

From: Christians for Personhood <CP@spiritcom.net>
To:
Date: 3/25/2018 4:30:58 PM
Subject: (The Times Examiner) SC Legislature: Redefining How to Kill Babies

ri600Christians for Personhood (CP)

Columbia, South Carolina
March 25, 2018

The Times Examiner (Greenville, SC), March 21, 2018:

INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

' **General Assembly: Redefining How to Kill Babies** '

—

—

The Times Examiner, Greenville, SC
INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

'General Assembly: Redefining How to Kill Babies' [three pages]

March 21, 2018

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-03-24-General-Assembly-Redefining-How-to-Kill-Babies-Times-Examiner-Mar-21-2018.pdf>

—

—

Excerpts:

[SC House Rep. Linda] Bennett, the bill's sponsor, was reported in [The State](#) saying her bill [[H. 3548](#)]

would not stop physicians from performing the procedure [[dismemberment](#)]. It would, however,

require doctors to kill the fetus before it is removed, she said. [emphasis, [hyperlink to The State](#) added]

"All this bill does is require them to euthanize the baby before they rip it apart in the mother's womb,"

Bennett said. [emphasis added]

"I don't like that we even do this procedure," the Charleston Republican said. "But if we can at

least make it

more humane, less painful, to the unborn child ... I want to remove as much pain as I possibly can."

[emphasis added] [CP Note: The preborn child is still exterminated. [Proverb 12:10b, KJV](#)]

Will the Senate Republican Leadership leapfrog the incremental abortion regulation dismemberment bill (H3548) over the Personhood Bill (S217) and promote giving Special Order status to H3548 ahead of S217?

[The State](#) article exposes more of the depraved nature of the bill where sponsor Representative Linda Bennett

of the dismemberment bill discusses [the "humaneness" of the bill](#) because [it requires euthanizing of the baby,](#)

[inside the womb, BEFORE he or she can be dismembered...](#) Ponder on that for more than a moment...

This is a result of [National Right to Life](#) and [their SC chapter, SC Citizens for Life 's](#) ability to dilute the idea

of what it means to be "pro-life." [emphasis added]

Somehow, in this godless universe, [lawmakers and pastors have rationalized incrementally regulating murder.](#)

If the "process," the ripping apart of a human child from the womb, is sanitized, then it is acceptable to these same

lawmakers and pastors to murder the child. It is truly the time of calling evil, good and good, evil; putting darkness for

light and light for darkness; bitter for sweet and sweet bitter. (Isaiah 5:20) [Lawmakers, pastors and pseudo pro-life](#)

[organizations are continuing in a dedicated battle to keep murder, legal.](#) [emphasis added]

SC Senate Leadership, specifically Leatherman (R-Florence) and Massey (R-Edgefield) once again, did not move for

Special Order for S217 on March 14, 2018. Senate President Pro Tem Leatherman moved for closing the Motion [P]eriod

which is the place on the Senate calendar when Senator Massey could have moved for a 2/3rds floor vote Special Order

despite the apparent obstruction of Leatherman, Campsen (R-Charleston) and Reese (D-Inman) on Massey's Rules Committee

but, Massey did not so move." [CP Note: By Leatherman moving to close the Motion Period, and by the Republican-majority

body of Senators in the chamber then going along with Leatherman's motion, neither Massey nor any other Senator

is able to make a motion during the Motion Period. However, if the Republican-majority body of Senators would

by a simple majority vote object to Leatherman's motion, then Senator Massey OR ANY OTHER SENATOR could move

for Special Order for S217. [This has not been done NOT because it cannot be done](#)

procedurally, but because the
Republican-majority Senate has NOT WILLED it to be done.]

This country's intentionally misdirected attention to **gun issues** distracts from this moral dilemma being experienced
as the country moves further from being virtuous and righteous: requirements recognized more than 200
years ago by the framers as being essential to maintaining freedom and liberty. [emphasis added]

The legal pretexts for the ongoing slaughter inside the womb where the most innocent reside without due process of law
or equal protection of the laws is directly connected to the horrific violence occurring outside the womb where by extension:
why would anyone expect life to be respected?

Hosea 4:6: My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: **seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children.**
[emphasis added, **Hosea 4:6, KJV**]

Those who wish to appear pro-life are using their very visible votes for dismemberment to portray themselves as being humane yet there will still be dead children whose lives are being sacrificed to insulate those who legislate incremental murder from having to take a principled stand against bad law . [emphasis added]

The Supreme Court opinions are not synonymous with the U.S. Constitution and Judicial Supremacy is not god.
When is the General Assembly going to recognize that supporting a pretended good is defeating what is best?
[emphasis added]

Matthew, Mark and Luke all share this reminder that it is better for a millstone to be hanged about one's neck and be cast into the sea [than] that one should offend one of the little ones... It could be supposed that murder might be considered somewhat offensive, terrifying, frightening, and permanent. Incremental murder is no less offensive. Mutilation is offensive.
This State's debate on what the right way to kill a child is, is... offensive . This has to stop. [emphasis added]

From **Christians for Personhood** :

The SC Personhood Bill **S.217** is competing with the "Dismemberment Bill" **H.3548** for the attention of the SC Senate for being set for Special Order and actually getting debated on the floor of the Senate.

Christians, please contact SC Republican Senators, and SC Democrat Senators Jackson, Reese, and Williams, and ask them to support setting **S.217** Personhood Bill for Special Order FIRST, immediately, before considering **H.3548** "Dismemberment bill" for Special Order.

The April 10 "cross over" date under regular order for bills to be sent from the Senate to the House (or from the House to the Senate) may be just four legislative working days away (March 27, 28, 29; April 10) if the SC Senate takes a week off the first week in April (April 3, 4, 5).

Contact info for all SC Senators at: <http://www.scstatehouse.gov/> , click on ' **Senators** '

Christians for Personhood SC Senate Lobbying Memo

March 14, 2018 - Re: S217 Personhood Act of SC

The Son of God, "Jesus said, Thou shalt do not murder, ..." Matthew 19:18, KJV / "Abortion" is Murder.

ALL Human Beings are Persons. Fear God. Proverb 1:7 Proverb 8:13 Proverb 9:10 Proverb 16:6b, KJV

Obey your Oath, So Help you God, to Establish Justice. PASS PERSONHOOD NOW !

(Photo)

' **I AM A PERSON** ' - 7 weeks from conception

and "How To Receive Salvation of the Spirit"

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-03-12-I-AM-A-PERSON-7-weeks-from-conception.pdf>

E-mail report below sent March 18, 2018:

ri600Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2018
From: Christians for Personhood
Subject: (The State) Personhood Bill vs. Dismemberment Bill

Christians for Personhood (CP)

Columbia, South Carolina
March 18, 2018

The State (Columbia, SC), March 16, 2018 ([online](#)) / March 18, 2018 (print):
Personhood Bill vs. Dismemberment Bill

'With 2 options in SC Legislature, activists split on best way to stop abortion'
<http://www.thestate.com/news/politics-government/politics-columns-blogs/the-buzz/article205294704.html>
March 16, 2018
Updated March 17, 2018

From **Christians for Personhood** :

Personhood Bill vs. Dismemberment Bill

In order listed in the [online article](#) in The State:

- 1) Holly Gatling, SC Citizens for Life (SC chapter of National Right to Life): lobbying for "dismemberment bill" / [H.3548](#);
- 2) Steve Lefemine, Christians for Personhood: lobbying for Personhood bill / [S.217](#); opposes [H.3548](#);
- 3) Dr Oran Smith (PhD), Palmetto Family Council: opposes Personhood bill / [S.217](#); supports incremental bills regulating child-murder / e.g., [H.3548](#);
- 4) Dr Matthew Clark (MD), Personhood SC, lobbying for Personhood bill / [S.217](#)

The SC Personhood Bill [S.217](#) is competing with the "Dismemberment Bill" [H.3548](#) for the attention of the SC Senate for Special Order and actually getting debated on the floor of the Senate.

Christians, please contact SC Republican Senators, and SC Democrat Senators Jackson, Reese, and Williams, and ask them to support setting [S.217](#) Personhood Bill for Special Order FIRST, before the [H.](#)

3548 "Dismemberment bill" gets set for Special Order.

Contact info for all SC Senators at: <http://www.scstatehouse.gov/> , click on 'Senators'

(Photo)

'I AM A PERSON' - 7 weeks from conception

and "How To Receive Salvation of the Spirit"

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-03-12-I-AM-A-PERSON-7-weeks-from-conception.pdf>

The State (Columbia, SC)

With 2 options in SC Legislature, activists split on best way to stop abortion

<http://www.thestate.com/news/politics-government/politics-columns-blogs/the-buzz/article205294704.html>

March 16, 2018

Updated March 17, 2018

[CP: Correction, comments, added, portion omitted]

To hear what abortion rights [CP: sic] supporters have to say about South Carolina's abortion bills, [read this](#).

Pro-life activists are optimistic that new abortion restrictions will become law in South Carolina this year.

But with not one but two pieces of legislation that could restrict abortion options - or potentially ban the procedure completely - opponents of abortion have to decide just how far they think the Palmetto State is willing to go.

Supporters of a ban on so-called "dismemberment" abortions say that measure offers a chance to eliminate a "gruesome" procedure with legislation that will hold up in court.

