

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
To: [Boone, Susan <SBoone@dew.sc.gov>](#)  
Date: 3/1/2016 11:48:54 AM  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA  
Attachments: [Handbook for Safeguarding Sensitive PII \(March 2012\).pdf](#)  
[298 Stipulated Protective Order.pdf](#)  
[Scanned from a Xerox multifunction device.pdf](#)

---

Please see below. Thanks, Holly

---

From: Emory Smith [mailto:[ESmith@scag.gov](mailto:ESmith@scag.gov)]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 8:47 AM  
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Sorry for the additional trouble, but the acknowledgment forms will need to be re-executed. (p. 17 of attached order). For the first time. Texas has recently sent me the attached DHS guidance on protecting personally identifiable info. Because it is referenced in the Acknowledgement on page 17 of the attached Order, those persons who previously executed the Acknowledgement and any others who would receive the information will need to review the DHS handbook and re-execute the form.

Please send me the forms when ready. Again, sorry for this inconvenience, but the Handbook is referenced in the Order and had not been provided to me previously.

Thanks.

Emory

---

From: Emory Smith  
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 10:45 AM  
To: 'Pisarik, Holly'  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

I have had to request an additional from Texas which is referenced in the Order but has not been provided to me. Will let you know when I hear from my contact.

Thanks.

Emory

---

From: [Pisarik, Holly \[mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov\]](#)  
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 5:02 PM  
To: Emory Smith  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

---

From: [Boone, Susan \[mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov\]](#)  
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 1:29 PM  
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
Cc: [Boone, Susan](#)  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

Good afternoon, Holly. I have communicated the terms of the Protective Order with the SC DEW employees who

may be involved in conducting research on this matter. Attached in the above pdf are the signature pages for those individuals. If you would please let me know what else may be needed at this point, I would appreciate it. If I should communicate directly with Emory, just let me know.

Thank you,

Susan M Boone  
Chief Legal Officer  
SC Department of Employment and Workforce  
803.737.2176

---

From: Boone, Susan  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2016 1:59 PM  
To: 'Pisarik, Holly'  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Holly, thank you for your patience. I had an opportunity to discuss this further with our UI Department and the only way for the agency to confirm whether any of the potential claimants received benefits improperly is to have their social security numbers. Cheryl agrees with our recommendation to move forward so that we can be sure that we are protecting the trust fund. We will take a look at the agreement, confirm who at SC DEW will have access to the information and provide you with the appropriate signatures. Please let me know if there is anything else that you need from us.

Thank you,  
Susan

---

From: Pisarik, Holly [<mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:49 AM  
To: Boone, Susan  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

Susan, Please see below e-mail from Emory. How would you like to proceed?

---

From: Emory Smith [<mailto:ESmith@scag.gov>]  
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:17 AM  
To: Pisarik, Holly  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

See attached explanatory email from TX and the protective order. As you can see, the protective order is very restrictive. I believe I would have to provide the info directly to the DEW lawyer or supervisor after he or she signed the agreement as provided therein (see para. 4d of order). Anyone at DEW who receives the info would have to sign it, also. Para 5. I would prefer to request only names at this point rather than ss no's, etc. . If the names don't show up, we should not need the other personal info. If they do match up, I can request the remaining info.

Please let me know whether you'd like me to request the names of the SC persons who received three-year Employment Authorization Documents that subsequently have been invalidated.

Emory

---

From: Pisarik, Holly [<mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 4:44 PM  
To: Emory Smith  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

See message below from DEW. How should we proceed?

---

From: Boone, Susan [<mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov>]

---

Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 3:38 PM  
To: Pisarik, Holly  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Holly, thank you for reaching out to us. I have reviewed the information and conferred with our team and it appears that DEW could be impacted by this decision. The only way to confirm whether benefits were provided to these individuals is to obtain their PII and compare it to our claims information. Please let me know how you would like us to proceed.

Thank you,  
Susan M Boone