

No. 14-570

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Samuel N. Smith, Pro Se Litigant

Vs.

Henry D. McMaster, as Attorney General

Vs.

Jean H. Toal, as Chief Justice

Vs.

State of South Carolina

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
From the United States Court of Appeals  
For the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit

REQUEST FOR REHEARING

Samuel N. Smith, Pro Se  
6350 Platt Springs Road  
Lexington, S. C. 29073  
803-356-0020

With all due respect, I ask the Supreme Court of the United States to consider the following:

1. Respect for the Rule of Law in the United States is declining because the system appears rigged and corrupt.
2. President Obama sent the United States Attorney General to Ferguson , Missouri, because he was concerned Michael Brown's civil rights had been violated. If I were given the same courtesy the facts as I have submitted them will stand up in any court room.
3. The issues involved are issues that the Supreme Court of the United States has considered on numerous occasions. These issues are certainly important in assuring a civil society.
4. Please notice, while my attackers are "law enforcement" personnel they are the individuals that must be held to the highest standards to assure respect for the Rule of Law. To allow a State Attorney General or a Chief Justice to use their office to take bribes and to attack a citizen "under color of law" is the Supreme Court of the United States guarantee that "law enforcement" in South Carolina is non-existent. Why is it necessary that I, as a citizen, find myself having to become my own attorney in order to fight the

corruption that is out of control in South Carolina? Why are “law enforcement” personnel allowed to sit in their office and decide if they will “uphold and defend the laws of this Nation” to assure “equal protection under the law”, or if they will just choose to protect their corrupt friends.

5. As I stated in the Writ of Certiorari I can prove in any legitimate court that my attackers knew they were violating Federal Law and yet they chose to use their office to hide their corruption.

Please allow me to make additional comments to each of the above points.

The decline for the Rule of Law because it appears rigged and corrupt is shown in every incident where a police officer is attacked. For our society to be a “Nation of Laws” it is important that politicians are not allowed to choose what laws they are going to enforce and when they are going to enforce them.

Please notice “Congressman Joe Wilson” along with his son, Alan Wilson, the current AG, was involved in this bribe taking scheme. Is there any wonder that they run from the courts?

Politicians should not be allowed to interfere in the Due Process procedures of law enforcement. Such obvious “favoritism” will only serve to produce further disrespect and to destroy this Nation.

This case is full of issues the Supreme Court of the United States has considered many times.

1. Shall a law enforcement be required to obtain a search warrant prior to attacking a citizen and removing their property without Due Process?
2. Shall a citizen that has been prevented by corrupt “law enforcement” be denied their right to act “Pro Se”?
3. Shall a corrupt “law enforcement” officer be allowed to commit Fraud Upon the Court and to hide behind their office and some Statute of Limitations?
4. Shall any public official be allowed to use their office to commit financial fraud?
5. Deprivation of “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness”?
6. False imprisonment?
7. Obstruction of justice?
8. Malicious prosecution? Let me remind you my attackers had no reason to attack me other than they were using their offices to take bribes and to scam the citizens of South Carolina.
9. Extortion.

I hope you can see that I am not requesting this rehearing to prolong or delay the Supreme Court of the United States from considering more important issues but rather if the law enforcement” can use their offices in such destructive process, then our Nation is in deep trouble.

Should the Supreme Court of the United States be concerned about “my case”? Is it about me or the history of the “guaranteed rights” of findings from research or many Justices of the Supreme Court over many years. Please let me quote from *U S History*.

*It is a fair summary of constitutional history that the landmark of our liberties have been forged in cases involving not very nice people.*

*The people who test liberties and rights in the courts are not always ideal citizens.*

Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter

UShistory further states,

*Civil liberties protected in the Bill of Rights may be divided into two broad areas: freedoms and rights guaranteed in the First Amendment and liberties and rights associated with crime and due process, Civil rights are also protected by the Fourteenth Amendment, which protects violation of rights and liberties by the state governments.*

It would appear that even when you remove “my case” the importance of protecting citizen’s rights is a principle that must stand if this Nation is to survive.

No citizen should ever be involved in a case where a “chief justice” of the State of South Carolina that is a documented drunkard has used her office to “attack the citizen “under color of law”.

The basic rights that have been denied to me as a citizen of the United States has been deeply rooted and respected for years going all the way back to “the Colonists” as shown by this quote from *the Emily Fund*.

*Among the natural rights of the colonists are these: first, a right to life; secondly, to liberty; thirdly to property; together with the right to support and defend them in the best manner they can.*

--Samuel Adams

*It was we, the people; not we, the white male citizens; nor yet we, the male citizens; but we, the whole people, who formed the Union...Men, their rights and nothing more; women, their rights and nothing less.”*

--Susan B. Anthony

*So long as we have enough people in this country willing to fight for their rights, we’ll be called a democracy.*

--Roger Nash Baldwin

Is it not true that the Supreme court has always been the reliable and forthright barometer that has protected the rights of citizens that has enabled this "Nation" to survive?

John F. Kennedy said:

*If we make peaceful revolution impossible, we make violent revolution inevitable.*

*In giving rights to others which belong to them, we give rights to ourselves and to our country*

*To sin by silence when they should protest makes cowards of men.*

Abraham Lincoln

Let me close by saying for six years while I have been diligently fighting the good fight of faith that "justice prevails", I have been ridiculed and laughed at as I was told numerous times "you are wasting your time and money" fighting Jean Toal and Henry McMaster because the system protects them and your rights mean nothing".

The ability of a drunkard to sit in her office, accompanied by a state attorney general, to use their offices to take bribes and to attack anyone that gets in their way cannot and must not stand.

I trust the Supreme Court of the United States will agree that the very foundation of our “life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness” can be destroyed by “not very nice people” that have not fired a shot but they have destroyed the lives of the people they attack just as sure as the police that uses their weapon irresponsibly.

My body was not lying in the middle of the street for the newspapers and others to witness the fact that my life had been destroyed by “not very nice people” that are smart enough to realize they have to use their office in a way that their corrupt friends can protect them from prosecution.

I am the only person that has the will to advise the Supreme Court of the United States that our freedoms are being destroyed because even the local media protects these “not very nice people”.

Is “my case” about me? I believe it is about the “not very nice people” that will use their offices in such unscrupulous ways as to hide their corruption from the public.

“My case” highlights the new form of “police brutality”.

Signed this \_\_\_\_ day of February, 2015,

---

Samuel N. Smith, Pro Se Litigant  
6350 Platt Springs Road  
Lexington, S. C. 29073  
803 356 0020