

**SOUTH CAROLINA
LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COUNCIL
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA**

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JUNE 30, 2008

CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
II. ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENT	
SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	5
OPERATING LEASE CLOSING PACKAGE	6
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	7

State of South Carolina



Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160
FAX (803) 343-0723

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

September 16, 2009

Members of the General Assembly
and
Members of the Legislative Audit Council
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of South Carolina Legislative Audit Council (the Council), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, in the areas addressed. The Council's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**

- We inspected all recorded deposits to determine if these deposits were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected selected recorded deposits to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded deposits were in agreement.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Council, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$16,200 – general fund and \$30 – earmarked fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.
- We inspected payroll transactions for all new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures, that the employee's first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general fund to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$16,200 – general fund) and ± 10 percent.

- We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions. We investigated changes of ± 5 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures

4. **Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers**

- We inspected all recorded journal entries, operating transfers, and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers**

- We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Council to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and selected entries were processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Reconciliations**

- We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by Council for the year ended June 30, 2008, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Council's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Council's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Council's accounting records and/or in STARS.

The reconciliations selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Appropriation Act**

- We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine the Agency's compliance with Appropriation Act general and agency specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

8. **Closing Packages**

- We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, prepared by the Council and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the closing packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Operating Lease Closing Package in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the General Assembly and of the governing body and management of the Legislative Audit Council and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor

ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS

SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

OPERATING LEASE CLOSING PACKAGE

The Office of the Comptroller General (CG) obtains certain generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) data for the State's financial statements from agency prepared closing packages. To accurately report the Council's and the State's assets, liabilities, and current year operations, the GAAP closing packages must be complete and accurate. Section 3.19 of Closing Package Manual specifies that agencies must submit an operating leases closing package if the total noncancelable operating lease obligation at fiscal yearend is greater than \$200,000.

We determined that the Council did not complete and submit an operating lease closing package for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 even though its obligation for its noncancelable operating lease was \$398,742.

We recommend that the Council implement procedures to ensure that it complies with the closing package manual requirements.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE



SOUTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Audit Council

Independence, Reliability, Integrity



PUBLIC MEMBERS

Philip F. Laughridge, CPA
Chairman
Thomas F. Hartnett
S. Jahue (Jake) Moore, Esq.
C Dom Smith, III, M.D.

December 29, 2009

Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200
Columbia, SC 29201

LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS

Senate

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
Glenn F. McConnell, Chairman
President Pro Tempore
Raymond E. Cleary, III, Designee

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Hugh K. Leatherman, Sr., Chairman
Michael L. Fair, Designee

House of Representatives

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
James H. Harrison, Chairman
Walton J. McLeod, Designee

WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE
Daniel T. Cooper, Chairman
Rex F. Rice, Designee

Dear Mr. Gilbert:

We have reviewed the preliminary draft of the agreed-upon procedures report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, that was provided to us on December 17, 2009. As recommended, we will implement procedures to ensure the closing packages are submitted timely.

We authorize the release of the final report. As always, we appreciate your diligent efforts on behalf of the State of South Carolina.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Bardin, Jr.
Director

/s/

Thomas J. Bardin, Jr.
Director

4 copies of this document were published at an estimated printing cost of \$1.37 each, and a total printing cost of \$5.48. Section 1-11-125 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended requires this information on printing costs be added to the document.