

RECEIVED
12/9/2013
H.

Columbia Investigations

The Investigators with Integrity

December 9, 2013

The following investigation was conducted by Missouri State Licensed Private Investigator, National Registry of Environmental Professionals Certified Environmental Safety Compliance Officer and NREP SCADA Committee Member Melinda Kidder, of Columbia Investigations in Columbia, Missouri, United States:

INTRODUCTION

I was contacted in early 2013 by Asha Gibson (hereafter referred to as "Gibson") in regard to performing scans to test for presence of RFID chips, nanomaterials or similar technology implanted in her body without her consent. After further communication we scheduled the date of Wednesday, December 4, 2013 and met with Gibson, who reported traveling to Columbia by vehicle with her daughter.

DATE OF TEST

The location was rural, far removed from the city, with no concern for signal disturbances. All personal electronics, such as cell phones, were shut off, batteries removed and these items were separated from the scan area.

All scanning equipment was tested prior to use with Gibson to confirm proper function and all equipment tested positive to proceed with the scans as scheduled.

Gibson was interviewed and stated that she is a survivor of electronic harassment since approximately November of 2011 when she saw a bright light at the bedroom window and heard a voice state "are you ready? Are you ready?". Although some gang-stalking incidents like this have occurred, the predominance of experiences related to me were electronic harassment in nature for Gibson such as V2K and physical symptomology. Gibson presented as an intelligent woman, appropriate in demeanor for the situation. Gibson experiences a variety of symptoms which she states are a result of electronic harassment including, but not limited to: physical jerking movement, feeling of vaginal penetration, increased heart rate, electric jolting shock, forced need to urinate, penetrating thoughts, feeling of tightness of the brain, feeling of movement in the brain and face, sleep deprivation, visual field disturbances and images, bruising between buttocks and lower back and on right hand, blisters on lip.

Overall, applying micro and macro expression evaluation and REID interviewing techniques, Gibson believes what she stated to be true and there was no reason to doubt the veracity of her claims.

EQUIPMENT

The equipment used during this testing/scanning is the following:

EXTECH Instruments Single Axis ELF/EMF Field Meter 480823

- Measures electromagnetic field radiation
- LCD Display of EMF level in milliGauss or microTesla
- Provides accurate measurements to 4% over a measuring range of 0.1 to 199.1 mGauss (0.01 to 19.99µTesla)
- ELF Frequency bandwidth of 30 to 300 Hz
- Single axis – sampling 2.5 times per second

ACECO SC-1/JM-20F Handheld RF Frequency Detector with Bargraph

- Frequency range of 1MHz-3GHz
- Sensitivity: Less than 5 mV
- Microprocessor filtration circuitry allowing squelch adjustment to diminish RF noise
- High sensitivity LCD bar graph
- Used both with and without “rubber duck” antenna during this testing

MCD-22H Transmitter Detector

- Frequency range of 1MHz-9GHz
- GPS Detection
- Infinity Detection
- Analog and Digital
- Microwave Detection

UV Light, 385 nm & 400 nm

iGEN NV20/20 Night Vision Scope

- Image capture capability
- Infrared intelligence

GARRETT THD Tactical Handheld Metal Detector

- Operating Temperatures -35° F (-37° C) to 158° F (70° C)
- Operating Frequency: 95 kHz
- Tuning: Automatic
- Scan Area: 3.5” and 360° plus tip
- Ultra-sensitive response to metal objects up to 4” depth
- Accurate detection of all ferrous, non-ferrous and stainless steel objects

MINOR NOTATIONS

Scans were conducted repeatedly, at intervals, over a period of approximately two hours in order to allow for intermittent signals either being transmitted or received if any devices were present in Gibson's body. Equipment was tested throughout the appointment to ensure proper function. Scans were conducted over the entirety of Gibson's body and with special focus on points of concern to her. Any observations of note as written below were witnessed by at least two or more persons present.

Gibson's person was voluntarily searched or observed for any personal property containing electronic devices and none were found. She emptied her pockets of all personal property and removed extraneous jewelry and footwear.


SCAN OBSERVATIONS OF NOTE

A scan for ferrous and non-ferrous materials was performed of Gibson's entire body using the Garrett THD. One alert was detected for which we could not account. During the scan of the ① metatarsals, an alert was detected. Gibson was asked to move approximately two feet away from the current standing position so that the floor area could be scanned for metals and none were detected. Gibson was scanned again and no alerts were detected. It is my opinion that there was nothing to be detected in the floor, that the Garrett THD was known to be functioning properly as it was tested prior to use on Gibson, and that knowing the nature of nano materials and their transient abilities, the product migrated away from the scanned area. Regardless, I have to state that the alert was unable to be duplicated in Gibson.

Using the ACECO, The RFID scan for Gibson initially showed 2663.923MHz at the 2.86GHz switch range. There was no specific point of the body being scanned. Further testing showed the following signals: 2631.106MHz, 2657.222MHz, 2654.699MHz, 2654.306MHz, and 2652.160MHz. Holding the ACECO at certain points of the body did not seem to impact a change in the signal. It should be noted that the signals were NOT coming FROM Gibson, but were in the area at the time of her scan.

An RF/GPS / Microwave test was performed using the MCD-22H and no signals were detected.

Using the **EXTECH**, with Gibson lying prone, the ELF/EMF Scan readings varied. Unusually, the EXTECH fluxuated during the test over certain areas of Gibson's body so that readings were never able to be repeated. The equipment was tested prior to use and tested ready for service, therefore this was not an equipment issue. The standard for Gibson's body was 0.00-0.01 μ . The fluxuations varied as follows: 0.06 μ at the median of the supercilium; 0.02 μ at the zygomatic bone, bilaterally; 0.04 μ at the median of the \textcircled{L} clavicle; 0.02 μ at the superior sternum; 0.02 μ at the \textcircled{R} pectoralis minor; 0.02 μ at the \textcircled{R} floating rib; 0.02 μ at the hip flexors (iliopsoas), bilaterally; 0.04 μ at the median of the \textcircled{L} tibia; 0.02 μ at the base of the \textcircled{R} tibia; 0.06 μ at the \textcircled{L} crest of the pelvic girdle (iliac crest); 0.02 μ at the \textcircled{L} TrP1 of the gluteus maximus; 0.04 μ at the median of the \textcircled{R} hip joint; 0.02 μ at the \textcircled{R} wrist; 0.02 μ at the \textcircled{L} lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh; 0.03 μ at the \textcircled{L} lateral cutaneous nerve of the calf. An image reflecting these points is shown below:



Under UV lighting Gibson's naturally brown eyes reflected a green hue at the pupil and violet purple at the edge of the iris.

Using the Night Vision Scope, there was nothing of note.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the EXTECH evaluations, the points of concern are that at the time of Gibson's scan her ELF/EMF readings were fluctuating over specific points of her body.

If Gibson chooses to undergo further testing, I would recommend these locations, frequencies and issues as focal points based on the observations contained within this report.

The above statements are true and accurate to the best of my recollection.



**Melinda Kidder
Owner/Lead Investigator
Columbia Investigations
MO PI License: 201014159
NREP CESCO Certificate 455495671**