

From: Andy Barclay <andy@fosteringcourtimprovement.org>
To: Carenn Moore carennmoore@sowellgray.com
Becky Laffitte blaffitte@sowellgray.com
Justice Corrigan justicecorrigan@hotmail.com
Page Walley PWalley@casey.org
CC: Monty Todd mtodd@sowellgray.com
Michael Montgomery mmontgomery@sowellgray.com
Catone, Tony Tony.Catone@dss.sc.gov
Davis, Taron Taron.Davis@dss.sc.gov
Butch Bowers Butch@ButchBowers.com
Pisarik, Holly HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov
Shackelford, John John.Shackelford@dss.sc.gov
Date: 11/13/2015 1:21:06 PM
Subject: Caseloads - Defendants Counter Proposals November 13

Hi All,

I think our caseload limit counter should be simply:

A.2. Implementing the Workload Limits for Foster Care.

(a) At least 90% of Workers and Worker supervisors shall have a workload within the applicable Workload Limit.

(b) No Worker or Worker's supervisor shall have more than 125% of the applicable Workload Limit.

There's very little upside to interim targets, so I oppose them in general. The 90%/125% limits are the most generous I've found so far. The real work is in settling on the measurement methodology. There, we are better off with the co-monitors as decision-makers. OK may be the only state that has the methodology settled. Others are in various states of limbo after 10-20 years.

Brief notes:

- as long as "Each Workload Limit must be approved by the Co-Monitors before it is adopted" is read to give latitude to negotiate methodology & limits with monitors, this is great.
- [basis: CWLA: fc-only, 12-15 kids; GA: fc-only < 18 mos, <= 15 kids, latest=95%; MI: fc-only <= 15 kids, target=95%/latest=92%; NJ: fc-only <= 10 kids, target=95%/latest=98%; OK: fc-only <= 15 kids, target=90/120%.]

Andy

Carenn Moore wrote:

Here is the current DRAFT.

Carenn

From: Becky Laffitte
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 10:21 AM
To: Andy Barclay <andy@fosteringcourtimprovement.org>; Justice Corrigan <justicecorrigan@hotmail.com>;

Page Walley <PWalley@casey.org>

Cc: Becky Laffitte <blaffitte@sowellgray.com>; Carenn Moore <cmoore@sowellgray.com>; Monty Todd <mtodd@sowellgray.com>; Michael Montgomery <mmontgomery@sowellgray.com>; Catone, Tony <Tony.Catone@dss.sc.gov>; Davis, Taron <Taron.Davis@dss.sc.gov>; Butch Bowers <Butch@ButchBowers.com>; Pisarik, Holly <HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>; Shackelford, John <John.Shackelford@dss.sc.gov>
Subject: Defendants Counter Proposals November 13
Importance: High

Good morning

Given that we didn't receive the word document until 12:01 am, Carenn is finishing the current revisions. We will need to act quickly to offer comments and suggested revisions. John, Andy has indicated we will need your assistance with Therapeutic Foster Care Placements. We would like to conference at 1:30 if possible. Carenn will circulate the call in information. Thanks B



Rebecca Laffitte v-card

Member
(803) 231-7831
www.sowellgray.com



Supporting Green. Please print wisely.

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure. They are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipients or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

IRS DISCLAIMER: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code.

--

<http://FosteringCourtImprovement.org/>