

**From:** Baker, Josh  
**To:** Patel, SwatiSwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov  
Soura, ChristianChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov  
Veldran, KatherineKatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov  
**Date:** 6/13/2012 12:40:06 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Did you see this?

---

No confusion - this isn't about the exception language, this is about the waterways inclusion into the exception language. They wanted to waterways inclusion so as to not be tied to the strict definition of 'canals' with respect to establishing the no-consent districts. This article is consistent, if not totally detailed, with our original analysis.  
Josh

-----  
Sent using BlackBerry

---

**From:** Patel, Swati  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 13, 2012 11:39 AM  
**To:** Baker, Josh; Soura, Christian; Veldran, Katherine  
**Subject:** Did you see this?

<http://www.myrtlebeachonline.com/2012/06/12/2882849/veto-puts-future-of-dredging-projects.html>

Still confusion over the exception language. Need to get confirmation as to this from the people who will enforce it.

Swati Shah Patel  
Chief Legal Counsel  
Office of the Governor  
803.734.5095 direct dial