

From: Bales, Tejal
To: Adams, Marcia S. <Adams_MarciaS@scdps.state.sc.us>
Burgis, David <Burgis_David@scdps.state.sc.us>
CC: Deleon, Wanda J. <Deleon_WandaJ@scdps.state.sc.us>
Date: 11/16/2001 4:28:26 PM
Subject: FW: DMV Service Fee Account Structure

There have been multiple updates for Phx G/L codes since Mar/Apr. I don't know why these have been **omitted** from the account structure updates. Steven Lake's file went to CACI, nothing has been updated by them.

I guess I will have to do additional "REWORK" (plz see Rolf's email below) for CACI in order to get the G/L structure in Phx correct or we will not be able to test the system as needed. Do you ALL agree?.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dolder, Rolf P.
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2001 3:21 PM
To: Bales, Tejal; Deleon, Wanda J.
Cc: Earley, Jr., James E.
Subject: DMV Service Fee Account Structure

Tejal, Wanda:

I saw Janice this morning and she confirmed that the G/L account structure in the Phoenix system is what they've had since March/April 2001. They have received no updates since then. Per our discussion, we have the spreadsheet from Steven Lake which has the account structure as defined by his committee. While it will define G/L account codes for many of the services fees such as those currently listed as 5XXXXX, it does not define G/L account codes for all the detail fee transactions currently defined in the Phoenix system.

You need to give me updates to 1) change the current 5XXXXX entries to valid G/L account codes listed in Steven's spreadsheet, 2) define G/L account codes for service fee transactions that are currently defined and will be generated by Phoenix which are not on Steven's spreadsheet because they are at a lower level of detail than those provided on Steven's spreadsheet. These should be tied to a roll-up G/L account by the same first 3 digits [roll-up] and differentiated within that group by the last 3 digits and 3) provide corrections for any 7 digit G/L accounts currently on the Phoenix system [as noted on the Office Transmittal Report you provided to me]. These should either be deleted or if they represent a valid fee transaction, be given a correct G/L account number.

I have called Randy Borth and have confirmed with him that any financial transaction generated by the Phoenix system has been defined with a service fee code and description. What is missing is the final G/L account structure to match these. Steven's spreadsheet will resolve many but apparently not all of these detail fee structures.

Once I have the updates I will work with CACI to make the corrections to the appropriate system tables. We need to have this completed as soon as possible so the system will generate correct service fee transactions that can be validated in testing.