

From: Catherine McNicoll <CatherineMcNicoll@scstatehouse.gov>  
To: Danny Varat <DannyVarat@scstatehouse.gov>  
Date: 6/28/2018 9:15:00 AM  
Subject: RE: Agency Update- Planned Parenthood 2018 Report

---

I'm not sure what letter you are referring to? Do you mean the Medicaid waiver request?

*Best Regards,*  
*Catherine McNicoll*  
*Director of Legal & Legislative Affairs*  
*Lieutenant Governor's Office*  
*CatherineMcNicoll@SCStatehouse.gov*  
*803-734-5292 (phone)*

---

From: Danny Varat  
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2018 8:48 AM  
To: Catherine McNicoll  
Subject: Re: Agency Update- Planned Parenthood 2018 Report

Please call Angie this morning and see if that letter ever was sent.

---

From: Catherine McNicoll  
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 10:51 AM  
To: Danny Varat  
Subject: FW: Agency Update- Planned Parenthood 2018 Report

See below  
*Best Regards,*  
*Catherine McNicoll*  
*Director of Legal & Legislative Affairs*  
*Lieutenant Governor's Office*  
*CatherineMcNicoll@SCStatehouse.gov*  
*803-734-5292 (phone)*

---

From: Mitchell, Ellen [<mailto:Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 10:39 AM  
To: Catherine McNicoll <CatherineMcNicoll@scstatehouse.gov>  
Cc: Cooper, Debbie <Debbie.Cooper@rfa.sc.gov>  
Subject: Agency Update- Planned Parenthood 2018 Report  
Ms. McNicoll,

Thank you for your call last week. I have attached the response I received from DHHS regarding your question regarding Hyde-Amendment abortions. Based on this information, a more accurate reflection of the number of abortions that meet this criteria and associated expenditures are below:

Hyde Amendment-Compliant Abortion Cases			
	FY 2015	FY 2016	Total
Total Cases	2	9	11
Total Expenditures	\$8,552	\$46,771	\$55,323

Please let me know if you have any other questions, and thank you for bringing this to my attention.

Best,  
Ellen Mitchell

---

From: Blair Garrett <Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov>  
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 10:25 AM  
To: Mitchell, Ellen <Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov>

---

Subject: RE: General Assembly Request – Planned Parenthood

Ellen,

So I think there was just a typo in the data we sent over. SFY 2015 should only have 2 cases of Hyde Amendment abortions, not 5. We didn't mean to change the numbers from the prior report (which showed there was only 2) so I think that should make the dollars make more sense but any difference in average cost per Hyde-compliment abortions would be related to severity.

Let me know if you have any questions and sorry for confusion. Thanks

---

Blair Garrett

*Accountant/Fiscal Manager /*

[Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov](mailto:Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov)

803-898-0299

J-1228 1801 Main St.

Columbia, SC - 29063

[www.scdhhs.gov](http://www.scdhhs.gov)



*Healthy Connections* and the Healthy Connections logo are trademarks of South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services and may be used only with permission from the Agency.

---

From: Mitchell, Ellen <[Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov](mailto:Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov)>

Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 7:54 AM

To: Blair Garrett <[Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov](mailto:Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov)>

Subject: RE: General Assembly Request – Planned Parenthood

Good Morning Blair,

Today or tomorrow are fine!

Thanks.

---

From: Blair Garrett <[Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov](mailto:Blair.Garrett@scdhhs.gov)>

Sent: Friday, May 11, 2018 1:57 PM

To: Mitchell, Ellen <[Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov](mailto:Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov)>

Subject: Re: General Assembly Request – Planned Parenthood

Ellen,

Sorry for the delay, I'm out of the office but I'll look into and see what I can come up but I'll probably have to talk to someone on clinical side. Can I get you an answer Monday or do you need it sooner? I would think that could be the case but just want to verify.

Thanks,

Blair

Sent from my iPhone

On May 11, 2018, at 10:30 AM, Mitchell, Ellen <[Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov](mailto:Ellen.Mitchell@rfa.sc.gov)> wrote:

Hi Blair!

I have received a question from the Lt. Governor's Office about the report. Could you speak to the variation in cost for Hyde Amendment compliant abortions? What accounts for the difference in expenditures between FY 2014 and FY 2015? Is it simply that cases where the life of the mother is in danger more expensive?

Let me know what you think!

Thank you for your help,

Ellen