

From: Christians for Life and Liberty <CLL@spiritcom.net>
To:
Date: 9/12/2018 3:46:03 PM
Subject: Dissembling Kavanaugh refused to admit in Confirmation Hearing Sept 6 he himself believed 'the Supreme Court ... can always overrule its precedent', written in his March 24, 2003 email

1600Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka **Columbia Christians for Life (CCL)**
Columbia, South Carolina
September 12, 2018

Dissembling **Kavanaugh** refused to admit in Confirmation Hearing Sept 6 he himself believed

'the Supreme Court ... can always overrule its precedent', written in his **March 24, 2003 email**

Brett Kavanaugh's **March 24, 2003 email** states 'the Supreme Court ... can always overrule its precedent,
and three current [CLL: 2003] Justices on the Court would do so.'

New York Times

'Newly Revealed Emails Raise Fresh Objections to Kavanaugh Confirmation'

<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/09/06/us/politics/kavanaugh-confirmation-hearings.html>

Sept 6, 2018

Excerpts:

"... an email that Judge Kavanaugh wrote as a lawyer in George W. Bush's White House concerning
... Roe v. Wade: 'I am not sure that all legal scholars refer to Roe as the settled law of the land at the
Supreme Court level since Court can always overrule its precedent, and three current Justices on the
Court would do so.' "

[CLL Note : Kavanaugh is probably referring in this **March 24, 2003 email** to Rehnquist, Scalia and Thomas;

NOT to O'Connor, Kennedy, Souter, or Stevens, **all four of whom were also appointed by Republican presidents,** but who were **PRO-ABORTS!**; including pro-abortion, pro-sodomite Anthony Kennedy, whom Kavanaugh described as 'a mentor, a friend and a hero' and as 'a champion of liberty' [CLL: sic - a champion of child-murder & sodomy] in Kavanaugh's **opening statement** at his Confirmation Hearing before the US Senate Judiciary Committee Sept 4.]

"To Democrats and abortion rights [CLL: sic] advocates, that March 2003 statement appeared to contradict testimony from the judge on Wednesday [CLL: Sept 5] when he said he considered Roe 'settled as a precedent of the Supreme Court.' "

[CLL: Read the actual March 24, 2003 email from Brett Kavanaugh linked **here** in the NY Times article at bottom of p.1 of pdf]

"But Judge Kavanaugh and his Republican backers dismissed its significance. He said he merely was reflecting 'an accurate description of all legal scholars,' not expressing his own opinion."

[CLL comments : This appears to be an example of Kavanaugh dissembling; he did not want to claim what he wrote was his own view that 'the Supreme Court ... can always overrule its precedent' - but it is clear from history and from this email that SCOTUS precedent CAN be overruled !

Brett Kavanaugh US Senate Judiciary Questionnaire:

UNITED STATES SENATE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR NOMINEE TO THE SUPREME COURT

<https://www.americasurvival.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Brett-M.-Kavanaugh-SJQ.pdf>

CLL Note: Brett Kavanaugh law clerked for "Justice" [sic] Anthony Kennedy in 1993-1994. Pro-"Abortion" Anthony Kennedy upheld Roe vs. Wade in the Planned Parenthood vs. Casey decision in 1992, before Brett Kavanaugh came to law clerk for him in 1993-1994.

Brett Kavanaugh, during Confirmation Hearing [opening statement](#) , Sept 4, 2018:

"To me, Justice Kennedy is a mentor, a friend, and a hero. As a Member of the Court, he was a model of civility and collegiality. He fiercely defended the independence of the Judiciary.
And he was a champion of liberty [CLL: sic]. If you had to sum up Justice Kennedy's entire career in one word ... **"liberty."** [CLL: sic] **Justice Kennedy established a legacy of liberty for ourselves and our posterity."** [CLL: sic - sanctioning child-murder and sodomy and defilement of God-ordained marriage to be only between one man and one woman is NOT LIBERTY, it is SIN and ABOMINATION]

Full text: Brett Kavanaugh confirmation hearing opening statement

<https://www.politico.com/story/2018/09/04/full-text-brett-kavanaugh-confirmation-hearing-opening-statements-806420>

By POLITICO STAFF 09/04/2018

E-mail below sent out September 6, 2018:

CORRECTIONS:

Correction #1 :

Change:

Kavanaugh praises pro-'abortion', pro-sodomy, pro-sodomite/lesbian 'marriage' [sic]

Justice Anthony Kennedy as 'a mentor, a friend, and a hero' and 'a champion of liberty' - Sept 5, 2018

To:

Kavanaugh praises pro-'abortion', pro-sodomy, pro-sodomite/lesbian 'marriage' [sic]

Justice Anthony Kennedy as 'a mentor, a friend, and a hero' and 'a champion of liberty' - Sept 4, 2018

Correction #2 :

Change:

Brett Kavanaugh, during Confirmation Hearing opening statement, Sept 5, 2018:

To:

Brett Kavanaugh, during Confirmation Hearing opening statement, Sept 4, 2018:

–

Note: Corrections above already incorporated into September 12, 2018 Edited version below:

Date: Thu, 06 Sep 2018

From: Christians for Life and Liberty

Subject: Kavanaugh praises pro-'abortion', pro-sodomy, pro-sodomite/lesbian 'marriage' [sic] Justice Anthony Kennedy as 'a mentor, a friend, and a hero' and 'a champion of liberty' - Sept 5, 2018

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)

aka **Columbia Christians for Life (CCL)**

Columbia, South Carolina

September 6, 2018 / Edited September 12, 2018

Kavanaugh praises pro-'abortion', pro-sodomy, pro-sodomite/lesbian 'marriage' [sic]

Justice Anthony Kennedy as 'a mentor, a friend, and a hero' and 'a champion of liberty' - Sept 4, 2018

Brett Kavanaugh, during Confirmation Hearing opening statement, Sept 4,

2018:

"To me, Justice Kennedy is a mentor, a friend, and a hero. As a Member of the Court, he was a model of civility and collegiality. He fiercely defended the independence of the Judiciary.

And he was a champion of liberty [CLL: sic]. If you had to sum up Justice Kennedy's entire career in one word ... "liberty." [CLL: sic] Justice Kennedy established a legacy of liberty for ourselves and our posterity." [CLL: sic - sanctioning child-murder and sodomy and defilement of God-ordained marriage to be only between one man and one woman is NOT LIBERTY, it is SIN and ABOMINATION]

Full text: Brett Kavanaugh confirmation hearing opening statement

<https://www.politico.com/story/2018/09/04/full-text-brett-kavanaugh-confirmation-hearing-opening-statements-806420>

By POLITICO STAFF 09/04/2018

CLL Comments :

Anthony Kennedy did NOT serve the United States of America with distinguished service on the Supreme Court.

In fact, he sanctioned the preservation of child-murder-by "abortion", the decriminalization of the abominable crime of sodomy, and the defilement of God-ordained marriage to be only between one man and one woman.

"Justice" [sic] Anthony Kennedy was a disgrace, unfit to serve on the United States Supreme Court:

1) [Planned Parenthood v Casey](#) (1992):

[Reagan](#) - appointee [Anthony Kennedy](#) joined Reagan-appointee Sandra Day O'Connor (former CFR-member)

and George H.W. Bush-appointee David Souter in a Plurality preserving [Roe v Wade](#) / genocidal child-murder by "abortion" in the U.S.

