

FYI-She doesn't qualify for unemployment. She makes too much on her pension. Please file as Frequent Writer.

Hal Peters  
Policy Analyst  
Office of Governor Nikki R. Haley  
T 803.734.4062  
F 803.734.8051  
[halpeters@gov.sc.gov](mailto:halpeters@gov.sc.gov)

**From:** Foster, Sally [<mailto:SFoster@dew.sc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 05, 2016 2:23 PM  
**To:** Casserly, James; Peters, Hal  
**Subject:** FW: Sheila Samuel

FYI, Gentlemen. Thanks!

**From:** Franklin, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, February 05, 2016 11:25 AM  
**To:** Foster, Sally  
**Subject:** FW: Sheila Samuel

Good Morning,

I meant to copy you in on this too just so you know as well.

Thanks

**From:** Franklin, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, February 05, 2016 11:11 AM  
**To:** Vaughn, Starranda; QAQC\_Team  
**Subject:** RE: Sheila Samuel

This is the second time that we have spoken to this claimant. I attached the original email from December. She is still upset at the way the laws are written and how it pertains to her unemployment/DUA and pension. She feels like the laws are established in such a way that it is a disadvantage to the people that need to benefit from them. I again explained to her why she did not receive benefits from us as to her deductible pension amount being too great. She stated that she is still going to continue to email the governor and state representatives until they can explain to her why the laws are wrote the way they are.

Thanks

**From:** Vaughn, Starranda  
**Sent:** Friday, February 05, 2016 10:06 AM  
**To:** QAQC\_Team  
**Subject:** FW: Sheila Samuel

Please see below. Sheila Samuel is upset that she was denied the Disaster Unemployment due to being retired but knew someone that's also retired that did receive the Disaster Unemployment. She wants to know how one person can be denied but another person be accepted. Thanks.

**From:** Adam, Ryan [<mailto:RyanAdam@gov.sc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 05, 2016 10:01 AM  
**To:** Vaughn, Starranda  
**Cc:** Peters, Hal  
**Subject:** Sheila Samuel

Ms. Vaughn,

I hope you are doing well today.

We received the following message regarding flood issues from a constituent and I have been instructed to refer the matter on to you for a response.

Thank you and have a great weekend!

Ryan Adam  
Office of the Governor  
803.734.2100  
[RyanAdam@gov.sc.gov](mailto:RyanAdam@gov.sc.gov)

From: "[governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com](mailto:governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com)" <[governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com](mailto:governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com)>  
Date: 2/3/2016 1:15:28 PM  
To: "[governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com](mailto:governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com)" <[governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com](mailto:governor.haley@sc.lmhostediq.com)>  
Cc:  
Subject: Disaster Unemployment Money for October 2015 flooding victims. I had an over the phone appeal with the tribunal

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>ms</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>sheila</FIRST>  
<MIDDLE></MIDDLE>  
<LAST>Samuel</LAST>  
<SUFFIX></SUFFIX>  
<TITLE></TITLE>  
<ORGANIZATION>ms</ORGANIZATION>  
<ADDR1>5500 Harbour Lake Dr D3 Pinebrook</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>Goose creek</CITY>  
<STATE>SC</STATE>  
<ZIP>29445</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>[jamsheila49@yahoo.com](mailto:jamsheila49@yahoo.com)</EMAIL>  
<PHONE\_H>8435757995</PHONE\_H>  
<PHONE\_B>8435757995</PHONE\_B>

<ISSUE>GOVE</ISSUE>

<SUBJECT>Disaster Unemployment Money for October 2015 flooding victims. I had an over the phone appeal with the tribunal</SUBJECT>

<CUSTOM1>108.195.248.18</CUSTOM1>

<MSG>

Governor,

This is in "coat tail" to many, many emails to you, your office, constituents, the state of South Carolina, the SC Workforce, and the SC workforce appeal tribunal. Synopsis: your disaster unemployment assistant order.

I was denied your disaster unemployment benefits for the October 2015 flooding. I met all requirements and played all the paper and mind games from the state. I did a written appeal and had a phone appeal hearing with the director. Even then I was denied the benefits based on the premise that "because I am retired, I was ineligible for the benefits."

Well, after coming back from the holidays I spoke to another person who works with me. She is 69 years old, receives retirement benefits, and was paid by SC Workforce on December 1, 2015 by direct deposit to her bank money for the October 2015 executive order made by you for Disaster Unemployment.

How is this possible? Why was I turned down but she received money? I was told directly, "human to human" from Columbia that because I retired and receive a retirement check each month that I was not getting anything from the Nicky Haley disaster unemployment executive order.

Think I have the makings of a law suit????? She went to unemployment and they tried to do the same to her. She asked for the documentation that states what her retirement had to do with your order. They could not supply her with that. I am now requesting from your office, the SC Workforce the same information to which I was turned down for. Where in the law does your executive order have to do with my retirement benefits? How is it connected? Angry SC Citizen. Victim of unfair business practices. It just proves how no one knows what the laws are or how to use them.

</MSG>

</APP>