

Press Release 2/10/2015

By William von Meyer, former member
G.A.T.T. Team USA and Executive
Committee FAO/Industry Co-Op Program

We release today a 2012 letter to Congress on the need for limited pre-trial tests on massive social projects such as ObamaCare and need for a National Development Authority(NDA).

Further, we caution in the letter on massive buildings and expenses at universities or other public centers. "Buildings are not education" and their costs deprive students by forcing higher taxes and tuition.

A National Development Authority is needed for a limited period to Pay off the China debt quickly, to use existing technology to create many small to medium sized companies , to replace foreign production and create local new material opportunities. Keep in mind that 30% of the USA military equipment was found as "made in Germany!!!!" The NDA efforts should grant and be vested in public issued stock(s) rather than a tax burden. It should also cut out much of the legal and financial burden of funding new ventures.

A revamping of several areas of spending is needed quickly. We must act as individuals together in our great democracy and voice our needs.

William von Meyer
233 East Main St.
Pendleton, SC 29670

Released to:

***Governor Haley,
State Capitol***

***The Journal
Box 547
Seneca, SC 29679***

***Mr. John Boehner
Washingto, DC.***

***Independent Mail
1000 Williamston Rd.
Anderson, SC 29621***

***State Journal
Madison, WI***

***Charlotte Observer
Charlotte, NC
600 S. Tryon
Charlotte, NC 28202***

***Sidney Daily News
Sidney, Ohio***

William v. Meyer
233 East Main
Pendleton, SC 29670

Formerly Box 28,
Sidney, OH

Hon. Congressman John Boehner
H-232 The Capitol
Washington, DC. 20515

Dec. 20, 2012

Dear Mr. Boehner:

Please accept my thanks for your enduring service to our country. Below, are listed some items for your people and negotiators to consider.

[REDACTED]

General Matters:

I. Lack of Pre-Use or Pre-market Testing for Massive Social Projects:

Our country is large enough that we can test processes and laws well before we deploy them nationally.

In spite of this advantage, we continue to fail to develop test markets for our ideas both within government and without government. The major recent instance of this is the so called "Obama Care". This should be tested in a few counties representing industrial, rural and coastal vs. sparsely settled areas, before it is employed. By doing this we would be able to understand the problems at the level of the citizen. We would be able to understand cost and speed of service by real experience rather than speculation. (We would naturally find also that the medical billing matters might not be well enough controlled in my view.)

Many programs are simply placed in agencies , departments, and public universities, USDA etc. , where they become self-generating failures . Thus, there is a need for open reporting to the public from trial programs in many of these agencies. I mean a report given of the results, followed by a comment and action period. Not just a Hearing.

One might conclude the public never sees what is going on until the bill and fiasco is so large that we have to have an entire change of government to reconcile matters. Was not this the case of some moron exporting fire arms to Mexican drug dealers? As we understand the news media now, most legislators do not read the bills. So therefore, fewer and more precise bills are also needed which consider trial project areas..

WE NEED "TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS/ AREAS" .

WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS MATTER? Simply put Obama Care could be focused into three counties for study before the gigantic matter was implemented. It may also give you a negotiating point to help cut costs.

2. SPECIFIC MATTER: TAX AND DEBT CLIFF NEGOTIATION:

Industrial and Hospital Development Authority:

We believe people with higher incomes might not object to being taxed at a higher level , if they knew the money would be used to develop their country. Below we discuss a possible alternative. It is suggested that we form a National Development Authority (NDA) which is publically owned..

Such an authority would divert directly tax dollars funds into stock of the NDA(which could also be purchased on the open market periodically). It would build the next generation of power plants, irrigation projects and the like, as well as needed hospitals. The funds employed would defer and replace some current Department of Energy expenditures. USDA, and many grants of various sorts and ear

mark projects. Ear mark projects are often not in the best interest of the public because they have no Board of Directors on the public side and no review mechanism.

Some college can get an ear mark which does nothing but build a facility which costs too much to start with, and definitely too much to maintain using the Du Pont formula.

The public would benefit by the NDA's ability to deal with such items as the mis-placed XM pipeline and its terrorist risk, lack of national refining capacity near the well head area, lack of hospitals in rural areas and so forth. An NDA project could be designed to recover our fibers and electronics industries which have slipped overseas due to poor oversight.

