

From: Soura, Christian  
To: Mayer, DougDougMayer@gov.sc.gov  
Date: 2/14/2013 1:35:04 PM  
Subject: Re: Backgrounder - Add 2

---

You mind forwarding me the final version of the doc when you have it? Gonna brief her in a bit and want to be sure I use the common/final script. Thanks.

CLS

---

From: Mayer, Doug  
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 12:44 PM  
To: Soura, Christian  
Subject: Re: Backgrounder - Add 2

Ok thanks

---

From: Soura, Christian  
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 12:39 PM  
To: Mayer, Doug  
Subject: Re: Backgrounder - Add 2

I'd probably take his modified version, but instead lead off with, "We have about 1,000 bridges in South Carolina that are structurally..."

CLS

---

From: Mayer, Doug  
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 12:24 PM  
To: Soura, Christian  
Subject: Fw: Backgrounder - Add 2

Christian, you OK with this?

Thanks

---

From: Poore, Peter [mailto:PooreJP@dot.state.sc.us]  
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 12:21 PM  
To: Mayer, Doug  
Subject: FW: Backgrounder - Add 2

Doug,  
Just from a standpoint of accuracy, it has been suggested to me that this statement should be used.  
Over to you...  
Pete

The load restricted number is included in the structurally deficient and functionally obsolete categories. A more accurate statement would be "Almost 1,000 bridges in SC are structurally deficient, with more than 400 of those being load-restricted." Instead of his statement of "More than 1,000 bridges in SC are either load-restricted or are structurally deficient"