

From: Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>
To: Adams, Chaney <ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov>
Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>
Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov>
CC: Godfrey, Rob <RobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 12/17/2015 10:10:35 AM
Subject: RE: ! Year-End Spending Bill Contains Funding to Cover Losses From Historic Flooding !

My thoughts:

No comment on the first two, as 1) we did not request them, and 2) unlike CDBG, these are programs that our office will have little to no direct involvement in. Beach renourishment is based on local contracts with Army Corps and the Ag programs will be applied for directly from the affected farmer through USDA.

As for CDBG, our letter to the delegation states our position on the potential unmet housing need and what we think will cover it.

From: Adams, Chaney
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:58 AM
To: Glaccum, David; Baker, Josh; Patel, Swati
Cc: Godfrey, Rob
Subject: FW: ! Year-End Spending Bill Contains Funding to Cover Losses From Historic Flooding !

Josh and David,

What do you think here?

From: Jackson, Gavin [<mailto:gjackson@postandcourier.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:49 AM
To: Adams, Chaney
Subject: FW: ! Year-End Spending Bill Contains Funding to Cover Losses From Historic Flooding !

Chaney,

Below are appropriations under the current omnibus spending bill in Congress that S.C. is eligible to compete for, as related to the flood.

Obviously the bill still needs to clear the House, Senate and be signed by Obama, but does Gov. Haley have any initial comment to these appropriations being included in the bill? Does she feel like these appropriations will be adequate to cover the state's needs as a result of the flood (with the exception of the \$114m she's requesting from the GA)?

Let me know when you can, thanks,
Gavin

From: Eline, Alexandra [<mailto:Alexandra.Eline@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:29 AM
To: Jackson, Gavin
Subject: RE: ! Year-End Spending Bill Contains Funding to Cover Losses From Historic Flooding !

Hey Gavin,

Sorry I wanted to double check with the leg staff before giving numbers. Please note that all funds come with the disclosure that South Carolina is eligible to compete for these funds and of course, that the Omni passes.

For beach renourishment, two of the three pertinent construction accounts for our beach project were increased

(from the House version) in the Omnibus totaling a \$64m increase:

- The Flood and Storm Damage Reduction- \$136m to \$185m (+\$49m)
- Flood Control- \$105m to \$125m (+\$20m)
- Shore Protection- \$45m to \$40m (-\$5m)

Agriculture Programmatic Funding dedicated to major disaster declarations:

- Emergency Watershed Protection Program – 37m
- Emergency Forest Restoration Program – 2m
- Emergency Conservation Program – 91m

\$300 million in CDBG disaster funds “related to the consequences of Hurricane Joaquin and adjacent storm systems, Hurricane Patricia, and other flood events.” CDBG funding is generally used for housing repairs, but can possibly be used for other things like ag.