

1
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
DECEMBER 12-11-14

TO MR. WIKKIN R. HALEY GOVERNOR OF STATES OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FROM MR. LARRY WILLIAMS #094703 F. 2. B. ROOM 234. GEN POPULATION
MEET (MI3)_____

HOW ARE YOU IS DOING AT THIS TIME MS. HALEY
HAPPY NEW YEARS. AND HAPPY HOLIDAY. MS GOVERNOR
McCORMICK. CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION.

HEY MS HALEY. THIS IS INMATE 094703. LARRY WILLIAMS
GEN POPULATION. WITH ME (MI3)
I'M IN COMMUNICATION WITH YOU ABOUT THIS MATTER HERE
TO DAY_____

I'M FROM HEMINGWAY SOUTH CAROLINA #
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY, IN KINGSTREE S.C.
THAT IS WHERE I'M FROM_____

NEW LEGISLATION WILL BENEFIT ALL PRISONERS
IN THE STATES OF SOUTH CAROLINA MS
PAROLE REFORM.
MR SEWATON MIKE FAIR_____

I WAS AT WIKIANO CT. IN (1996) THE (SCOC) AKA MY
PAROLE ELIGIBLE DATE FROM ME IN 1996)_____

I SET MY PAROLE ELIGIBLE DATE BACK) I GOT SENT IN 1987
AND I PLEAD NOT GUILTY.

**SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER**

TO: NAME:	TITLE:	DATE:
INMATE'S NAME:		SCDC #:
INSTITUTION:		LIVING QUARTERS:
DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:		
DATE:	SIGNATURE:	

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

DEC 12, 11-14

TO MS NIKKIE R. HALEY GOVERNOR

LANE WILLIAMS #94403

HE GOT SENTENCES IN 1987, IN

WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY, IN KINGSTREE S.C. _____

HISTORICAL NOTES

1995 AN NO 83 BY §§ 62 PROVIDES
THAT THE 1995 AMENDMENT TO THIS

SECTION APPLIES PROSPECTIVELY TO

ALL CRIMES COMMITTED ON OR AFTER
THE ACT'S EFFECTIVE DATE OF

JANUARY 1-1996

GET BACK WITH ME OK

THANK YOU

DEC 12, 11-14

MS. GOVERNOR, MS NIKKIE R. HALEY

S. JERRY ZILCH

**SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER**

TO: NAME:	TITLE:	DATE:
INMATE'S NAME:		SCDC #:
INSTITUTION:		LIVING QUARTERS:
DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:		
DATE:	SIGNATURE:	

Larry Williams
#94203
McCormick Correctional Institution
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29899

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

IN PUT IN CASE IN COURT.

NO 14-7661, LARRY WILLIAMS V WARDEN ET

214. CV. 03391. MGL.

FILED NOVEMBER 10, 2014

THIS CASE HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE COURT'S DOCKET
UNDER THE ABOVE REFERRED NUMBER -

DEC 12/11-14

S. Young

5

(B) If the factual predicate for the claim could not have been discovered previously through the exercise of due diligence and

(ii) the fact underlying the claim is proven and viewed in light of the evidence as whole would be

sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence

that but for constitutional error ~~was~~ reasonable factfinder would have found the applicant guilty

of the underlying offense

28. USC 2244 (b) 2)