2) [Lawrence v Texas](#) (2003):

Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy wrote the Opinion overturning the Texas law against sodomy .

[Note: So much for the much-touted concept of "stare decisis" : The 2003 Lawrence v Texas case

reversed the 1986 Bowers v. Hardwick case, wherein the US Supreme Court had rightly upheld the

Georgia statute criminalizing acts of sodomy, ONLY 17 YEARS EARLIER !!!]

3) Obergefell v Hodges (2015):

Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy wrote the Opinion "legalizing" [sic] "marriage" [sic] by sodomites, lesbians .

POLITICO

Full text: Brett Kavanaugh confirmation hearing opening statement

<https://www.politico.com/story/2018/09/04/full-text-brett-kavanaugh-confirmation-hearing-opening-statements-806420>

By POLITICO STAFF 09/04/2018

President Donald Trump's Supreme Court nominee, Brett Kavanaugh, delivered the following opening remarks during the first day of his confirmation hearing. The following remarks were sent as prepared for delivery.

[Excerpts, emphasis, comments added]

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Senator Feinstein, and Members of the Committee. I thank Secretary Rice

[CLL: Condoleezza Rice is a member of Rome's Jesuit-Vatican New World Order , treasonous ,

Jesuit - controlled , Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)], Senator Portman, and Lisa Blatt for their

generous introductions. They are patriots [CLL: CFR-member Rice ?] who represent the best of America.

I am humbled by their confidence and proud to call each of them a friend.

As a nominee to the Supreme Court, I understand the responsibility I bear. Some 30 years ago,

Judge Anthony Kennedy sat in this seat. He became one of the most consequential Justices in

American history. I served as his law clerk in 1993. To me, Justice Kennedy is a mentor, a

friend,
and a hero. As a Member of the Court, he was a model of civility and collegiality. He fiercely defended the independence of the Judiciary. And he was a champion of liberty [CLL: sic]. If you had to sum up Justice Kennedy's entire career in one word ... "liberty." [CLL: sic] Justice Kennedy established a legacy of liberty for ourselves and our posterity." [CLL: sic - sanctioning child-murder and sodomy and defilement of God-ordained marriage between one man and one woman is NOT LIBERTY, it is SIN and ABOMINATION]

As Justice Kennedy showed us, a judge must be independent, not swayed by public pressure. Our independent judiciary is the crown jewel of our constitutional republic [CLL: It is correct we are supposed to be a constitutional republic, not a "democracy"] . In our independent judiciary, the Supreme Court is the last line of defense for the separation of powers, and the rights and liberties guaranteed by the Constitution. [CLL Note: the United States Congress has the authority and power under Article III, Section 2, Clause 2 of the US Constitution to limit the appellate jurisdiction of the US Supreme Court, and therefore could remove matters of "abortion", sodomy, sodomite/lesbian "marriage" [sic], displays of the Ten Commandments, and/or public prayer in the Name of Jesus Christ from the appellate jurisdiction of the US Supreme Court.]

Throughout my life, I have tried to serve the common good, in keeping with my Jesuit high school's motto, "men for others."
I have spent my career in public service. I have tutored at Washington Jesuit Academy , a rigorous tuition-free school for boys from low-income families. At Catholic Charities at Tenth and G, I serve meals to the homeless with my friend Father [CLL: sic - Matthew 23:9, KJV] John Enzler. In those works, I keep in mind the message of Matthew 25 - and try to serve the least fortunate among us. I know I fall short at times, but I always want to do more and do better.

E-mail below sent out July 10, 2018:

ri600Date: Tue, 10 Jul 2018
From: Christians for Life and Liberty
Subject: JESUIT-educated Trump* chooses JESUIT-educated Supreme Court Justice**, Again !!! (First Gorsuch, now Kavanaugh); still NO clearly Christian Evangelical Justices*** on SCOTUS !!!

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka **Columbia Christians for Life (CCL)**
Columbia, South Carolina
July 10, 2018

JESUIT -educated Trump * chooses **JESUIT** -educated Supreme Court Justice **, Again !!!

(First Gorsuch, now Kavanaugh); still NO clearly Christian Evangelical Justices *** on SCOTUS !!!

New York Times
Trump Announces Brett Kavanaugh as Supreme Court Nominee: Full Video and Transcript
<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/09/us/politics/trump-supreme-court-announcement-transcript.html>
July 9, 2018

* Trump two years at **JESUIT** Fordham University, before going to Jesuit-influenced University of PA's Wharton Business School

** **Roman Catholic Kavanaugh** , educated at **JESUIT** Georgetown Preparatory School (same as 2017 Trump pick **Gorsuch**); according to President Trump's **introduction at the White House** July 9, 2018, "Judge Kavanaugh currently teaches at Harvard,
[CLL: **Rome / Jesuit - influenced**] Yale and [CLL: **JESUIT**] Georgetown [CLL:

University]." "Kavanaugh has tutored at Washington **Jesuit** Academy, **where he sits on the board of directors** " [emphasis added] (**Politico** , 7/10/2018)

Judge Brett Kavanaugh, July 9, 2018 **at the White House** : "The motto of my **Jesuit** high school was, "Men for others."
I have tried to live that creed." [emphasis added]

Judge Brett Kavanaugh [**Photo**], participation in symposium on international law at **JESUIT** Georgetown University, Nov 7, 2017.

*** Among the current nine current US Supreme Court Justices, there are five Roman Catholics, three followers of Judaism, and Gorsuch *** (" **Raised Roman Catholic , attends Episcopal Church** " [**FN 75**]).

Neil Gorsuch was raised Roman Catholic, and graduated in 1985 from **JESUIT Georgetown Preparatory School** .
Georgetown Preparatory School is a **Jesuit** college preparatory school for boys grades 9 through 12.

"It is among the most selective prep schools. **The only Jesuit boarding school in the United States , ...**"

Gorsuch attends an Episcopal church, however, " **Gorsuch has not publicly stated if he considers himself a**
[Roman] **Catholic who attends a Protestant church , or if he has fully converted to Protestantism , ...**"

Question : In a nation of approximately **325 million** people, with **almost half Protestants** (46.5%):

Why are there no clearly Christian Protestant, Evangelical, or Baptist Justices on the US Supreme Court !?

CLL Comments: US Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell had recommended Hardiman or Kethledge as the two nominees whose confirmation process would be smoothest. Raymond Ethledge has been reported to be a constitutionalist, an originalist, and a textualist. He has also been reported to be an evangelical Christian, the only non-Roman Catholic on Trump's shortlist.

Presently on the US Supreme Court, there are 5 Roman Catholics, 3 followers of

Judaism, and Jesuit-educated Gorsuch, who was raised Roman Catholic, but now attends an Episcopalian church with his wife. It is unknown whether he has converted. WHY IS IT, in a nation of 325 million people, 46 per cent of whom are described as "Protestant", there are NO clearly Christian Baptist, Protestant, or Evangelical Christians on the US Supreme Court ?!!!

The US Supreme Court has done to the US Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church Hierarchy has for centuries done to God's Word, the Holy Bible : usurped, perverted, ignored, corrupted and rejected the authority of the written text for its own pronouncements:

We are being ruled by tyranny (e.g., Roe, Obergefell). An insightful author wrote in The Christian Statesman over 12 years ago, to the effect pointing out the US Supreme Court has done to the US Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church has done to the Bible.

