Friends,

   Trump is increasing being proven right on not accepting the initial voting results, and it looks likely that there are serious challenges to our voting process. I hope Trump wins by a large enough margin that the vote is not questioned, otherwise the credibility or our government will be the main debate and not who wins. This is rather lengthy but gives some background on that is happening. Trump is fighting the split in the Republican Party as well as the Democrat's machine.
ED

Subject: Can the 2016 election be rigged? You bet

URL:
http://thehill.com/blogs/pundits-blog/presidential-campaign/291534-can-the-2016-
election-be-rigged-you-bet

Re: Governor Niki Haley's Erroneous Comments on Election Integrity

Subj: Can the 2016 election be rigged? You bet

Governor Haley recently commented that Trump should stop saying the election is rigged. Haley is no friend to the Trump campaign. She wanted Little Marco to be our candidate!

Haley released a statement Thursday, saying: "This election is not rigged, and it's irresponsible to say that it is. Faith in the democratic process is one of America's greatest strengths, and it's more important than the outcome of any election."

What planet is Haley on? I suspect like Little Marco she is trying to reserve a place for herself on the 2020 GOP ticket. Little Marco (R-FL) stated the GOP should stop listening to Wikileaks because this might happen to GOP. Good, if the GOP is corrupt I hope someone tells Americans. The MSM is certainly not a counterbalance to public corruption in government. She, Haley, still has the illusion that she is attractive to the mainstream Americans at presidential level.

The attached article by Roger Stone is a reminder to Haley that corruption is alive and well in elections. The GOP, except governor of Texas, has largely ignored the problem. SC GOP Chairperson Matt Moore has been contacted several times by a former Beaufort County Election Commission Chairman by letter and phone to address SC's vulnerability with no response.................! The democrats are good at their cheating while Haley and Moore play their delusional fiddles.

Recently other sources of rigging have been exposed:

Polls are intentionally skewed against GOP candidates using large democrat samples and small GOP samples-not random sampling.

The democratic chairperson Donna Brazile received a debate question prior to first debate and passed to Hildebeest's campaign.

*   Robert "Bob" Creamer is a long-time Democratic operative who is accused <http://dailycaller.com/2016/10/18/exposed-dem-operative-who-oversaw-trump-rally -agitators-visited-white-house-342-times/> of being involved in alleged efforts to incite violence at Donald Trump rallies and possibly organizing voter fraud, allegations he denies.
*   Creamer was captured on undercover video recorded by Project Veritas. Project Veritas is spearheaded by conservative activist James O'Keefe, who has used <http://www.npr.org/2016/10/19/498587397/sting-video-purports-to-show-democrats- describing-how-to-commit-voter-fraud> undercover stings before to advance conservative causes, targeting organizations like ACORN, which does community organizing.
*   The Pew Research Center Study showed:
*   Research commissioned by the Feb 2012 Pew Center on the States highlights the extent of the challenge: http://www.pewtrusts.org/~/media/legacy/uploadedfiles/pcs_assets/2012/pewupgradi ngvoterregistrationpdf.pdf

1. Approximately 24 million-one of every eight-voter registrations in the United States are no longer valid or are significantly inaccurate.
2. More than 1.8 million deceased individuals are listed as voters.
3. Approximately 2.75 million people have registrations in more than one state.

*   This study found that almost 2.7 million people appear to be registered in two states, and more than 70,000 people could be registered in three or more. In all, more than 2.75 million people appear to have multiple registrations.

August 16, 2016, 09:27 am
Can the 2016 election be rigged? You bet
By Roger Stone, contributor
19K72

<mailto:?subject=TheHill.com%3A%20%20data-title%3D%22Can%20the%202016%20election %20be%20rigged%3F%20You%20bet%22&body=From%20TheHill.com%3A%20%0A%0A% 0A%0Ahttp%3 A//thehill.com/blogs/pundits-blog/presidential-campaign/291534-can-the-2016-elec tion-be-rigged-you-bet>

Getty Images
Donald Trump <http://thehill.com/people/donald-trump> has said publicly that he

fears the next election will be rigged. Based both on technical capability and recent history, Trump's concerns are not unfounded.

A recent study by Stanford University <http://yournewswire.com/stanford-university-confirm-democratic-election-fraud/> proved that Hillary Clinton <http://thehill.com/people/hillary-clinton> 's campaign rigged the system to steal the nomination from Bernie Sanders. <http://www.nationofchange.org/2016/07/10/new-stanford-study-shows-serious-voting-discrepancies-favor-clinton/>

What was done to Bernie Sanders <http://thehill.com/people/bernie-sanders> in Wisconsin is stunning <http://cosmoso.net/exclusive-interview-with-election-fraud-lawyer-cliff-arnebeck/> .

Why would the Clintons not cheat again?