Keep in mind that 30% of our critical defense materials were found manufactured in Germany just prior to our Iraq initiative. In fact, we needed their permission on supply lines before we could act to defend ourselves.

We could require for a period of X years that a certain increased percentage of high tax bracket wealth be set aside in a public corporate fund as a "national development authority". This would be as shares like anything else, but without Wall Street setting what is done. Wall Street would only transfer shares as brokers. The shares could be sold and held by the Federal Bank System. These projects would be in the area of hospital construction, water systems and irrigation system development, energy and fuel. In the energy sector we need to discuss an investment in new refineries rather than running a huge pipeline to the "good ole boys".

The gain on the trading of the NDA stock would be taxed, thus the nation would gain several income streams from the same effort:

1. the tax on the sale/trading of the NDA stock
2. the tax on the services and products provided by NDA formed companies/ products.
3. the tax on the incomes of the NDA workers

(4. the reduced losses by no longer needing some foreign made materials).

As NDA projects mature(self-sustaining) they could be spun out of the NDA stock into separate operating companies owned by the public.

The funds for this would be as an authority separate from the federal government but steered by public needs. This is not a research project and basic research should be minimized in favor of using the knowhow we already have.

The authority would be based on people of skill and distinction acting together to plan industrial development and production where it is needed. (this is what the Chinese fear most as regards competition and the USA).

Caution: we have had Harvard, Yale, NYU, Stanford, representatives etc etc for 50 years advising in economic hearings in government. The end result, including some of them having positions as high as Sec. of the Treasury, has been a 16+ trillion debt, few minutes of any decision meeting, and three years of no plan at all. Please cause wider meetings at the State and County level before we act.

Revamping Public and University Buildings Investment Strategy:

No further massive mausoleum type construction should be permitted in the public education sector for ten years. This should be particularly true in regard to university construction, regardless of whether they are a gift or not. We need to shift to economical well built one floor steel construction when ever possible in public facilities and schools. The reasons for this are listed below:

1. a scenario has developed where by a \$50-100 million dollar structure is built at a school, then the maintenance cost has to be taken up by the state. For each \$100 millions invested, the public can expect a \$5-8 million maintenance cost each year. It doesn't take many of these ivy hall show places to soon bankrupt the community... further, many of the students attending these places are foreigners and worse,

Many programs are simply placed in agencies , departments, and public universities, USDA etc. , where they become self-generating failures . Thus, there is a need for open reporting to the public from trial programs in many of these agencies. I mean a report given of the results, followed by a comment and action period. Not just a Hearing.

One might conclude the public never sees what is going on until the bill and fiasco is so large that we have to have an entire change of government to reconcile matters. Was not this the case of some moron exporting fire arms to Mexican drug dealers? As we understand the news media now, most legislators do not read the bills. So therefore, fewer and more precise bills are also needed which consider trial project areas..

WE NEED "TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS/ AREAS" .

WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS MATTER? Simply put Obama Care could be focused into three counties for study before the gigantic matter was implemented. It may also give you a negotiating point to help cut costs.

2. SPECIFIC MATTER: TAX AND DEBT CLIFF NEGOTIATION:

Industrial and Hospital Development Authority:

We believe people with higher incomes might not object to being taxed at a higher level , if they knew the money would be used to develop their country. Below we discuss a possible alternative. It is suggested that we form a National Development Authority (NDA) which is publically owned..

Such an authority would divert directly tax dollars funds into stock of the NDA(which could also be purchased on the open market periodically). It would build the next generation of power plants, irrigation projects and the like, as well as needed hospitals. The funds employed would defer and replace some current Department of Energy expenditures. USDA, and many grants of various sorts and ear

our own people can not afford the burgeoning tuition these massive facilities / organizations cause.

A well constructed one floor steel structure can house many class rooms and labs with much less investment. It is more easily modified and avoids the huge project maintenance cost.

An education plan on how to reduce expenditure on massive public structures needs consideration. Example: over a period of years the State Dept. built an embassy in Moscow. Mr Boehner, did you know that our embassay there cost over \$500^{*} millions?.

I'll bet you would like that money back now!

Now on a personal basis, please accept the wishes of our family for a Merry Christmas.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bill von Meyer
233 East Main
Pendleton, SC 29670.

** budget figure \$250 millions*