

From: Doerring, Lora Lee
To: Matthews, Eugene H. <Matthews_EugeneH@scdps.state.sc.us>
Lake, Steven W. <Lake_StevenW@scdps.state.sc.us>
CC: Wengrow, Henry R. <Wengrow_HenryR@scdps.state.sc.us>
Royal, Don R. <Royal_DonR@scdps.state.sc.us>
Burgis, David <Burgis_David@scdps.state.sc.us>
Adams, Marcia S. <Adams_MarciaS@scdps.state.sc.us>
McWhorter, Laurence S. <McWhorter_LaurenceS@scdps.state.sc.us>
Date: 2/28/2001 6:38:05 PM
Subject: RE: Legal Opinion re: Proviso 36.7/

Looks good to me. Steven let us know if there are any more concerns by BEA or DOT.

-----Original Message-----

From: Matthews, Eugene H.
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2001 6:19 PM
To: Lake, Steven W.
Cc: Wengrow, Henry R.; Royal, Don R.; Doerring, Lora Lee; Burgis, David;
Adams, Marcia S.; McWhorter, Laurence S.
Subject: FW: Legal Opinion re: Proviso 36.7/

Unless someone has an objection, this is the opinion on Steve's issue with 36.7 and 56-3-910. Comments welcomed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Matthews, Eugene H.
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2001 6:16 PM
To: Lake, Steven W.
Cc: Wengrow, Henry R.; Royal, Don R.; Doerring, Lora Lee; McWhorter,
Laurence S.; Burgis, David; Adams, Marcia S.
Subject: Legal Opinion re: Proviso 36.7/