The issue here is both voter fraud, which is limited but does happen, and election theft through the manipulation of the computerized voting machines, particularly the DIEBOLD/PES voting machines in wide usage in most states. POLITICO profiled a Princeton professor <http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/08/2016-elections-russia-hack-how-to-hack-an-election-in-seven-minutes-214144> - who has demonstrated how the electronic voting machines that are most widely used can be hacked in five minutes or less! Robert Fitrakis Professor of Political Science in the Social and Behavioral Sciences Department at Columbus State Community College has written a must-read book on the strip and flip technique used to rig these machines. Professor Fitrakis is a Green Party activist.

A computer hacker showed CBS how to vote multiple times using a simple $15.00 electronic device <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/rigged-presidential-elections-hackers-demonstrate-voting-threat-old-machines/> .

To be very clear both parties have engaged in this skullduggery and it is the party in power in each state that has custody of the machines and control of their programing. This year, the results of machines in Pennsylvania, Virginia and Ohio, where Governor John Kasich controls the machines, must be matched with exit polls, for example.

Illinois is another obvious state where Trump has been running surprisingly strong, in what has become a Blue state. Does anyone trust Mayor Rahm Emanuel, a longtime Clinton hatchet man. not to monkey with the machines? I don't. He was using City funded Community groups to recruit anti-Trump "protestors" who posed such a threat to public safety the Trump Chicago event was canceled when the Secret Service couldn't guarantee his safety.

How do the pols of both parties do it? As easy as determining, on the basis of honest polling, who is going to win. Then, if it isn't your candidate, simply have the votes for the other guy be given to your guy and vice versa. You keep the total vote the same. This is where the "strip and flip" technique described by Professor Fitrakis comes in.

Maybe you don't need all the votes the other guy was going to get. If you have a plan in mind involving votes and their redistribution, you can find a programmer who can design the machine instructions to produce that outcome. Or you can hack the machine you are voting in with that $15 device that you can get at BEST BUY.

Europe has rejected electronic voting machines because they are untrustworthy. This is not a secret. The media continues a drum beat insisting voter fraud is

non-existent without ever addressing the more ominous question of manipulation of the voting machines. It keeps those in control in control.

Additionally some states still use machines that include no paper trail. The "evidence" is destroyed. Florida's machines have no paper trail in Bush v. Gore. In Europe, they use exit polling to determine who won and lost. The tabulated vote only serves as a formal verification. But that is done with paper ballots and hand counts under supervision, the way we used to do it.

Here's the recipe now:

(1) Publish a poll contrived to suggest the result you are going to bring about.

(2) Manipulate the machines to bring about precisely your desired outcome.

As someone with great sentimental attachment to the Republican Party, as I joined as the party of Goldwater, both parties have engaged in voting machine manipulation. Nowhere in the country has this been more true than Wisconsin, where there are strong indications that Scott Walker and the Reince Priebus machine rigged as many as five elections including the defeat of a Walker recall election.

Mathematician and voting statistic expert Richard Charnin has produced a compelling study <https://richardcharnin.wordpress.com/2014/11/12/wisconsin-2014-governor-true-vo teexit-poll-analysis-indicates-fraud/> by comparing polling to actual results and exit polls to make a compelling case for voting machine manipulation in the Badger state.

When the Trump vs. Cruz primary took place, the same pattern emerged again of a Marquette University poll showing a 20 point shift from Trump ahead by 10% to Trump behind by 10%, which was simply absurd. Shifts like that don't happen over brief intervals of time, absent a nuclear explosion. It didn't make any sense - unless you knew what was going on was an "instant replay" of Walker's victories. The machine Priebus built was delivering for Cruz big time.

Today, the polling industry <http://www.dcclothesline.com/2016/08/07/new-polls-are-being-rigged-for-hillary- up-to-9-points-video/> has been reported to be "in a state of crisis" because they are altering their samples to favor Hillary. The Reuters poll actually got busted for oversampling Democrats in order to inflate Hillary's lead. We even had the absurdity of a Gallup poll proclaiming that 51% of those who had heard Trump's speech were less likely to vote for him, which was endlessly repeated by the shills at MSNBC.

I predicted that Trump would lead in the polls after his highly successful convention (despite the media frenzy over the non-issue of a Melania Trump staffer plagiarizing a handful of words). In fact, post convention polling for the Trump effort by pollster Tony Fabrizio in key swing states was encouraging. Perhaps this is why the establishment elites have gone into over-drive to attack Trump.

Hillary hasn't exactly had smooth sailing. Julian Assange of Wikileaks said he had inconvertible proof that as Secretary of State Hillary Clinton armed Isis LINK. The IRS has opened an investigation to the Clinton Foundation and it's many offshoots, and Hillary got caught lying about what FBI Director Comey did say about her.

But you will see less of Hillary's problems in the mainstream media, which has gone completely overboard in its relentless, even hysterical, efforts to lambaste Trump and promote her. Every remotely objective commentator has been stunned. Trump will, however, have an opportunity to drive these points home in

the debates.

We are now living in a fake reality of constructed data and phony polls. The computerized voting machines can be hacked and rigged and after the experience of Bernie Sanders there is no reason to believe they won't be. Don't be taken in.

Stone is a former consultant to Donald Trump's Presidential campaign, a New York Times Bestselling Author and a veteran of nine Republican presidential campaigns from Nixon to Trump.