

From: [Pisarik, Holly <HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>](mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov)  
To: [Patel, SwatiSwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov](mailto:SwatiSwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov)  
Date: 12/30/2015 12:27:51 PM  
Subject: Re: Jan. 1 MOX Deadline

---

The contract is on the AGs desk for review.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2015, at 10:27 AM, Patel, Swati <[SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov](mailto:SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov)> wrote:

Before we file the Form 1, we need to update NH on it so it can wait until next week. I told her we'd explain the contingency contract first.

---

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 11:22 AM  
To: [Patel, Swati](#)  
Cc: [Glaccum, David](#); [Adams, Chaney](#); [Baker, Josh](#); [Godfrey, Rob](#)  
Subject: Re: Jan. 1 MOX Deadline

I will follow up again with Bob. The Form 1 is ready to go, but I was holding off on sending until they finalized the contract with Randy. Last time I followed up the contract wasn't ready for our review.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2015, at 9:25 AM, Patel, Swati <[SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov](mailto:SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov)> wrote:

I agree with David.

Holly – did we make any progress on the Form 1 and preparing for an enforcement action?

---

From: [Glaccum, David](#)  
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 9:52 AM  
To: [Adams, Chaney](#); [Patel, Swati](#); [Pisarik, Holly](#); [Baker, Josh](#)  
Cc: [Godfrey, Rob](#)  
Subject: RE: Jan. 1 MOX Deadline

Until something new happens (we file suit, they actually move forward with bringing more waste/plutonium into SC, they begin to move plutonium out of SC, we begin to collect fines, etc) I still think that quote works as written. It is broad and hits all the main points: we would love for them to move it out but if they don't we will continue to fight to make them uphold their end of the bargain.

---

From: [Adams, Chaney](#)  
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 9:47 AM  
To: [Patel, Swati](#); [Pisarik, Holly](#); [Baker, Josh](#); [Glaccum, David](#)  
Cc: [Godfrey, Rob](#)  
Subject: FW: Jan. 1 MOX Deadline

Holly, Josh and David,

What do you all suggest adding to the quote that was approved last week on this matter?

Quote from Chaney Adams, Haley press secretary, "This is obviously a good thing for South

Carolina, but only if the Feds follow through on the report and actually move the waste from Savannah River. The DOE does not have a great track record of keeping its promises to the people of South Carolina, which is why we will continue to push them in every way we can to make sure our state is not a dumping ground for others' nuclear waste."

---

From: Asberry, Derrek [<mailto:dasberry@aikenstandard.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 9:35 AM  
To: Adams, Chaney  
Subject: Jan. 1 MOX Deadline

Hi, Chaney. Hope all is well.

Working on a followup to Gov. Haley's letter to Sec. Moniz earlier this month and had a few questions below. Looking to get answers by 5 p.m. Thanks!

1) Has Gov. Haley received a response from the Secretary or DOE regarding the removal of plutonium from the site? If so, what has been said? If it was in the form of a letter or other document, could you send it?

2) If Gov. Haley has not received a response, is she still expecting one?

3) Regarding last week's news that DOE is looking to remove plutonium from SRS: Would that satisfy the MOX agreement in Gov. Haley's opinion, even though the plutonium that would be removed is not part of the plutonium scheduled to be MOX'd?

4) Beginning Jan. 1, what is the process moving forward to impose fines? Will Gov. Haley send a followup letter/request for the \$1M a day, will she be working with AG office, etc.?

5) If and when DOE pays the fines, is there a specific destination or appropriation for the money? Or will it simply go into the state's general fund?

6) Anything else?

Derrek Asberry  
SRS Reporter  
803-644-2381  
[dasberry@aikenstandard.com](mailto:dasberry@aikenstandard.com)