The Christian Statesman - "For the Crown Rights of Jesus Christ"
" Court Tradition, or the Constitution Alone? "
November-December 2005 Vol. 148., No. 6, pp. 15-19.

Excerpt [p. 15]:

'... the [US] Supreme Court became to the [US] Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church had become to the Bible. Constitutionally speaking, there are few "Protestants" today. Few believe in the doctrine of the [US] Constitution alone. Few even know it exists.'

The 16th century Reformers heralded the principle of Scripture Alone. We need a return in our day to the Constitution Alone, i.e., the written text; not perverted (in effect, amended) by the Opinions of as few as 5 lawyers in black robes.

For those who do not understand who and what the **Jesuits** are; they were and are, the leaders of the ONGOING Counter-Reformation, to undo the Reformation, including the supremacy of Scripture Alone. America as founded and framed was to a very large extent a fruit of the Reformation.

To the extent today, America is continuing to be Romanized, we are losing our country.

Q. (7/6/2018) Will President Trump appoint establishment's Jesuit-educated "Bushie" Kavanaugh, and betray conservatives and the US Constitution ?

A. (7/10/2018) Yes, Jesuit-educated President Trump nominated Jesuit-educated Kavanaugh July 9, 2018.

New York Times

Trump Announces Brett Kavanaugh as Supreme Court Nominee: Full Video and Transcript

<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/09/us/politics/trump-supreme-court-announcement-transcript.html>

July 9, 2018

[Excerpts, comments, emphasis added]

PRESIDENT TRUMP: For more than four decades, Justice Kennedy served our nation with incredible passion and devotion.

I would like to thank Justice Kennedy for a lifetime of distinguished service. [CLL: WH audience applause !]

CLL Comments : Anthony Kennedy did NOT serve the United States of America with distinguished service on the Supreme Court:

Planned Parenthood v Casey (1992): Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy joined Reagan-appointee Sandra Day O'Connor (former CFR) and George H.W. Bush-appointee David Souter in a Plurality preserving Roe v Wade / genocidal child-murder by "abortion" in the U.S.

Lawrence v Texas (2003): Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy wrote the Opinion overturning the Texas law against sodomy.

[Note: So much for the much-touted concept of "stare decisis" : The 2003 Lawrence v Texas case

reversed the 1986 Bowers v. Hardwick case, wherein the US Supreme Court had rightly upheld the

Georgia statute criminalizing acts of sodomy, ONLY 17 YEARS EARLIER !!!]

Obergefell v Hodges (2015): Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy wrote the Opinion "legalizing" [sic] "marriage" [sic] by sodomites, lesbians.

PRESIDENT TRUMP: Tonight, it is my honor and privilege to announce that I will nominate Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the United States Supreme Court.

[CLL: long period of WH audience applause]

I know the people in this room very well. They do not stand and give applause like that very often, so they have some respect.

PRESIDENT TRUMP: [Re: Kavanaugh] There is no one in America more qualified for this position, and no one more deserving.

CLL Comments : This is FALSE. There are multiple other nominees whom President Trump could have chosen instead of Kavanaugh.

JUDGE BRETT M. KAVANAUGH: Thirty years ago, President Reagan nominated Anthony Kennedy to the Supreme Court.

The framers established that the Constitution is designed to secure the blessings of liberty. Justice Kennedy devoted his career

to securing liberty [CLL: e.g., CHILD-MURDER BY "ABORTION", SODOMY, SODOMITE / LESBIAN "MARRIAGE" [SIC]].

[I am] deeply honored to be nominated to fill his seat on the Supreme Court. [CLL: brief WH audience applause !] [CLL: ???]

The motto of my Jesuit high school was, "Men for others." I have tried to live that creed.

My judicial philosophy is straightforward: A judge must be independent and must interpret the law, not make the law.

A judge must interpret statutes as written, and a judge must interpret the Constitution as written, informed by history and tradition and precedent.

For the past 11 years, I have taught hundreds of students, primarily at Harvard Law School. I teach that the Constitution's separation of powers protects individual liberty, and I remain grateful to the dean who hired me, [CLL: Obama-appointee] Justice Elena Kagan.

As a judge, I hire four law clerks each year. I look for the best. My law clerks come from

diverse backgrounds and points of view.

I am proud that a majority of my law clerks have been women.

I am part of the vibrant [[CLL: Roman](#)] Catholic community in the D.C. area.

continued...

[edited]

Subject: Kavanaugh: Good on Religion, Moderate Abortion, Bad Obamacare

From: Chaplain Klingenschmitt, PhD

Date: Tue, 10 Jul 2018

Do you know 3 friends who should receive our alerts? Invite them to [subscribe here](#).

HOT PETITION! Repeal the 1954 Johnson Amendment and revoke the IRS's power to Tax Churches and Non-profits if they endorse political candidates. Please [select, sign, and WE WILL FAX your petition](#) to all 535 members of Congress (saving you time!)

[Kavanaugh: Good on Religion, Moderate Abortion, Bad Obamacare](#)

I've just read two EXCELLENT articles about Justice nominee Kavanaugh, (one praising him, one criticizing him) by my friends Steve Ertelt [here](#) and Phillip Jauregui [here](#).

On Abortion and Obamacare, Kavanaugh moderately opposed conservative positions. On Religious Freedom, Kavanaugh defended Christians and free speech.

[On Abortion](#) in the Garza case, Kavanaugh wrote: "in sum, under the Government's arguments in this case and the Supreme Court's precedents, the unlawful immigrant minor is assumed to have

a right under precedent to an abortion."

While Kavanaugh properly dissented from allowing the government to facilitate an abortion of an illegal immigrant child, his quote above assumes that Roe v. Wade precedent grants a right to kill a child. If you believe this quote, Kavanaugh will not overturn Roe v. Wade.

On Obamacare, NBC News reports (below) about Kavanaugh: "At times, he has diverged from the Republican party's ideological line on important cases that have come before him, including on the Affordable Care Act, the 2010 health care law which Kavanaugh has declined to strike down on a number of occasions in which it has come before him."

Specifically in NFIB vs. Sebelius, Kavanaugh referred to an Obamacare "tax penalty" which was not called a tax in Congressional statute but Justice Roberts later assumed Kavanaugh's words and turned Obamacare into a tax, making it legal. Kavanaugh's tax language saved Obamacare, like Roberts, and unlike Justice Scalia who wanted it struck.

On Religious Freedom and Free Speech, Kavanaugh defended Priest for Life who sought to opt-out of the Abortion mandate of Obamacare on religious grounds, and promoted freedom for Christians to buy advertising on the DC Metro.

"The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (i.e., the Metro) bans 'issue-oriented advertising,' which it interprets to include religious ads. So when the Catholic Archdiocese of Washington wanted to run an ad with the words 'Find the Perfect Gift' and an image of shepherds following a star in the sky during the Christmas season, Metro vetoed the ad.

The archdiocese sued Metro for violating the First Amendment speech and religion clauses, as well as the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. The district court ruled for Metro, and the archdiocese appealed to the D.C. Circuit, where the oral argument pitted Paul Clement (representing the archdiocese), a solicitor general in the George W. Bush administration, against Donald Verrilli (representing the Metro), a solicitor general under Obama. Kavanaugh hammered Verrilli with what the Washington Post called 'unrelenting' questioning about the Christmas-ad ban, which the judge described as 'pure discrimination' and 'odious to the Constitution,'" **reports** LifeNews.

