
From: Melissa Tanner <MTanner@ghs.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 9:18 AM
To: mbuckhannonj@sccourts.org
Cc: Haley, Nikki; Alford, Susan
Subject: RE:

Also the comment was made by Mark Herro that Jason puts Gavin his 2 year son first. Which is not true what has to come first is Jason medical care and needs. Then Mrs. Buckhannon repeated to Jason what the DSS attorney stated which was an ethical being that Jason is the medical condition he is in.

So Mark Herro needs to get court documentation that Amanda has her other child, of which she does not she get visitation since she was 2 years old.

Amanda does not have another child in the home!!! So both Mark Herro and Amanda lied in court.

As I am Jason's power of attorney so I will need the calculations of how the \$100.00 was the figured. How did Mark Herro come up with that figure for the back pay, considering Jason Medical needs are priority at this point due to his disability. 2 years prior to the Jason tragic accident we have offered insurance for the minor child and the other party in unwilling/refuses to allow us to cover her but that is not what the guideline and health care reform state it is available **Medicaid should be secondary** and that amount premium also needs to be deducted from the back pay \$2328.00 because the child support system failed Jason and the best interest of the minor child. As the guidelines states Jason should be given those adjustments.

Also I need to understand if this is temporary order because it should be. Because if Jason is incapacitated in a nursing they will require his entire SSI check.

At the end of the end I am responsible for everything that occurs with Jason Drake and I have make sure his needs are met first as he is 100% disable.

A lot of people do not understand the difference between someone being in a motorized wheelchair VS a manual. What this means for Jason is he is a tetraplegic and cannot transfer he required an lift to be put in his chair, Jason cannot do anything on his own. Jason requires 24/7 home care or which sometime be paid out of pocket.

Just an FYI we could have had the \$222.00 she receives reduced to \$148.00 a month as Jason step daughter would also be entitled to receive social security check as well but we had not done that because we were trying to put his oldest daughter FIRST. But that it is where that comment you all made and made Jason feel like crap about not putting the 16 year first. That comment should have never been made. Mark Herro made that comment and it was inappropriate and then Mrs. Buckhannon followed and agreed with that comment not thinking with common since or asking questions or completely knowing the case. Under social security benefits step children can receive a check as well.

From: Melissa Tanner
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 2:39 PM

To: 'mbuckhannonj@sccourts.org'
Cc: NikkiHaley@gov.sc.gov; Susan.Alford@dss.sc.gov
Subject: RE:
Importance: High

Mrs. Buckhannon: You violated my right as Jason Drake's power of attorney as you refused to allow me in the court room!!!!!! Jason not only has a physical disability but also a traumatic brain injury that involves memory loss. Amanda Mullinax has the court reps at Spartanburg County Court house harass every single time. I am sick of being slandered by her I am not the one with a criminal record for criminal domestic violence she is. You violated my husband's disability rights of not allowing me in the court room. I need a better understanding of why the very first Judge was of the opinion that he was going to dismiss all the child support to include the back child support. I need to understand why if Amanda has received FI(Family Independence checks all of these years why is the money not being paid back to the states. She basically received her child support payment and/or a portion of it through Family Independence checks.

We offered her \$50.00 a month Jason had medical needs and transportation needs we have to pay for. We went to mediation twice of which Amanda Mullinax never showed up, of which we had to pay for \$50.00 which should also be credited off his child support. Also Amanda and the DSS attorney lied in court she does not have custody of her other daughter the father does she receives visits. She does not have insurance for the minor child. She has Medicaid. Jason should be given insurance premium credit for the past 4 years because we attempted many times to try to provide insurance for the minor child and that is a calculation that is include in the child support. So for two year prior we to his accident he should be provided that adjustment due the fact that the mother is unwilling to take our health coverage. If health care coverage is available she should be made to take it especially if she is covered under Medicaid which is. Jason should be given a credit on the support due to the refusal of insurance of \$2328.00

You discriminated against Jason Drake in court today. Jason should have had his child support lowered years ago try 10 years ago because he did not have the ability to pay what set up. There is a serious issue with DSS and child support cases in the state of SC. No one ever went after my father the \$80,000.00 he owes and he is disabled. I need to know how many cases with someone that is disability that the child support arrearage was actually paid. You cannot treat people differently as that is discrimination. If the state has had one case were the arrearage was disregarded and adjusted, then that must occur for Jason Drake.

If Jason had been a disabled women walking/coming in to court today it would have been a whole differently ball game. DSS has already violated policy in reference to Jason and I. Also we have not received our \$2800.00 attorney's fees back when faults reports were made and DSS did not follow policy. I need a date of when we will received that back. Susan Alford your office has been contacted many times in reference to this. The State of SC has serious issues as there are no laws, it is up to the judge is all we hear. But based on the pervious judges statement from the first date Jason appeared in court after his accident that Judge said legally he could not request Jason to pay anything and typically in cases such as these they are dropped. We need to have the transcript pulled from the court hearing from the first judge. We need to understand by one judge states one thing and another judge completely does another action. This is discrimination.

I need to see a law that states he is required to pay \$100.00 or even \$50.00 towards the back payment which was the original offer along with insurance because that is not what the original judge stated, court was continued to give Amanda the opportunity to obtain an attorney and for us to try to mediate because the Judge stated legally he could make Jason pay anything. Now why would the first judge make that statement and now that has changed. I have obtain a copy of that transcript. Mrs. Buckhannon prior to this court hearing did you review that transcript from the original hearing a year and half ago? You did not even have the file in front of you during the hearing.

My husband's fate should not lay in your hands. If you make Jason Drake being that he is fully 100% disabled and received disability income, you must make every disable person pay the back child support. His arrearage amount was sent at \$60.00 prior his injury date. When I have been told in the past that the most arrearage that could be requested is \$20.00 per week. The state of SC and DSS are treating people differently and being discriminating.

Just an FYI we could have had the \$222.00 she receives reduced to \$148.00 a month as Jason step daughter would also be entitled to receive social security check as well but we had not done that because we were trying to put his oldest daughter FIRST. But that it is where that comment you all made and made Jason feel like crap about not putting the 16 year first. That comment should have never been made. Mark Herro made that comment and it was inappropriate and then Mrs. Buckhannon followed and agreed with that comment not thinking with common sense or asking questions or completely knowing the case. Under social security benefits step children can receive a check as well.

The court needs to understand if Jason ever has to be put in a Nursing Home his entire check will need to go that nursing home. You did not ever care that Jason Drake is a quadriplegic, he is in and out of the hospital on and off ventilators.

Jason was unemployed due to lay off back in 2013/2014 so why was he not given a hearing by DSS then to adjust his child support for not having the ability to pay??? There should be more arrearage adjustment completed due to DSS and the court failed Jason Drake. One Judge stated that he could not legally make Jason pay anything and now this judge is making Jason pay \$100.00. We are being told it depends on the judge. Now why would the first Judge state what he did and this judge have a different opinion. We need to see the LAW!!!

Kind Regards,

Melissa Tanner
(864)201-5984