
From: Robert Livingston <blivingston@gregoryelectric.com>
Sent: Friday, October 3, 2014 5:24 PM
To: Haley, Nikki
Subject: Fwd: Title and story is misleading

Govenor

Just in case you see this story in The State, below is the real story. The Headline reads SC National Guard Soldier. This is a National Guard Soldier from SC that is on Active Duty.

Bob Livingston
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "King, Cynthia M MAJ USARMY NG SCARNG (US)"
<cynthia.m.king4.mil@mail.mil<mailto:cynthia.m.king4.mil@mail.mil>>
Date: October 3, 2014 at 17:16:16 EDT
To: Robert Livingston <blivingston@gregoryelectric.com<mailto:blivingston@gregoryelectric.com>>, "Batts, Gregory W MG USARMY (US)" <gregory.w.batts.mil@mail.mil<mailto:gregory.w.batts.mil@mail.mil>>, "Goff, Darlene M BG USARMY NG SCARNG (US)" <darlene.m.goff.mil@mail.mil<mailto:darlene.m.goff.mil@mail.mil>>, "Finley, James R COL USARMY NG SCARNG (US)" <james.r.finley6.mil@mail.mil<mailto:james.r.finley6.mil@mail.mil>>, "McCarty, Roy Van BG USARMY (US)" <roy.v.mccarty.mil@mail.mil<mailto:roy.v.mccarty.mil@mail.mil>>
Cc: "Finley, James R COL USARMY NG SCARNG (US)"
<james.r.finley6.mil@mail.mil<mailto:james.r.finley6.mil@mail.mil>>, "Fryman, Matthew W LTC USARMY NG SCARNG (US)" <matthew.w.fryman.mil@mail.mil<mailto:matthew.w.fryman.mil@mail.mil>>
Subject: FW: Title and story is misleading

Gentlemen and Ma'am-

Wanted to make you aware we are tracking this story—and it is not portrayed accurately. I have reached out to THE STATE and to the reporter. Here is information and link below for your SA: I doubt they will remove the story or submit a retraction. To reporters it still reads correct. "From Hampton, South Carolina, serves in the National Guard = S.C. National Guard Soldier"

Very unfortunate..

From: King, Cynthia M MAJ USARMY NG SCARNG (US)
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2014 4:24 PM
To: 'awatkins@mclcatchydc.com<mailto:awatkins@mclcatchydc.com>'
Subject: FW: Title and story is misleading

Subject: Title and story is misleading

Hello!

We saw the published story below and wanted to clarify it, so it is correct.

http://www.thestate.com/2014/10/02/3720105_sc-national-guard-official-charged.html?rh=1

1- The is not active duty S.C. Army National Guard Soldier—he was assigned here briefly and left the S.C. Army National Guard well before 2006—transferred to and worked at National Guard Bureau in Washington D.C.

2- All of the allegations and activity occurred when he was assigned to National Guard Bureau in Washington D.C. not the S.C. Army National Guard. The story is written as though he was a member of the S.C. Army National Guard during these activities, which he was not.

VR-- MAJ King