Bottom line: Kavanaugh will be good on religious freedom, but has waffled on issues like Abortion and Obamacare.

He will not overturn Roe, but he will protect our liberty.

Let's petition Congress to let pastors preach freely on politics, without IRS penalty:

[SELECT HERE TO SIGN PETITION, demanding PULPIT FREEDOM for Pastors and Churches to freely endorse and oppose political candidates, and we will instantly fax all 535 Congressmen and Senators \(saving you much time and postage!\)](#)

[Trump picks moderate Brett Kavanaugh for Supreme Court](#)

"President Donald Trump has picked Brett Kavanaugh, a federal appeals court judge with extensive legal credentials and a lengthy political record, to succeed Justice Anthony M. Kennedy on the Supreme Court, NBC News [reported](#).

"Kavanaugh, 53, is an ideological conservative who is expected to push the court to the right on a number of issues including business regulation and national security. The favorite of White House Counsel Donald McGahn, Kavanaugh is also considered a safer pick than some of the more partisan choices who were on the president's shortlist.

"A graduate of Yale Law School who serves on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, Kavanaugh has the traditional trappings of a presidential nominee to the high court.

"If confirmed, the appellate judge would become the second young, conservative jurist Trump has put on the top U.S. court during his first term. Kavanaugh's confirmation would give the president an even bigger role in shaping U.S. policy for decades to come. The potential to morph the

federal judiciary

led many conservatives to support Trump in 2016, and he has not disappointed so far with the confirmation of conservative Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch and numerous federal judges.

"At times, he has diverged from the Republican party's ideological line on important cases that have come before him, including on the Affordable Care Act, the 2010 health care law which Kavanaugh has declined to strike down on a number of occasions in which it has come before him.

"[Pro-Life] anti-abortion groups quietly lobbied against Kavanaugh, pushing instead for another jurist on Trump's shortlist, 7th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals Judge Amy Coney Barrett, ABC News reported in the run-up to Trump's announcement."

[Dr. Chaps' comment: Kavanaugh is confirmable, but will be soft on abortion. Mark my words.

He was not nearly as principled as Amy Barrett, one of the finalists.]

continued..

The State [Columbia, SC]

Trump announces Brett Kavanaugh as Supreme Court nominee

<https://www.thestate.com/news/nation-world/national/article214436349.html>

July 09, 2018

[Excerpts]

Kavanaugh has attracted the most attention for his view that presidents shouldn't be bothered with legal inquiries.

In a 2009 article in *The Minnesota Law Review*, Kavanaugh wrote that presidents are under such extraordinary pressure

they "should be excused from some of the burdens of ordinary citizenship while serving in office."

Congress, he wrote, should pass a law that would temporarily protect the president from both civil suits and criminal prosecution.

Clinton, for example, "could have focused on Osama bin Laden without being distracted by the Paula Jones sexual harassment case and its criminal investigation offshoots," Kavanaugh wrote.

"If the President does something dastardly, the impeachment process is available,"

Kavanaugh wrote.

That kind of thinking could prove helpful to Trump, who has been dogged by accusations of sexual harassment, as well as possible obstruction of justice in the Russia probe now being led by special counsel Robert Mueller.

Brett Kavanaugh & Vince Foster: 5 Fast Facts You Need to Know

<https://heavy.com/news/2018/07/brett-kavanaugh-vince-foster-vincent-death/>

July 10, 2018

Brett Kavanaugh, the federal judge who is the president's nominee for Anthony Kennedy's seat on the U.S. Supreme Court, played a key role in the Vince Foster investigation.

Brett Kavanaugh: A "Controlled Judge" Who Aided In Cover-Up Of Vince Foster Case?

<http://thewashingtonstandard.com/brett-kavanaugh-a-controlled-judge-who-aided-in-cover-up-of-vince-foster-case/>

July 10, 2018

Kavanaugh paper chase threatens to draw out confirmation battle

<https://www.politico.com/story/2018/07/09/brett-kavanaugh-vince-foster-files-703344>

07/09/2018 01:50 PM EDT

Updated 07/10/2018 12:14 AM EDT

[Excerpts]

The National Archives sent POLITICO a few documents Monday that were apparently released in response to inquiries about the apparent suicide of Clinton White House attorney Vince Foster in 1993, one of the matters Starr investigated.

One extremely graphic set of [notes](#) in Kavanaugh's files documents an interview with Park Police Sgt. John Rolla, who responded to the scene where Foster's body was found in Fort Marcy Park, along the George Washington Parkway.

ROGER STONE: KAVANAUGH IS DEEP STATE'S PICK FOR SUPREME COURT

Remember, Kavanaugh helped Clintons by intimidating Vince Foster witness

<https://www.infowars.com/roger-stone-kavanaugh-is-deep-states-pick-for-supreme-court/>

JULY 5, 2018

[Excerpts]

Kavanaugh is not only the candidate of Karl Rove and the Bush family, but the mainstream media praise for his potential appointment shows he is a deep state quisling. Even more troubling is the fact that Kavanaugh, as a federal prosecutor, intimidated and tampered with witnesses in the Clinton advisor Vince Foster's mysterious death and the finding of his body at Fort Marcy Park.

continued...

President Trump should not be fooled. U.S. Appeals Court Judge Brett Kavanaugh is the ultimate deep state legal mercenary and ascendant judicial errand boy for the deepest of the deep state.

Kavanaugh utterly epitomizes and certainly represents the very same Beltway-Bushier-Yale Law network of diabolical manipulators who saddled Trump with George W. Bush's loyal FBI chief Robert Mueller as the perpetual in-house persecutor of Trump's presidency.

The insiders show their hand; before Trump could even pull his list of possible nominees out of his desk drawer, the usual suspects in the fake news corporate media and the extended Bush-Clinton-Obama sedition network that has been gunning for Trump since day one, were busy propping up and pushing Kavanaugh as the frontrunner – the top pick – the odds-on favorite – whatever description they could use to front-load the selection process in Kavanaugh's favor.

The Swamp has spoken and it wants Kavanaugh. They will try to make his ascension

to the high court seem
like an inevitability, even as to President Trump himself. It is incredibly imperative that
President Trump reject
these machinations and not consider Kavanaugh for so much as another second.

There are better choices for the court, which badly needs a libertarian within its
conservative wing.

continued...

If the president is not already of this mind, it shouldn't take much convincing, since just
last month in a rally speech
Trump himself brought up the very incident that is at the root of why Kavanaugh is
absolutely unfit to serve on the
high court, or on any bench really, and must be disqualified.

It would be like letting Hillary Clinton appoint the next justice; Kavanaugh has been
a loyal soldier
in the Bush/Clinton Crime Syndicate. He is the wrong man for this job because he
did clean-up work
for the Clintons and the political establishment.

© 2018 Infowars.com is a Free Speech Systems, LLC Company.
All rights reserved.

E-mail below sent out July 6, 2018:

\ri600Date: Fri, 06 Jul 2018
From: Christians for Life and Liberty
Subject: Will President Trump appoint establishment's Jesuit-educated "Bushie"
Kavanaugh, and betray conservatives and the US Constitution ?

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka [Columbia Christians for Life \(CCL \)](#)
Columbia, South Carolina
July 6, 2018

Will President Trump appoint establishment's Jesuit-educated "Bushie" Kavanaugh, and betray conservatives and the US Constitution ?

