

From: Soura, Christian  
To: Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>  
Date: 1/3/2014 10:31:16 AM  
Subject: RE: HHS  
Attachments: (2014-01-03) FY 2014-15 HHS Model (v03).xlsx

---

Saved this on the S drive in the 14-15 budget folder. It assumes we go up another million on recurring (take from balance) vs. last night, but also take down the NR to an even \$24 million. I haven't made those changes to Awesome because I'm not sure how you'd want to reflect that. Do you mind doing that as you see fit?

If this works for you, do you mind kicking it to HHS...just copy me? This is good - thanks.

CLS

Christian L. Soura  
Deputy Chief of Staff

(803) 543-0792  
ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov

---

From: Baker, Josh  
Sent: Friday, January 03, 2014 9:16 AM  
To: Soura, Christian  
Subject: RE: HHS

Try this - I can't seem to log into the network but I think I got everything I need to fill this out from my email.

Instead of challenging their assumptions about FY 2013-14, I just went with them and used their most recent projections. As I get into decision package detail or as we change DDSN, this may fluctuate a little, but based on the information we have today from them, this is right.

Josh

---

From: Soura, Christian  
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2014 7:40 PM  
To: Baker, Josh  
Subject: Re: HHS

Cool - msg me when it's ready - if I'm still up, I'll take another look before it goes over. We're getting there...

CLS

On Jan 2, 2014, at 7:33 PM, "Baker, Josh" <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov> wrote:

Not terrible. I'll work tonight and get it to them tomorrow instead of doing DDSN.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 2, 2014, at 5:07 PM, "Soura, Christian" <ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov> wrote:

How hard would it be for you to replace these with real figures and also adjust for the match counting?

CLS

On Jan 2, 2014, at 6:55 PM, "Baker, Josh" <[JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov](mailto:JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov)> wrote:

This appears to be correct with your note that the 153 includes match transfer and they have not reduced her estimate per our earlier email. This will shift a little as we fix DDSN and I sit down with the detail. It's a good back of envelope.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 2, 2014, at 4:34 PM, "Soura, Christian" <[ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov](mailto:ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov)> wrote:

Don't send this to them yet...take a look, and let me know what you think first:

Their current model has them at \$424M at the end of FY 2014-15, assuming they self-fund \$40M of projects and then receive \$58M from MSA, \$130.8M from cigarette taxes, \$3.9M from inbound match transfer, \$153M in recurring GF increases, and \$11.8M in one-time funding.

That \$424M would shift as follows, based upon these assumption changes...

- \$431M, after increasing the MSA revenue number from \$58M to \$68.972M.
- \$421M, after reducing cigarette tax revenue from \$130.8M to \$120.8M.
- \$409M, after having HHS self-fund the \$11.8M in one-time asks instead of having us fund them.
- \$301M, after reducing the recurring GF increase from \$153M to \$45M (Q: Does using \$153M here double-count the incoming match transfer?).
- \$326M, after giving them \$25M NR.
- \$350M, after they recognize \$24M in savings initiatives.

This does not have us giving them either the existing \$2.4M GF balance we have or shifting GF growth currently slotted to DDSN.

Note also the question I raised about how we count the incoming match transfer. I suspect we'd do a fraction of both.

Anyway, does this make sense to you? Is anything else missing? And do we have the ability to replace these "to the nearest million" figures with actual numbers before we send something to HHS? Thanks.

CLS

Christian L. Soura  
Deputy Chief of Staff

(803) 543-0792  
[ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov](mailto:ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov)