

From: Taylor, Richele  
To: Lisa Reynolds <lreynolds@arslawsc.com>  
Date: 12/20/2016 10:46:00 AM  
Subject: RE: Knight v SC Governor

---

I would probably accept, but in the answer state something like,

Plaintiff has served the "Office of the Governor, South Carolina Nikki R. Haley, in her Official SC Capacity." Governor Nikki R. Haley answers in belief that she is the intended named party, not the Office of the Governor, and notes that the caption should be more properly titled "Governor Nikki R. Haley, in her official capacity" to omit confusion.

Thoughts?

We can object as he states "office of the Governor", but I thought the other might help move it along and get the dismissal for service heard.

Richele

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Reynolds [mailto:lreynolds@arslawsc.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 10:30 AM  
To: Taylor, Richele <RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov>  
Subject: RE: Knight v SC Governor

How about these:

Office of the Governor, South Carolina, Miki R. Haley, in her Official SC Capacity

-----Original Message-----

From: Taylor, Richele [mailto:RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 10:24 AM  
To: Lisa Reynolds <lreynolds@arslawsc.com>  
Subject: RE: Knight v SC Governor

The writing comes from mail processing. Rebeca Schimsa is the other attorney in the Governor's office. She works for me. They flag mail to go to our office.

Proper way to identify the Governor would be any of the below, all of which I would accept:

Governor Nikki Haley  
Governor Nimarata "Nikki" R. Haley  
Governor Nimarata "Nikki" R. Haley, in her official capacity,

Richele

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Reynolds [mailto:lreynolds@arlawsc.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 10:18 AM  
To: Taylor, Richele <RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov>  
Subject: Knight v SC Governor

Two quick questions (1) can I get the proper way to identify the Governor in this matter and (2) on the envelope you attached there is handwriting "Legal" and " Schimsa" - can you tell me whose handwriting that is? More specifically - did that handwriting come from Mr. Knight or is it someone in the Governors office that wrote that?

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Taylor, Richele [mailto:RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov]  
Sent: Monday, December 05, 2016 11:34 AM  
To: Lisa Reynolds <lreynolds@arlawsc.com>  
Cc: Katy Lawrimore <klawrimore@arlawsc.com>  
Subject:

Lisa -

Sorry - summons. Not subpoena. Not sure where I was in my train of thought.

I got the info. It came in regular mail on Friday. No one signed for it. I have attached a copy of the large envelope.

Inside was a summons, order, and Second Amended Memo/Complaint. Also a small envelope, not used, enclosed. Copies of all attached!

Richele

Richele K. Taylor  
Chief Legal Counsel  
Office of the Governor  
O: 803-734-8465  
C: 803-231-9763  
It's a great day in South Carolina

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Knight - Second Amdned First Memo and Second Complaint Knight - Envelope Knight - small envelope Knight Order Knight -Summons

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.