2.5MM was provided by the state. The rest has come from local sources. They have \$2MM in deferred maintenance needs, so these funds will be put to good use.

Greg Gregory