
From: eagles_nest@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2015 5:13 PM
To: Haley, Nikki
Subject: Fwd: Stand Down Order From The House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee for the Incorporate Doctrine Defacto Government, South Carolina Department of Social Services Licensing and Regulation .

-----Original Message-----

From: eagles_nest <eagles_nest@aol.com>
To: eagles_nest <eagles_nest@aol.com>
Sent: Wed, Apr 29, 2015 2:00 pm
Subject: Fwd: Stand Down Order From The House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee for the Incorporate Doctrine Defacto Government, South Carolina Department of Social Services Licensing and Regulation .

-----Original Message-----

From: eagles_nest <eagles_nest@aol.com>
To: eagles_nest <eagles_nest@aol.com>
Sent: Tue, Apr 28, 2015 7:18 pm
Subject: Stand Down Order From The House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee for the Incorporate Doctrine Defacto Government, South Carolina Department of Social Services Licensing and Regulation .

This Public Notice is From the House of the Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee

The House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee are Governing Common Law and Oath Holder of Common Law
Copy Right Notice Enforcing and Upholding Natural Rights Ensuring and Protecting Natural Law and The Family of Nations
Under Common Law, in Compliance with the Law of the land the Usage, Customs, Traditions, People, Religion, Culture and the Written law, herein the Common Law is Unwritten Law that is Written by The Tribunal of The Court of Record being,
The House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee Under the 5th and 7th Amendments Enforces Protection of
the United States Criminal Code in the court of common pleas for native black americans Universal Human Rights to the very best of it's ability, enforcing the Criminal Code of the United States of America In accordance to Article 6 Section 2 Of the United States Republic Constitution Which Reads As Follows :

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

In Compliance with United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People (Drip) President Obama Signed the (Drip) in Alliance with the United Nations Declaration of Universal Human Rights, Under International Law, Law of Nations in the Family of Nation. Black Native Americans are One Nation Under God Indivisible With Liberty and Justice For All, Under God Law, the Law of One. Herein Black Native Americans are the Land Contract that Thomas Jefferson Concluded The Black Man, Woman, Boy, and Girl are each 3/4th per, each person invested, land contract, and are the

Supremacy Clause of Amendments 1 through 15 Republic United States Constitution and Inherited God sovereignty of our Land In the 1866 Indian Treaty of The Cherokee Nation, Chickasaw Nation, Creek Nation, Choctaw Nation, and the Seminole Nation, at the time of the Authorized Signatory Signing of the 1866 five Indian Nations Treaty that Nationalized Black Natives as One Nation Under God, as Black Natives Did not Sign the Treaty and are Non Consent Jurisdiction, Herein the Indians were the Volunteer Authorized Consent Signatories that Signed The Treaty that made Black Natives the Inheritors of Non Consent Jurisdiction that Nationalized Black Natives, Black Natives are Constitutionally Vindicated and are the Supremacy clause in the Amendments of Republic Constitution.

All Black Natives are Constitutionally Vindicated and Reserves All God Given Rights of Authorities at All Times, Herein All inhome daycare providers who are one nation under God Indivisible with liberty and justice for all. The Black Native Inhome Day Care Providers Reserve All of Their Human Rights and Assembles their Union in human Rights Labor Union Inhome Daycare Providers

In Compliance with United Nations Universal Human Rights Labor Union International Law in the Law of Nations Herein This Human Rights Union, Inhome Daycare Provider Union are in the Family of Nations, Common Law Jurisdiction. All people in this Union Under the 5th and 7th Amendments are Common Law Jury and Common Law Grand Jury and are Indefeasible One Block Vote System All One Single Vote Together . Any Interference Without Due Process of Law is Abrogation of Human Rights, All Infractions Will be On File With the International Court for Deprivation of Human Rights, Remedy for this Violation will be Judgment Deficiency Claim in the Total Amount of The Public Official Public Hazard Bond, Under 5th and 7th Amendment The Claim of the Public Hazard Insurance Bond Deficiency Judgment Is Administrative, a judge ceases to sit as a judicial officer because the governing principal of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments, and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally courts are prohibited from substituting their judgment for that of the agency. Courts in administrative issues are prohibited from even listening to or hearing arguments, presentation, or rational. ASIS v U S, 568 F2d 284.

(Here Are This Union Miranda Privacy-Rights. For All Union People Equally in the Family of Nations Universal Human Rights.)

Privilege against self incrimination is in part individuals substantive right to private conclave where he may lead a private life. Constitutional foundation underlying privilege against self incrimination is the respect of, State or Federal, must accord to dignity and integrity of it's citizens. Fifth Amendment provision that individual cannot be compelled to be witness against himself cannot be abridged. Miranda vs. State of Arizona, 380 U S 436 (1966)

CORPORATION Has No Right-Individual Does

We are of the opinion that there is a clear distinction in this particular between an individual and a corporation, and that the latter has no right to refuse to submit it's books and papers for an examination at the suit of the state. The individual may stand upon his Constitutional rights as a Constitutional citizen .He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. He has no duties to the State or his neighbors to divulge his business, or to open his doors to an investigation, so far as it may tend to incriminate him. He owes no such duty to the State, Since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of this life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the state, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law.