NPR

Trump's Top 2 Supreme Court Picks Reflect Warring Republican Factions
Brett Kavanaugh and Amy Barrett For Supreme Court Reflect Warring GOP Factions

<https://www.npr.org/2018/07/06/626118139/trumps-top-two-supreme-court-picks-reflect-warring-republican-factions>

July 6, 2018

What about evangelical Christian Judge Raymond Kethledge of the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals ?

Revised:

Are Roman Catholic / Jesuit-educated candidates over-represented on President Trump's SCOTUS shortlist ???

Brett Kavanaugh - Roman Catholic ; Jesuit-educated (Georgetown Preparatory School, same as first Trump SCOTUS appointee Neil Gorsuch), Yale University Law School

Amy Coney Barrett - Roman Catholic ; Roman Catholic educated (St. Mary's Dominican HS; Notre Dame University Law School)

Raymond Kethledge - Evangelical Christian [as reported by NPR: "... among all the reported short-listers, he is the only non-Catholic. He is an evangelical Christian ..."]; University of Michigan Law School

Amul Thapar - Roman Catholic ; Jesuit-educated (Boston College, BS), UC Berkeley School of Law

Mike Lee - Mormon; Brigham Young University (BS, JD)

Joan Larsen - [religion undetermined]; Northwestern University School of Law

Thomas Hardiman - Roman Catholic educated (Notre Dame University), Jesuit-educated (Georgetown University Law Center)

Our Founding Fathers on Religion in Government

John Jay:

"Providence has given to our people the choice of their rulers, and it is the duty, as well as the privilege and interest of our Christian nation to select and prefer Christians for their rulers."

[Source: October 12, 1816. The Correspondence and Public Papers of John Jay, Henry P. Johnston, ed., (New York: Burt Franklin, 1970), Vol. IV, p. 393.]

CLL comment: John Jay was the first Chief Justice of the US Supreme Court (1789), appointed by President George Washington.

NPR

Trump's Top 2 Supreme Court Picks Reflect Warring Republican Factions
Brett Kavanaugh and Amy Barrett For Supreme Court Reflect Warring GOP Factions

<https://www.npr.org/2018/07/06/626118139/trumps-top-two-supreme-court-picks-reflect-warring-republican-factions>

July 6, 2018

[Selected excerpts, emphasis added]

The internal White House debate over who should replace Justice Anthony Kennedy on the Supreme Court reflects the broader political split within the Republican Party - and the mistrust that is nursed by outside-the-Beltway social conservatives about the more establishment and business-oriented wing of

the party.

So it is perhaps no surprise that a quintessentially Washingtonian fight has erupted between the supporters of the two leading candidates for the Supreme Court nomination, Judge Brett Kavanaugh and Judge Amy Coney Barrett.

While Barrett's supporters portray **Kavanaugh as insufficiently conservative**, Kavanaugh's supporters portray Barrett as insufficiently experienced - a judge whose conservative credentials are not yet clear in her opinions.

continued...

[[CLL: Ecumenical](#); e.g., [AFA](#)] Social conservatives seem to have coalesced behind Barrett, who spent much of her career as a law professor at Notre Dame. She is a mother of seven children, two of them adopted from Haiti, and she is an active member of a particularly conservative [[CLL: Roman](#)] Catholic religious group called People of Praise.

continued...

While [[CLL: ecumenical](#)] social conservatives have repeatedly thanked Trump for the [[CLL: Jesuit-educated](#)] Gorsuch nomination, they seem to want more. In an open letter last week, leaders of the American Family Association, the American Principles Project and other [[CLL: ecumenical](#)] socially conservative groups urged the appointment of Barrett.

"It is better to have a vacancy until next year than to fill the seat with a weak nominee **who will betray your legacy and the constitution** for the next 40 years," they wrote.

[[CLL Note](#): [AFA President](#) Tim Wildmon is a [member](#) of the Romish/ecumenical [Council for National Policy](#)]

continued...

While nobody is saying that the president has really and finally made up his mind, **those opposed to Kavanaugh** are running out of time to gin up a real **opposition within the conservative movement**.

Of course, there is always the possibility that knocking out Kavanaugh would not mean knocking in Barrett. It might also mean that another one of the below judges gets the nod, including [Judge Raymond Kethledge of the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals](#)

in Michigan. The president met with Kethledge and reportedly got along with him.

continued...

Amy Coney Barrett of Indiana, U.S. Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit

continued...

In her academic writing, she has written somewhat dismissively of the doctrine of respecting the Supreme Court's precedents

- a doctrine known as stare decisis. "There is little reason to think that reversals [of past decisions] would do much damage"

to the court's reputation, she wrote. "I tend to agree with those who say that a justice's duty is to the Constitution"

rather than to a precedent she thinks is clearly in conflict with it.

[CLL comment: This is exactly right. Fidelity to the WRITTEN TEXT of the US Constitution is primary and superior

to stare decisis. Stare decisis is used as a convenient tool by those who wish to perpetuate child-murder by "abortion".

Consider the 1986 *Bowers v. Hardwick* case, the US Supreme Court rightly upheld the Georgia statute criminalizing acts

of sodomy; but then wrongly overturned *Bowers v. Hardwick*, overturning the Texas statute criminalizing sodomy in the

2003 *Lawrence v. Texas* case (Majority Opinion written by Reagan - appointee Anthony Kennedy), ONLY 17 YEARS LATER !!!]

Such comments are likely to raise a red flag to Republican senators like Susan Collins of Maine, who have said they would not vote

for a nominee who has expressed doubts about stare decisis when it comes to abortion and other long-standing Supreme Court decisions.

continued...

Raymond Kethledge of Michigan, U.S. Court of Appeals for the 6th Circuit

Bush renominated Kethledge in 2007, and he was finally approved by the Senate in 2008. He is 51, and among all the reported short-listers,

he is the only non-Catholic. He is an evangelical Christian .. .

continued...

[CLL comment: Does Raymond Kethledge rightly have the same understanding of the proper role of stare decisis

as does apparently Amy Coney Barrett, i.e., that the legal principle of stare decisis is subordinate to the primary

responsibility of a judge to be faithful to the WRITTEN TEXT of the United States Constitution ? If so, President Trump, then Raymond Kethledge is your man for appointment to the United States Supreme Court.]

From CLL :

"Stare Decisis" is a legal term, a legal principle, to stand by precedent (that is, normally).

It is NOT primary. It does not trump fidelity to the written text of the United States Constitution.

It does not trump adherence to the Oath of Office taken by each United States Supreme Court

Justice to uphold the United States Constitution (written text).

The Oath of Office of a United States Supreme Court Justice is NOT to uphold stare decisis ;

The Oath of Office of a United States Supreme Court Justice is to uphold the United States Constitution (written text) !!!

If a SCOTUS Opinion is abominable, evil, immoral, and unconstitutional (e.g., Roe, and Obergefell), it should be ignored as void.

Excerpt from Article VI., U.S. Constitution

Marbury vs. Madison (1803)

<https://caselaw.findlaw.com/us-supreme-court/5/137.html>

"... an act of the legislature, repugnant to the constitution, is void." "... a law repugnant to the constitution is void; and... courts, as well as other departments, are bound by that instrument."

The United States Supreme Court is "bound by that instrument", i.e., the United States Constitution.