But some supporters of a so-called "personhood" bill say that proposal offers a more comprehensive measure that would open the door to banning all abortions - something even some pro-life activists see as a long shot.

Both measures are headed to the floor of the state Senate but face obstacles to becoming law, not the least of which is that the legislative session wraps for the year in mid-May.

Supporters are optimistic the dismemberment ban could become law, especially after it [passed the S.C. House by a wide margin](#) last year.

"This is very similar to the partial-birth abortion ban," said Holly Gatling with S.C. Citizens for Life, referring to another abortion restriction that survived a court challenge. "That's why we believe it will be upheld. ... It doesn't regulate women. It regulates the abortion industry."

Gatling said the ban would end a "barbaric, inhumane procedure" - a procedure that doctors call "dilation and evacuation." The procedure involves removing a fetus "one piece at a time from the uterus," using instruments to "slice, crush, and/or grasp a portion of the unborn child's body to cut or rip it off," according to the language of the bill.

Pro-choice [\[CP: sic - Pro-"abortion" \]](#) opponents of the bill say the procedure is the safest and most common form of second-trimester abortion.

But some abortion opponents think the dismemberment bill doesn't go far enough. Steve Lefemine with Christians for Personhood doesn't see the virtue in preferring one method of ending a pregnancy to another.

"It would be like a German parliamentarian in the '30s [\[CP correction : 40's \]](#) regulating the Zyklon B in the (concentration camp) showers," Lefemine said. "The [\[CP: Sixth \]](#) [C]ommandment says, '[T]hou shalt not kill,' not 'thou shall incrementally limit murder.'"

A personhood bill legally would define an embryo as a person from the moment of conception, with all the rights that go along with it. However, since that would go against the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Roe v. Wade*, critics worry a personhood bill would face a long and costly legal challenge that the state ultimately could lose.

"It could be stayed through a long legal battle," said Oran Smith with the Palmetto Family Council, which supports the dismemberment bill. "The Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals is not the Strom Thurmond-Jesse Helms circuit any more. There are a lot of Obama appointments on the court."

Some make **the same case** against the dismemberment ban.

Smith says his organization's philosophy always has been to "chip away at abortions in the state."

Matthew Clark with Personhood S.C. is confident the GOP-controlled Legislature can advance the personhood bill into law this year. However, the bill has yet to pass the House and the Senate.

"The purpose is to recognize the God-given, inalienable right to life for every human being," Clark said.

"No one is safe if the government determines who does and doesn't have rights."

continued...

The State

E-mail report below sent March 12, 2018:

Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2018

From: Christians for Personhood

Subject: (The Times Examiner) 'Personhood Act Passes Senate Judiciary Committee By 12Y - 9N'

Christians for Personhood (CP)

Columbia, South Carolina

March 12, 2018

The Times Examiner (Greenville, SC), Feb. 28, 2018:

INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

' **Personhood Act Passes Senate Judiciary Committee** By

12Y - 9N'

The Times Examiner, Greenville, SC
INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

'Personhood Act Passes Senate Judiciary Committee By 12Y - 9N'

February 28, 2018

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-03-12-Personhood-Act-Passes-Senate-Judiciary-Comm-By-12Y-9N-The-Times-Examiner-Feb-28-2018.pdf>

Excerpts:

"Now a Special Order status for S.217 is needed so that it will actually be debated on the floor of the Senate."

"Please contact the Republican Senators in the SC Senate (<http://www.scstatehouse.gov>) and urge them to advocate among their Republican Senate colleagues for Special Order status for S.217."

Additional postings provided by Christians for Personhood :

By definition, there are no "exceptions" to Human (Natural) Personhood:

Personhood Report: In Law, No Exceptions to Human Personhood

<http://christiansforpersonhood.com/index.php/2018/01/30/personhood-report-in-law-no-exceptions-to-human-personhood/>

Personhood Report: No Exceptions to Personhood

<http://christiansforpersonhood.com/index.php/2018/01/29/personhood-report-no-exceptions-to-personhood/>

If there are any "EXCEPTIONS" to Personhood, then we no longer have Personhood, nor a Personhood Bill.

MINUTES - SC Senate Judiciary Committee

Tuesday, February 20, 2018

11:00 a.m., Room 105, Gressette Building, State House complex, Columbia, SC

S.217 Personhood Act of SC passes favorably 12Y - 9N

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-02-20-SC-Senate-Judiciary-Committee-Minutes-S217-passed-favorably-12Y-9N-02-20-18-Minutes.pdf>

The Times Examiner (Greenville, SC)

INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

'Status of Personhood in the State of South Carolina'

<https://timesexaminer.com/news/2806-status-of-personhood-in-the-state-of-south-carolina>

07 February 2018

The Times Examiner (Greenville, SC)

INDEPENDENT CONSERVATIVE VOICE OF THE PALMETTO STATE

'Personhood and 2018 SC Legislature'

'Pass Personhood Now !'