He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights....an individual may lawfully refuse to answer incriminating questions unless protected by an immunity statute..

Hale vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 at page 74.

Herein This Union Reserves Their Human Rights to Contract Without any Interference Of Codes, through Statutes Passed by Bills of Legislation Under Color of Law. By THE INCORPORATE DOCTRINE OF DE FACTO GOVERNMENT CORPORATIONS, Herein THE CORPORATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR IS DE FACTO GOVERNMENT and Is Not The Law of the land

and has Swore an Oath To the United States Republic Constitution of which in IT'S OFFICIAL CAPACITY OF INCORPORATE DOCTRINE ARE A CORPORATION that is a LEGAL FICTION, that has AUTHORITY ONLY OVER IT'S OWN CORPORATION

OF WHICH IT POSSESSES ITS OWN CORPORATE CHARTERS, and does Not Possess Human Rights and Human Authority of Enforcement Given to man and woman by God and therefore are Not God to Take Them Away from Man and woman .

The Maxim of Law which aligns all Public Officials Elected or Appointed, must be in compliance in accordance to their Oath of Office, Sworn Promissory Oath to the United States Republic Constitution Under Article 6 Section 2

Eleventh Amendment does not protect State Officials from claims for prospected relief when it is alleged that State Officials acted in violation of Federal Law. CURRUPTION OF AUTHORITY: Burton vs United States, 202 U.S. 344 26 S. Ct. 688 50 L. Ed 1057.

in our country people are sovereign and the Government cannot sever it's relationship to them by taking away their citizenship.

Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F. 2d 946 (1973)

Where rights Secured by the Constitution are involved there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.

Norton vs Shelby.

The claim and exercise of a Constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime, a denial of them would be a denial of due process of law.

Warnock vs Pecos County Texas.

A writing is 'void ab initio' in the case of fraud in the inception, and it need not be formally rescinded as a prerequisite to right of avoidance.

Bonacci vs Massachusetts Bonding Ins. Co., (1943) 58 CA

Section 63-13-840. (A) is Void ab initio, it is an unlawful Order, Non Compliance with the Law of the Land, and U S Constitution.

In Order for any Agency or Corporation to perform any search activity they must obtain a lawful Warrant or the Public Official and/or Agency Commits an Unlawful Search and Seizure, is a Felony and Conspiracy of Deprivation to Deprive Human Rights. In Violation of USC Title 18 241 and 242 and The Constitution Article 6 Section 2 Forbids this Unlawful Action, and the United Nations International Law. Wherein the Public Official and/or Agency Wars with the Constitution and International Laws. Therefore the Codes are Notwithstanding in Article 6 Section 2, and are Void ab initio, "Void". Herein The Native Republic Enforcement Committee Enforces Governing and Hereby Orders SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR NIKKI HALEY to Stand Down in Compliance with her Oath of Office to Exercise Her Seat In Office, a Non Compliance of Article 6 Section 2 results in an Automatic Forfeiture Of her Oath of Office, Wherein the Public Official Will Be Removed Immediately By Common Law Grand Jury Under 5th Amendment herein The Human Rights Union Day Care Providers Shall by Law have Remedy for Any Violations from the Agencies or Public Officials who Violates Their Human Rights by performing Unlawful Search and Seizures in Conspiracy of Deprivation to Deprive Human Rights, of the Human Rights Labor Union Inhome Daycare Providers. The only area that Department of Social Service Daycare Licensing or any Agency relating to Inhome Daycare Providers Union can observe are the designative area in the home that's listed on the Alternate Approval Family Daycare Homes Sheet which is Stated on line number 6. List the rooms to which children will have access, and number 7. Location of Children's outdoor play area.

All other Human Rights Labor Union Daycare Providers, Rules and Regulations Shall be Forwarded to Department of Social Services

and Other Related Agencies After The Human Rights Labor Union Daycare Providers has cast their Single Block Vote for the Union Regulations and Stipulations in their Operation.

For Public Officials or Agencies that Unlawfully Violates This Union's Human Rights, Violations Will Result In \$10,000,000.00. in Gold. Lawful Money. Per Violation, Each Union Member Represents 1% out of the remaining 99% Union Members that are all a 100% Union, 100% Single Block Vote as a whole, Ten Million Dollars Per Human Rights Violations, Union Policy for All Public Officials and/or Agency, CORPORATIONS.

effective immediately, on the record for the record and let the record show .

April 29, 2015.

House of The Native Black Republic Enforcement Committee.

Elder Nobel Imperial Wisdom.

