

From: Caldwell, John H
To: Adams, Marcia S <Marcia.Adams@SCDMV.net>
Valenta, Val <Val.Valenta@scdmv.net>
Date: 4/22/2004 8:23:56 AM
Subject: RE: SM tags

As val and I have talked, wreckers have been issued the SM tag for years. Be it right or wrong the thought behind the issuance was that the vehicle being towed is licensed and the wrecker is not carrying the vehicle. This issuance began long before me so I cannot give more background. I agree with Val it is not much different than a truck pulling a trailer except that a wrecker cannot pull a trailer only tow a vehicle. I have been playing phone tag with Denise at AAMVA for about 2 weeks and will try again today to get signed up with yahoo.

-----Original Message-----

From: Adams, Marcia S
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2004 12:58 PM
To: Caldwell, John H; Valenta, Val
Subject: FW: SM tags

Where are we on this subject? I know that we discussed this at a staff meeting.

Marcia

-----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Rhonda S
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2004 1:46 PM
To: Patterson, Hattie C.
Cc: Earley, Jr., Jimmy E.; Adams, Marcia S
Subject: RE: SM tags

Hattie-

In the last message we received from Marcia regarding this matter, she indicated that she planned to discuss the law in question with our Legal Counsel. We also look forward to her reply.

Thanks!
Rhonda

-----Original Message-----

From: Patterson, Hattie C.
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2004 12:47 PM
To: Thompson, Rhonda S.; Tucker, Ida G.
Subject: SM tags

Rhonda,
Have you heard anything from Marcia in reference to adding the GVW to SM tags. I have a trouble ticket number 95878 outstanding waiting for this to be resolved.

Just seeking an update.
Thanks,
Hattie