The United States Constitution is NOT whatever the Justices say it is.
The United States Constitution is what the WRITTEN TEXT says it is !!
!

Federal Judiciary Oaths

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oath_of_office#Federal_Judiciary_Oaths

In the United States, federal judges are required to take two oaths. The second is the same oath that members of Congress take:

[Emphasis added]

I, (name), do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter.

[So help me God.] [FN 80]

Date: Thu, 05 Jul 2018

From: Christians for Life and Liberty

Subject: Are Roman Catholic/Jesuit-educated candidates over-represented on President Trump's SCOTUS shortlist ???

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka Columbia Christians for Life (CCL)
Columbia, South Carolina
July 5, 2018

Are Roman Catholic / Jesuit-educated candidates over-represented on President Trump's SCOTUS shortlist ???

Brett Kavanaugh - Roman Catholic ; **Jesuit-educated** (Georgetown Preparatory School, **same as first Trump SCOTUS appointee Neil Gorsuch**),
Yale University Law School

Amy Coney Barrett - Roman Catholic ; Roman Catholic educated (St. Mary's Dominican HS; Notre Dame University Law School)

Raymond Kethledge - [religion undetermined]; University of Michigan Law School

Amul Thapar - Roman Catholic ; **Jesuit-educated** (Boston College, BS),
UC Berkeley School of Law

Mike Lee - Mormon; Brigham Young University (BS, JD)

Joan Larsen - [religion undetermined]; Northwestern University School of Law

Thomas Hardiman - Roman Catholic educated (Notre Dame University), **Jesuit-educated** (Georgetown University Law Center)

ABC News

Trump has now met with 7 Supreme Court candidates, says he'll 'hit a home run' with pick

<https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-meets-scotus-nominees-sources/story?id=56330233>

Jul 4, 2018 [Excerpt, emphasis added]

Trump has met with seven potential Supreme Court nominees.

The four potential nominees who met with Trump on Monday, one of whom may fill the seat soon vacated by Justice Anthony Kennedy, were: Brett Kavanaugh, Amy Coney Barrett, Raymond Kethledge and Amul Thapar, sources told ABC News.

ABC News also learned on Tuesday that Trump spoke with current Utah Sen. Mike Lee on Monday.

Trump was expected to meet with Justice Joan Larsen of the 6th Circuit, the second woman he's interviewed, sources familiar

with the president's meetings told ABC News on Monday. The seventh person interviewed is believed to be Thomas Hardiman, circuit judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 3rd Circuit.

Donald Trump Supreme Court candidates

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Donald_Trump_Supreme_Court_candidates

ABC News

The Note: Trump's golden Supreme Court opportunity

<https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/note-trumps-golden-supreme-court-opportunity/story?id=56374023>

Jul 5, 2018 [Excerpts, emphasis added]

Judge **Brett Kavanaugh's** defense by **Bush-era Republicans** is almost certainly hurting him in Trump's mind.

Judge Amy Coney Barrett, meanwhile, has age, gender, and a previous appointment by Trump – followed by Senate confirmation – on her side.

continued...

ABC News can confirm that Republican Senators Rand Paul of Kentucky and Tom Cotton of Arkansas both called the President Tuesday to express their **concerns about front-runner DC Appellate Court Judge Brett Kavanaugh**, according to a source close to the process.

Paul expressed concern over **Kavanaugh's position on the Fourth Amendment**, specifically his opinion in favor of bulk metadata collection and **his ruling that Obamacare is not unconstitutional**. Sen. Ted Cruz of Texas also called the **White House** about **Kavanaugh**.

continued...

And as ABC News has reported, anti-abortion rights groups and activists have been quietly lobbying against Kavanaugh

and in favor of circuit judge Amy Coney Barrett.

But a source close to the process said that Kavanaugh is still under "serious consideration."

From CLL :

In a nation of approximately 325 million people, with almost half Protestants (46.5%):

Why, in 2018, 242 years after the Declaration of Independence, are there no clearly *** Christian Protestant, Evangelical, or Baptist Justices on the US Supreme Court !?

Among the current nine US Supreme Court Justices, there are five Roman Catholics, three followers of Judaism, and Gorsuch *** (" Raised Roman Catholic , attends Episcopal Church" [FN 75]).

Demographics of the Supreme Court of the United States

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Religion

*** Neil Gorsuch was raised Roman Catholic, and graduated in 1985 from JESUIT Georgetown Preparatory School .

Georgetown Preparatory School is a Jesuit college preparatory school for boys grades 9 through 12.

"It is among the most selective prep schools. The only Jesuit boarding school in the United States , ..."

Gorsuch attends an Episcopal church, however, " Gorsuch has not publicly stated if he considers himself a

[Roman] Catholic who attends a Protestant church , or if he has fully converted to Protestantism , ..."

One News Now

AFA backs Barrett among short list for SCOTUS

[CLL Note: **AFA President** Tim Wildmon **member** of ecumenical **Council for National Policy**]

https://www.onenewsnow.com/legal-courts/2018/07/03/afa-backs-barrett-among-short-list-for-scotus?utm_source=OneNewsNow&utm_medium=email&utm_term=16790817&utm_content=755410589378437248&utm_campaign=34753

July 3, 2018

[Posted] Comment:

Roberto Carlos • a day ago


How many children does she have? What are their ages? Who cares for them while she is performing as a judge?

According to the Bible a woman should not be ruling over men. Catholics are not Christian. Being a Catholic is a man made religion.

We need a Christian on the SCOTUS. Why is AFA promoting her?

Roman Catholicism is NOT **Biblical Christianity** .

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2012-03-22-Roman-Catholicism-is-NOT-Biblical-Christianity-ROMAN-CATHOLICISM-IS-A-FALSE-RELIGION.docx>



ROMAN CATHOLICISM IS A FALSE RELIGION .

http://www.jesus-is-savior.com/False%20Religions/false_religions.htm#roman
http://www.jesus-is-savior.com/False%20Religions/false_religions.htm

TRUE CHRISTIANITY vs ROMAN CATHOLICISM

From CLL :

Are there no Christian Protestant, Evangelical, or Baptist Justices in America qualified for appointment by President Trump to the US Supreme Court !?

What about current Alabama Supreme Court Associate Justice Tom Parker, who is also presently a **candidate** for Chief Justice ?

Tom Parker (Alabama)
[https:// ballotpedia. org /Tom_Parker_\(Alabama\)](https://ballotpedia.org/Tom_Parker_(Alabama))

Despite the orchestrated "hit" job by the CFR / Globalist Washington Post and the Romish / CFR / faux 'conservative' National Review, and others, Christian constitutionalist former Alabama Chief Justice Roy Moore is also the quality of judge needed on the US Supreme Court:

[**Report**]
Romish / CFR / faux 'conservative' National Review's **editorial** attacks Republican US Senate candidate Roy Moore (Nov 10) November 16, 2017

Roy Moore
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roy_Moore

Roman Catholicism is incompatible with constitutional

republicanism:

The US Supreme Court has done to the US Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church Hierarchy has for centuries done to God's Word, the Holy Bible : usurped, perverted, ignored, corrupted and rejected the authority of the written text for its own pronouncements:

The Christian Statesman - "For the Crown Rights of Jesus Christ"
" Court Tradition, or the Constitution Alone? "
November-December 2005 Vol. 148., No. 6, pp. 15-19.

