

From: Tom Davis <tom1960davis@yahoo.com>
To: Veldran, KatherineKatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov
CC: Soura, ChristianChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov
Patel, SwatiSwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov
Date: 6/20/2012 2:34:26 PM
Subject: Re: GOV VETOES today

Your memo says:

"There is currently ONE and only ONE exception to this opt-in provision: for district whose SOLE purpose is the dredging of canals. This adds "waterways connected to canals" to that exception. While this may seem small, the fact is that "waterways" is not defined, nor is "connected".

True enough, but the term "canals" is specifically defined, and defined in such a way so that only waterways connected to certain previously conveyed canals (which happen to be in Cherry Grove) are impacted. I intend to vote to sustain the governor's veto, but the argument that the bill would allow all waterways to be improved by funds yielded, with owners' consent, via an improvement district is not correct.

From: "Veldran, Katherine" <KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov>
To: "tom1960davis@yahoo.com" <tom1960davis@yahoo.com>;
"LeeBright@scsenate.gov" <LeeBright@scsenate.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 20, 2012 1:50 PM
Subject: GOV VETOES today

Senator Davis and Senator Bright,

The governor appreciates your support and speaking on the following vetoes today – H4033 (Davis) and S1167 (Bright). Attached are talking points and background information for your convenience.

On 2nd Reading, H.4033 received nine nayvotes: Bright, Bryant, Davis, Grooms, S. Martin, Peeler, Rose, Shoopman, and Verdin.

On adoption of the House amendment, S.1167 received six nayvotes: Bright, Bryant, Davis, Fair, S. Martin, and Rose.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thank you,

Katherine

Katherine F. Veldran
Legislative Liaison | Office of Governor Nikki Haley
O: 803-734-5124 | C: 803-767-7583
KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov