

From: [Claunch, Chuck <Chuck.Claunch@duke-energy.com>](mailto:Chuck.Claunch@duke-energy.com)

To: [Danny VaratDannyVarat@scstatehouse.gov](mailto:DannyVarat@scstatehouse.gov)

Date: 3/26/2018 4:31:11 PM

Subject: Duke Energy position paper - S.890 - PURPA Federal Mandate

Attachments: [S.890.doc](#)

Hi Danny,

The attached 2-page paper was shared with bill sponsor Davis who then convened a meeting with Southern Current, Cypress Creek and Duke Energy several weeks ago. Both solar developers have multiple projects proposed for the Duke Energy grid in South Carolina. Cypress Creek even has solar farms already constructed and operating on the Duke grid in North Carolina. All 3 companies know each other well. In fact, there are about 2,500 megawatts of solar projects (more capacity than a 2-unit nuclear station) currently connected to the Duke grid in North Carolina. There are many lessons-learned (from NC) that South Carolina consumers can benefit from. That is why Duke Energy opposes S.890 as currently drafted.

Please let me know if you have any questions after you review that attached paper.

Thank you, Chuck

Chuck Claunch

State Government Affairs | Duke Energy South Carolina

C: 803-370-2339

