


---

**From:** Veldran, Katherine  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 28, 2015 12:54 PM  
**To:** Veldran, Katherine  
**Subject:** FW: flood relief – where's the money going, and who's paying?

---

**From:** Ashley Landess [mailto:AshleyL=scpolicycouncil.org@mail64.atl71.mcdlv.net] **On Behalf Of** Ashley Landess  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2015 3:45 PM  
**To:** Veldran, Katherine  
**Subject:** flood relief – where's the money going, and who's paying?

[View this email in your browser](#)



Hi Katherine,

With South Carolinians now engaged in cleanup and recover after the floods of early October, it's worth examining government's role in the effort. We all hope that the right money gets to the right people, but we've been around long enough to know sometimes that doesn't happen.

So last week, our policy team went to work analyzing the agreement between South Carolina and the federal government whereby federal funds would be used for disaster relief. What we found got our attention. The agreement isn't available to the public, it's a bureaucratic mess, and South Carolina



[DOR naming & shaming](#)

[Leatherman's new terminal](#)

[What a difference  
'restructuring' hasn't made](#)

[Gov. Haley neuters  
accountability reports](#)

[Turnover at DOT](#)

[Is a gas tax hike really the  
best way to address this?](#)

[The return of investigative  
journalism](#)

taxpayers are going to wind up paying a giant part of the tab. Actually, though, the state can and should use state reserve funds for disasters like this, and not rely on a federal government that's \$19 trillion in debt.

[Check out the full report here.](#)

Meanwhile, *The Nerve's* Ron Aiken came up with a shocking and important story on Monday. It seems a couple of public officials tried – and they almost succeeded – to get a local council to pay a million dollars for a disaster relief scheme that had virtually nothing to do with disaster relief.

[Read the story here.](#)

As the 2016 legislative session grows nearer, you can bet that lawmakers will use the damage to state roads caused by flooding as a reason why they "have" to raise your taxes. It may be worth remembering why a tax hike won't fix anything -- namely because our road funding system is a giant mess run by a few powerful lawmakers with no statewide accountability. If you need a refresher course, [click here](#) and spend a few minutes browsing.

And we *are* making progress. We're starting to see the mainstream media echo the conclusions we've drawn about our backward and unaccountable road funding system. If you haven't seen it already, take a look at [this report](#) in *The State*. It's a well done

[Analysis: Reform first, then worry about revenue](#)

[South Carolina's addiction to bond debt](#)

[More 'Bank' money for a perpetually unfinished project](#)

[The tax break nobody knows about](#)

[Columbia's top 25 lobbyist principals](#)

[Why is this official keeping mum about \\$8.5 million?](#)

[Agency reviewing county lines, sans public input](#)

[Legislative staffing grows with government restructuring law](#)

[The return of investigative journalism](#)

[On roads, reform first; then talk about revenue](#)

[South Carolina's addiction to bond debt](#)

[Who asked for these projects?](#)

[Supreme Court to hear DOT case](#)

[Citizen to agency: I'm prepared to take this to court](#)

piece all around.

We'll have more later this week about how South Carolina law doesn't afford nearly as much protection of property rights as most people think. More soon....

Take care, and thanks for caring so deeply about our state.

All the best,

Ashley Landess

*Copyright © \*|2015|\* \*|S.C. Policy Council|\*, All rights reserved.*

**Our mailing address is:**

1323 Pendleton Street  
Columbia, SC 29201

[unsubscribe from this list](#) [update subscription preferences](#)