

Racheal Rowser

From: legalresearcher_consultant1@yahoo.com
Sent Date: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:39 PM
To: JOSEPH B BRUNSON (15780171);TIMOTHY MCQUEEN (15781171);TONY POUGH (94223071)
Subject: Interesting FINDINGS

Good morning to each of you:

Just letting you know I'm yet working on the said Proper DOCUMENT in which each of you are Responsible for filing and/or otherwise needs to be PRODUCED (as titled MOTION TO ALTER and AMEND, can be filed pursuant with FRCP Rule 59[e] - must be filed within 28 DAYS of Judge's Order Dec. 17, 2014) so to request the said JUDGE SEYMOUR to SET ASIDE and VACATE her RECENT filed "ORDER and OPINION" dated "DECEMBER 17, 2014", and her CLERK (s/MARY DEALS') personal (and illegal) filed "JUDGMENT in a CIVIL ACTION", as both!said documents are Dated as filed in said Federal Court on 12/17/2014; THEREFORE when each of you receive said RESEARCH FINDINGS, each of you are personally Responsible to send to said Court/or Proper Authorities which will be PROVIDING each of YOUR REASONS to the Federal Court, as to WHY! the said Federal Court MUST EXCEPT each of YOUR AMENDED 2255 PETITION - which will be also attached with your MOTION TO ALTER AND AMEND, which WILL be filed TIMELY!! as to RESPONDING to Federal Judge M.B. Seymour's MOST RECENT said DATED "ORDER and OPINION", and CLERK's "JUDGMENT in a CIVIL ACTION" both dated Dec. 17, 2014. *(When you receive, the DOCUMENT WILL explain itself)*

As well as we have JUST FOUND another! document also from the Pacer, of a PERSONAL LETTER - addressed to ANOTHER STATE! in "Reno NOVADA" to "The Honorable LARRY R. HICKS, United States DISTRICT JUDGE" in which was DISCUSSED was EACH of your said CIVIL and CRIMINAL Case MATTERS within the said STATE of SOUTH CAROLINA's CIVIL and CRIMINAL Case NUMBERS, and another! Case in NEVADA COURT No. "United States v. Global One Group, LLC, Cr. No. 3:08-120-LRH-VPC", which a CC: COPY was also! (allegedly) sent MINISTER McQUEEN, written in a Personal LETTER!! with the name of Federal Judge M.B. Seymour dated "OCT. 9, 2014"; Whereas, do EITHER of you KNOW what that said case is about??

Apparently!! it has! something to do with EACH of YOU. Since she has provided DETAIL!! INFORMATION about each of you. I've already CONTACTED that said COURT today!, and some other LEGAL AUTHORITIES today, so to see if WHAT Federal Judge Seymour's OFFICE is DOING IS LEGAL and Ethical...which it APPEARS that Judge M.B. SEYMOUR's Office is (yet!) STALKING each of you within OTHER STATES!, (which NOW! the said WRITTEN DOCUMENT "Oct. 9, 2014" actually SUPPORTS what JUDGE SEYMOUR'S "Order and Opinion" WROTE that Each of YOU, also must! intentional "DEMONSTRATE PREJUDICE", cause of your said APPOINTED ATTORNEYS Failure to REPRESENT each of you; which indeed PASTOR Brunson's APPOINTED ATTORNEY, Mike DUNCAN's Faxed Document dated "DEC. 2nd 2009" indeed "DEMONSTRATE PREJUDICE" when he ADMITS in said FAXED that he REFUSED!! to REPRESENT your ISSUES regarding Pastor Brunson, and/or EACH of YOUR ARREST WARRANTS, and BOND NEVER!! PROPERLY signed by the Proper Authorities, and/or showed that there WAS NO! ACTUAL CHARGE!! as to its Reliance upon an alleged INDICTMENT on the DATE "JUNE 3rd, 2008", which did not EXIST...and said Magistrate Judge had ABSOLUTELY no! other CHARGE written on the said RELEASE! as of the DATE "June 3rd, 2008"...Which WAS! BROUGHT to said Appointed ATTORNEYS WITHIN (14) DAYS after the alleged VERDICT on NOV. 20, 2009, and before the date! "DEC. 2, 2009", which RESULTED in Attorney MIKE DUNCAN's filed Fax- DATED "Dec. 2nd, 2009" - that was ATTACHED to Minister McQueen's and Pastor Brunson's filed MOTION FOR LEAVE to AMEND, but!! Federal Judge Seymour's DEC. 17, 2014, overlooked, ignored and/or did not REVIEW nor CONSIDER that said document, although! the said CLERK's written Response indeed somewhat mentioned in document only responding to Minister McQueen; but Pastor Brunson's also provided an ATTACHMENT of the SAME "Dec. 2nd 2009" Fax from Appointed ATTORNEY DUNCAN, who ADMITS! his INTENTIONAL REFUSAL to REPRESENT, the South Carolina Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 34(1)&(2), under "ARRESTING JUDGMENT" - which WOULD have CAUSED for each of your IMMEDIATE RELEASE!! since about DEC. 2009, but! neither! said Appointed Attorney REPRESENTED neither! that said RULE 34.(1)(2)which states:

"...Rule 34. Arresting Judgment

Racheal Rowser

court must arrest judgment if:

- (1) the indictment or information does not charge an offense;
- or
- (2) the court does not have jurisdiction of the charged offense.

(b) Time to File. The defendant must move to arrest judgment within 14 days after the court accepts a verdict..."

Therefore because of ISSUES in which Judge Seymour's "ORDER and OPINION" dated "DEC. 17, 2014" has such ADMITTANCE!!, as well as HER ADMITTANCE in said Personal LETTER dated "OCTOBER 9, 2014" - further SUPPORTS each of your filed DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE, provided by Appointed ATTORNEY DUNCAN Faxed Document dated "DECEMBER 2nd 2009", that was indeed attached with Minister McQueen and Pastor Brunson's provided MOTION for Discovery, in which we PULLED up from the Pacer.

Therefore Minister McQueen, may already KNOW about Judge Seymour's LETTER dated October 9, 2014, which I am speaking of, since she alleged she sent Minister a Copy of her said LETTER dated 10-9-2014. *(If either of you would like to call me, please email me, so I can know when you plan to call...I will yet you further speak, instead of me)

Have a Bless evening...