

From: Blake, Trish  
To: 'Bell, Harry' <HBell@budget.sc.gov>  
CC: Grizzle, Rodney <RGrizzle@budget.sc.gov>  
Adams, Marcia S <Marcia.Adams@SCDMV.net>  
Earley, Jr., Jimmy E <Jimmy.Earley@SCDMV.net>  
Phelps, Annie L <Annie.Phelps@scdmv.net>  
Caldwell, John H <John.Caldwell@SCDMV.net>  
Kremlick, Lindsey O <Lindsey.Kremlick@scdmv.net>  
Padgett, John A <John.Padgett@scdmv.net>  
Valenta, Val <Val.Valenta@scdmv.net>  
Date: 2/23/2010 3:48:58 PM  
Subject: RE: Fiscal Impact on Senate Bill S. 1154

---

Hey Harry,

The \$9,384,000 represents only a portion (50%) of what we collect annually in the license reinstatement fees. We reviewed all revenue that was collected by the Agency during FY08 for the suspensions covered by this bill. We then estimated the number of individuals that we truly thought would take advantage of the Amnesty Period and the fiscal impact reflects that number.

The \$1,963,475 does represent the annual amount collected during FY08 for Controlled Substance suspensions – and the corresponding revenue for Controlled Substance suspensions related to Driving Under Suspension and Route Restricted Licenses issued during FY08 that were associated with Controlled Substance violations.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thanks,  
Trish

---

From: Bell, Harry [mailto:HBell@budget.sc.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 3:04 PM  
To: Blake, Trish  
Cc: Grizzle, Rodney  
Subject: FW: Fiscal Impact on Senate Bill S. 1154  
Importance: High

Trish:

On the attached fiscal impact can you please answer the following ASAP.

Does the \$9,384,000 figure for Amnesty Period represent the total amount you collect annually in license reinstatement fees? If not how did arrive at this figure? I assume this is the estimate for Section 15 of the Bill.

Does the \$1,963,000 figure for Controlled Substance suspension represent the total amount you collect annually in license reinstatement fees for individuals who lost their license because of a controlled substance violation? If not how did arrive at this figure? I assume this is the estimate for Section 45 of the Bill – if not, please indicate which section of the Bill this estimate is for.

Is there any overlap in the revenue estimates above and on the attaches statement from you.

Thanks,

---

From: Grizzle, Rodney

Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 1:29 PM  
To: Bell, Harry  
Subject: FW: Fiscal Impact on Senate Bill S. 1154  
Importance: High

Per your request

---

From: Blake, Trish [mailto:Trish.Blake@scdmv.net]  
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 1:13 PM  
To: Grizzle, Rodney  
Cc: Adams, Marcia S; Earley, Jr., Jimmy E; Devlin, Lotte; Phelps, Annie L; Kremlick, Lindsey O; Dolder, Rolf P; Valenta, Val; Porter, Philip S; Caldwell, John H; Padgett, John A  
Subject: Fiscal Impact on Senate Bill S. 1154  
Importance: High

Hey Rodney,

Attached is DMV's fiscal impact statement on Senate Bill S. 1154. Please let me know if you need additional information.

Thanks,  
Trish

**Trish D. Blake, CIA, CBM**  
**SC DMV Director of Finance**  
**10311 Wilson Blvd.**  
**PO Box 1498**  
**Blythewood, SC 29016**