Excerpts [pp. 15-16]:

'... the [US] Supreme Court became to the [US] Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church had become to the Bible.
Constitutionally speaking, there are few "Protestants" today. Few believe in the doctrine of the [US] Constitution alone.
Few even know it exists.'

We Are All Constitutional Romanists Now [4]

'During the Reformation, Roman Catholics and Protestants were divided by a basic, theological question. On what did authority rest? Did it rest on the Bible plus church tradition or on the Bible alone? The same theological question divides Romanists and Protestants today. Rome holds to tradition. Protestants reject it.'

'In the constitutional realm, a similar question should divide Americans today. On what does the legal authority of the government of the United States rest? On the Constitution plus Court precedents or on the Constitution alone? In the political establishment there is no doubt. The Constitution plus Court precedent are the pillars of authority. This gives the men and women of the legal establishment great power ... when it comes to the ultimate law of the United States, virtually all Americans today are "Romanists." '

'Of course, ignorance permits deception. Americans must become constitutionally literate. There must be a reformation in the way citizens see the role of the [Supreme] Court. There must be a people who raise the banner of "The Constitution Alone."
Christians must be those people. They should be able to see the parallel between Scripture Alone and Constitution Alone.'

ri600Date: Mon, 02 Jul 2018
From: Christians for Life and Liberty
Subject: William Pryor and Thomas Hardiman not good SCOTUS picks

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka **Columbia Christians for Life** (**CCL**)
Columbia, South Carolina
July 2, 2018

William Pryor and Thomas Hardiman not good SCOTUS picks

William Pryor : **Roman Catholic** Inquisitor of former Alabama Chief
Justice Roy Moore (**2003**),
support for transgender "rights" [sic]

Thomas Hardiman : Attended Roman Catholic Notre Dame
and attended law school
at Roman Catholic **JESUIT** Georgetown University Law Center

Donald Trump Supreme Court candidates
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Donald_Trump_Supreme_Court_candidates

In a nation of approximately **325 million** people, with **almost
half Protestants** (46.5%):

Why are there no clearly ******* Christian Protestant, Evangelical,
or Baptist Justices
on the US Supreme Court !?

Among the current nine US Supreme Court Justices, there are five Roman Catholics, three followers of Judaism, and Gorsuch *** (" Raised Roman Catholic , attends Episcopal Church " [FN 75]).

Demographics of the Supreme Court of the United States

[https://en.wikipedia.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Religion)

[org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Religion](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Religion)

*** Neil Gorsuch was raised Roman Catholic, and graduated in 1985 from JESUIT Georgetown Preparatory School .

Georgetown Preparatory School is a Jesuit college preparatory school for boys grades 9 through 12.

"It is among the most selective prep schools. The only Jesuit boarding school in the United States , ..."

Gorsuch attends an Episcopal church, however, " Gorsuch has not publicly stated if he considers himself a [Roman] Catholic who attends a Protestant church , or if he has fully converted to Protestantism , ..."

[2017] Glenn Beck

Who Trump's Supreme Court Pick Will Be and What It Means

[http://www.glennbeck.com/2017/01/30/who-trumps-supreme-court-pick-will-be-and-what-it-](http://www.glennbeck.com/2017/01/30/who-trumps-supreme-court-pick-will-be-and-what-it-means/?utm_source=Sailthru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20170131GBDaily&utm_term=Glenn%20Beck)

[means/?utm_source=Sailthru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20170131GBDaily&utm_term=Glenn%20Beck](http://www.glennbeck.com/2017/01/30/who-trumps-supreme-court-pick-will-be-and-what-it-means/?utm_source=Sailthru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20170131GBDaily&utm_term=Glenn%20Beck)

Jan 30, 2017

[Excerpts, emphasis added]

3. William Pryor: The Partisan (Right of Alito, Left of Clarence Thomas)
Pryor is on the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta and he is the oldest of the possible choices. At 54, he would still have a long career ahead of him on the bench, however. He is by far the most outspoken of the three and the definitely the least liked by the Democrats. Choosing Pryor

would fit the mold of Trump not caring what anyone says, but the President would be in for a fight to get him confirmed. Chances are he would get through, but it would expend a lot of political capital - which remains to be seen if that even matters for this administration. One sticking point for evangelicals was when he, as Attorney General of Alabama, had Judge Roy Moore ousted for refusing to obey a federal court order to remove the ten commandments from the state judicial building. Pryor stated this was only to follow a court order, but this is one knock on him the far right has held on to, as well as his recent support for transgender rights. He is not afraid to say what he believes, which is why the left calls him a bomb-thrower and considers him a culture warrior. Many on the right see him as a conservative star on the rise as he is a fierce critic of Roe v Wade, has upheld the Georgia voter ID law and has called sectarian prayers opening a local commission meeting constitutional. He's probably not a Scalia-in-waiting, but who is? He's more in the mold of an Alito, but this all may be moot if the reports are correct, as it looks like he's probably on the outside looking in.

2. **Thomas Hardiman:** The Centrist (Left of Roberts , Right of Kennedy) Hardiman is definitely the more moderate of the choices, something conservatives are wary of after appointments like Kennedy and Roberts haven't turned out the way they had hoped for. He is the only one of the candidates or sitting members of the bench without an Ivy League pedigree. He grew up in public schools in a blue collar family and went to [CLL: Roman Catholic] Notre Dame and put himself through law school at [CLL: Roman Catholic JESUIT] Georgetown by driving a taxi. He fits the bill with regard to his pro-life stance and he is strong on the second amendment, but he is seen as a government friendly conservative like William Rehnquist and has sided with Big Brother on censorship issues. He is 51 and like the other two candidates would likely have influence for decades to come. He might be the most confirmable of the three, having been confirmed 95-0 on the appellate court with votes from Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer and Diane Feinstein. He is a former trial judge who has been serving on the 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals in Philadelphia, which happens to be the same court as President Trump's sister - Maryanne Trump Barry. He receives a glowing recommendation from her and Barry is thought to have significant influence on her brother - so don't be surprised if Hardiman ends up being the nominee.

\ri600Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2018

From: Christians for Life and Liberty

Subject: Fwd: Justice Kennedy retires, but Pryor and Hardiman are bad

Fwd: Justice Kennedy retires, but **Pryor** and **Hardiman** are **bad**

[Dr. Chaps' comment: See below, old email, I now think

William Pryor and **Thomas Hardiman**

are not good picks , despite finishing 2-3 after Gorsuch (out of 25 on Trump's list).

Pryor fought against the **10 commandments** and **hurt Judge Roy Moore in 2003,**

and **Hardiman** is **praised as progressive** by Trump's sister, a Democrat judge? **Bad news.**]

[edited, emphasis added]

[edited]

\ri600Subject: Justice Kennedy retires, but Pryor and Hardiman are bad

From: Chaplain Klingenschmitt, PhD

Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2018

Do you know 3 friends who should receive our alerts? Invite them to [subscribe here](#).

HOT PETITION! Repeal the 1954 Johnson Amendment and revoke the IRS's power to Tax Churches and Non-profits if they endorse political candidates.

Please [select, sign, and WE WILL FAX your petition](#) to all 535 members of Congress (saving you time!)

Justice Kennedy retires, but Pryor and Hardiman are bad

"Washington, DC and much of the nation was sent into an uproar on Wednesday after longtime Supreme Court Justice Anthony Kennedy announced he would soon retire after three decades on the bench," [reports](#) Business Insider.