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2018-01-09-Personhood-and-2018-SC-Legislature-Pass-Personhood-Now!-The-Times-Examiner-Jan-03-2018.pdf>

January 3, 2018

SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR HENRY McMASTER LETTER

South Carolina Governor **Henry McMaster** :

"Please know that I strongly support the Personhood Act (H.3530 and S.217) and look forward to signing it into law."

Letter to Dr. Matthew Clark, Chairman of the Board, **Personhood South Carolina**

October 2, 2017

'For the Murdered Unborn, Incrementalism is Not Justice'

'Incrementalism is Not Justice for the Murdered Unborn. Incrementalism Perverts Justice and the Law.

Incrementalism is the Regulation of Child-Murder-by-"Abortion". Incrementalism is Evil.'

'In the Sixth Commandment, God says, "Thou shalt not kill (murder)." Exodus 20:13,

KJV. Jesus Christ says,

"If ye love Me, keep My commandments." John 14:15. Establishing Justice is obedience to God.'

Steve Lefemine, Christian pro-life missionary

dir., Columbia Christians for Life aka Christians for Life and Liberty

exec. dir., Christians for Personhood

April 10, 2017

<http://christiansforpersonhood.com/index.php/2017/04/11/for-the-murdered-unborn-incrementalism-is-not-justice/>

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2017-04-10-Incrementalism-is-Not-Justice-Incrementalism-is-Evil.pdf>

Personhood is Abolition

- Personhood is abolition. Here in South Carolina, we have advocated passage of State personhood legislation continuously for the last 19 years since 1998

[[History of Personhood Legislation in South Carolina \(1998 - 2016 \)](#)].

The current 2017 legislation in the SC Legislature is called the Personhood Act of South Carolina ([S .217 / H.3530](#)).

- South Carolina Personhood legislation recognizes the Creator God-given, unalienable right to life of

every human being as a "person" beginning at fertilization, in SC law.

Steve Lefemine

exec. dir., [Christians for Personhood](#)

March 15, 2017 [Edited July 15, 2017]

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2017-03-15-Personhood-is-Abolition-edited-July-15-2017.pdf>

'GOD Has Shown US Great MERCY...What Will We Do with the OPPORTUNITY?'

Letter to the Editor / Opinion Editorial

Steve Lefemine, Christian pro-life missionary

dir., Columbia Christians for Life

exec. dir. Christians for Personhood

November 12, 2016 / Edited, Revised November 14, 2016

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2016-11-12-GOD-Has-Shown-US-Great-MERCY-Letter-to-the-Editor.pdf>

Black's Law Dictionary (2009): Person = "A Human Being"

"person. ... 1. A human being. - Also termed natural person. "

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2013-12-09-Blacks-Law-Dictionary-Person-3.pdf>

LEGAL EXPERTS SUPPORTING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE-LEVEL PERSONHOOD LEGISLATION

IN SC (2001), MISS (2009), ALA (2011), and OK (2012):

- Herb Titus is an attorney, constitutional scholar, author, the founding Dean of College of Law/Gov't at Regent University
- Mathew Staver is former Dean of the School of Law at Liberty University; and **Liberty Counsel** founder and chair
- Judge Roy Moore, Chief Justice of the **Alabama Supreme Court**, is President Emeritus of **Foundation for Moral Law**

April 5, 2016

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2016-04-05-Legal-experts-supporting-constitutionality-of-State-level-Personhood-legislation-SC-MISS-ALA-OK-edited-Jan-27-2017.pdf>

In both Webster's Dictionary and Black's Law Dictionary used by attorneys, the first definition for "Person" is: "A human being."

Black's Law Dictionary (2009): Person = "A Human Being"

"person. ... 1. A human being. - Also termed natural person. "

<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2013-12-09-Blacks-Law-Dictionary-Person-3.pdf>

So Is or Is Not the developing preborn baby in the womb of a human mother, "A human being." ?

Yes, of course. The answer is obvious:



living unborn baby at eight weeks

<http://clinicquotes.com/abortion-at-8-weeks-pictures/>

Here are some pictures of living unborn babies at 7 weeks:



<http://clinicquotes.com/abortion-at-7-weeks/>



<http://clinicquotes.com/abortion-at-7-weeks/>

Any "Exceptions" to Personhood, and we no longer have Personhood, we no longer have a Personhood Bill.

Either a child in the womb is a human being and therefore a person, or they are not. There are no "Exceptions" to Human Personhood.

The Black's Law Dictionary and the Webster's Dictionary first definition of a "Person", is "A Human Being" !!!

To unsubscribe, send "Unsubscribe" to:
Christians for Personhood