

From: Soura, Christian
To: Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 9/3/2013 9:25:35 AM
Subject: RE: ETV BUDGET PROGRAM STRUCTURE CHANGES FOR FY 2014-15

Given the problems they've had with the vendors for the tower contracts, shouldn't they have their proposed II, III, IV, and V all under a "II. Programs and Services" and then indent everything else in that range by one more step? This model they've pitched seems to really reduce their ability to respond to a mid-year revenue shock like that.

CLS

Christian L. Soura
Deputy Chief of Staff

(803) 543-0792
ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov

From: Baker, Josh
Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2013 8:54 AM
To: Soura, Christian
Subject: FW: ETV BUDGET PROGRAM STRUCTURE CHANGES FOR FY 2014-15

I'm fine with this happening as a realignment – it's similar to what Brent discussed last year without the "Palmetto" names.

From: Brad Livingston [<mailto:bliving@scetv.org>]
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 2:46 PM
To: Baker, Josh
Cc: Mark Whittington; 'Emily Heatwole'; Linda O'Bryon; Gardner, Stephen; 'GrantGibson@scsenate.gov'
Subject: ETV BUDGET PROGRAM STRUCTURE CHANGES FOR FY 2014-15

Mr. Baker,

Please find attached a spreadsheet detailing proposed changes to Educational Television's budget program structure for FY 2014-15. The current program structure has been in place since Governor Beasley's administration. ETV has undergone significant changes during this time period and we think the proposed structure will better align with our current missions and the funding sources which are now in place. I appreciate your reviewing these proposed changes and am happy to answer any questions that you may have. I am out of the office today but will be checking my email periodically. I will be back in the office on Tuesday, September 3rd. Thanks again for your consideration of these changes and have a nice Labor Day!!

Brad Livingston
Chief Financial Officer
737-3253
737-3504 fax
bliving@scetv.org