"The question then became who President Donald Trump would nominate to succeed Kennedy, considering the court's swing vote on many recent decisions.

[18 months ago, William Pryor and Thomas Hardiman were second and third on the short list of 25 possible nominees, but President Trump selected Neil Gorsuch.]

"Trump said Kennedy's successor would be selected from his list of 25 candidates that he originally pitched during the presidential campaign. He already selected Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch from that list when he was faced with replacing former Justice Antonin Scalia. Meanwhile, Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell said the Senate will vote on a Trump nominee this fall.

"When Gorsuch was selected, 3rd Circuit Court Judge Thomas Hardiman came in a close second. He may just have his turn this time around.

"Hardiman, 52, was voted onto the appeals court in 2007 by a 95-0 tally. Both Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer and Democratic Sen. Dianne Feinstein of California, the ranking member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, voted to approve him.

"Hardiman also has the backing of Trump's closest judicial source: his sister.

"Maryanne Trump Barry, a fellow 3rd Circuit judge, holds a high opinion of Hardiman. As an adviser who spoke with the president told Politico last year,

"Maryanne is high on Hardiman."

[Dr. Chaps' comment: See below, old email, I now think William Pryor and Thomas Hardiman are not good picks, despite finishing 2-3 after Gorsuch (out of 25 on Trump's list). Pryor fought against the 10 commandments and hurt Judge Roy Moore in 2003, and Hardiman is praised as progressive by Trump's sister, a Democrat judge? Bad news.]

Let's petition Congress to let pastors preach freely on politics, without IRS penalty:

SELECT HERE TO SIGN PETITION, demanding PULPIT FREEDOM for Pastors and Churches to freely endorse and oppose political candidates, and we will instantly fax all 535 Congressmen and Senators (saving you much time and postage!)

THE FOLLOWING EMAIL IS FROM JANUARY 2017:

3 Judges remain on Trumps SCOTUS short-list

President Trump has narrowed the list of potential nominees to the Supreme Court to three, and already favors one of them in his mind, but will announce Thursday.

"President Donald Trump has narrowed his first Supreme Court nomination to three finalists, with 10th Circuit judge Neil Gorsuch and 3rd Circuit judge Thomas Hardiman emerging as front-runners while 11th Circuit Judge Bill Pryor remains in the running but is fading, according to people familiar with the search process," **reports** Politico.

"Trump interviewed at least those three finalists in New York during the transition, according to a person familiar with the search.

Trump himself said Tuesday he would make a selection for the court's empty seat next week and summoned top Senate leaders

to the White House to discuss his impending choice to replace Justice Antonin Scalia, who died nearly a year ago.

"The president wants to move as quickly as he can," said Leonard Leo, one of Trump's advisers on the court pick and a top official

at the Federalist Society.

"Leo declined to discuss Trump's short list, but he praised both Gorsuch and Hardiman effusively.

"Under our Constitution, the power rests with the people, and that was at the core of Justice Scalia's legacy, and you heard from President Trump's inauguration that is the core of Trump's agenda, and that's very much the core of what Neil Gorsuch's record is as a jurist," Leo said. "He's an excellent writer. He's got sharp analytical ability, strong intellect and he's got a lot of strength and courage. Those are things that the president very much wants in a nominee."

Pryor was barely previously confirmed 53-47 by the Senate, but Hardiman and Gorsuch were both nearly unanimously confirmed.

[Dr. Chaps' comment: I've heard criticisms by pro-lifers of all 3 of these nominees, but thank God they'll be far better than anyone Hillary Clinton would have put on the bench. I'm still concerned about the rights of unborn children, and religious freedom in a 'transgender' evolving world. Let's pray.]

Let's petition Congress to let pastors preach freely on politics, without IRS penalty:

SELECT HERE TO SIGN PETITION, demanding PULPIT FREEDOM for Pastors and Churches to freely endorse and oppose political candidates, and we will instantly fax all 535 Congressmen and Senators (saving you much time and postage!)

4 questions Trump must ask Supreme Court nominee

I came across an insightful **column** by Nate Madden encouraging President Trump to carefully select a true

conservative for the Supreme Court to replace Justice Scalia.

"Recent Marist polling found that 80 percent of Americans believe that appointing originalists to the highest bench in the land was either an 'immediate' or 'important' priority. Now, short lists are circulating and the Trump transition team is reportedly holding meetings with potential nominees...

"Here's what Trump's team (and eventually the Senate) ought to be asking candidates:

1. What are rights, and what does the Constitution have to do with them?

"One of the most visible consequences of the judicial oligarchy is a never-ending regime of ever-changing rights. Rather than being fundamental, transcendent, and bound up with our human dignity, 'rights' are now construed to mean whatever the state wants them to mean.
..

2. What does the 14th Amendment really do?

"The 14th Amendment was originally written with the intent of undoing the legal atrocities of chattel slavery. Since then, its provisions have been used as a blanket justification to codify a never-ending list of positive rights into the body of constitutional case law. This modern understanding of the amendment has not only been used to create 'rights' to abortion and same-sex marriage, but has also been used by leftist judges to arbitrarily manufacture 'rights' • to early voting, transgender bathrooms, and a host of other issues...

3. Does the Supreme Court create "settled law?" Is it the final arbiter?

"What the founders envisioned as the weakest branch of

government has now become a place where political discourse goes to die. Antonin Scalia pointed out as much in the Obergefell decision months before his death. Is Obergefell v. Hodges truly 'settled law?'...

4. What is the Supreme Court's role?

"This is an area ripe for review. If the court isn't meant to act as a super legislature – as it has been doing for the past few decadess –

then what is it meant to do? The best answer for this would be to rule on issues of statute – along with its areas of original jurisdiction –

while sharing the role of constitutional interpretation along with the other branches and the states.

"However, the pithiest answer might be, 'Whatever the Constitution and the Congress allow it to rule on, and nothing more.'"

[Dr. Chaps' comment: The most important decision Mr. Trump will make in 4 years will happen this week. WE MUST PRAY that God gives him wisdom to select a Scalia or better conservative, and not some liberal pretender.]

Let's petition Congress to let pastors preach freely on politics, without IRS penalty:

SELECT HERE TO SIGN PETITION, demanding PULPIT FREEDOM for Pastors and Churches to freely endorse and oppose political candidates, and we will instantly fax all 535 Congressmen and Senators (saving you much time and postage!)

Friends, our Congress must vote on the record, for or against pulpit freedom. Let's take a stand today, and demand free speech be restored to churches and pastors.

God Bless you, in Jesus' name,

Chaplain Gordon James Klingenschmitt, PhD

[For interviews, speaking invites, select here.](#)

P.S. Prefer to donate by mail? Please mail paper check or money orders to: The Pray In Jesus Name Project, PO Box 77077, Colorado Springs, CO 80970.

A decorative graphic consisting of nine vertical blue lines of varying heights, arranged in a descending staircase pattern from left to right.

SELECT HERE TO SIGN PETITION, demanding PULPIT
FREEDOM for Pastors and Churches to freely endorse and
oppose political candidates,
and we will instantly fax all 535 Congressmen and Senators
(saving you much time and postage!)

Pray In Jesus Name · PO Box 77077 · Colorado Springs, CO
80970 · USA

To unsubscribe, send "Unsubscribe" to:
Christians for Life and Liberty