

From: Pardue, Doug <dpardue@postandcourier.com>
To: Adams, Chaney ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov
Date: 10/25/2016 12:24:50 PM
Subject: RE: Question Re: Haley and the Clean Power Plan

It's running in the paper tomorrow, but the editors want to put it online at 3 pm today.

From: Adams, Chaney [<mailto:ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:21 PM
To: Pardue, Doug
Subject: RE: Question Re: Haley and the Clean Power Plan

Doug, what is your deadline here?

From: Pardue, Doug [<mailto:dpardue@postandcourier.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:04 PM
To: Adams, Chaney
Subject: Question Re: Haley and the Clean Power Plan

Chaney,

Gov. Haley has previously voiced opposition for the Obama Administration's Clean Power Plan and support for Attorney General Wilson's joining the lawsuit to kill the plan as an unconstitutional power grab.

I would like her reaction to a recent study by the Duke University Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy titled, "Ongoing Evolution of the Electricity Industry: Effects of Market Conditions and the Clean Power Plan on States." That study shows that under the Clean Power Plan as written, South Carolina could earn as much as \$1.2 billion in emission credits between 2022 and 2030 that it could sell to other states. Those would go back to rate payers in electricity rate cost cuts. And according to Michael Couick, head of the Electric Cooperatives of South Carolina, rate payers additionally would save as much as \$1 billion a year if the Clean Power Plan survives as written.

Does the governor care to comment and these potential losses for SC ratepayers if the state succeeds in its legal effort to kill the pla?

Thanks,
Doug