

MINUTES OF MEETING
OF
SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION

April 5, 1973
10:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Mr. John K. Cauthen
Mr. Hugh M. Chapman
Dr. Marianna W. Davis
Mr. Alester G. Furman, III
Mr. William H. Grier
Colonel J. M. J. Holliday
Mr. Gedney M. Howe, Jr.
Mr. F. Mitchell Johnson
Mr. T. Eston Marchant
Dr. Harrison L. Peeples
Mr. Alex M. Quattlebaum
Mr. Y. W. Scarborough, Jr.
Mr. Fred R. Sheheen
Dr. R. Cathcart Smith, Chairman
Mr. I. P. Stanback
Mr. T. Emmet Walsh
Mr. Othniel H. Wienges, Jr.

GUESTS

Dr. Thomas F. Jones
Mr. J. Lacy McLean
Dr. Charles E. Palmer
Mr. O. Stanley Smith, Jr.
Dr. Theodore S. Stern

STAFF

Mr. James R. Michael
Mr. William C. Jennings
Dr. Frank E. Kinard
Dr. William Allen Smith
Mr. Alan S. Krech

I. Approval of Minutes of March 1, 1973, Meeting of the Commission on Higher Education

A motion was made (Wienges) and seconded (Sheheen) and unanimously adopted that the minutes of the March 1, 1973, meeting of the Commission on Higher Education be approved.

II. Consideration of Position Paper From State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education on Occupational/Technical Courses and Programs

The Commission staff has drafted a response to the position paper submitted by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive

Education on occupational/technical courses in the two-year institutions. This draft response was sent to the college and university presidents who requested that discussion by the Commission be deferred for a month to allow them more time in which to study the issue and respond. A motion was made (Marchant) and seconded (Scarborough) that discussion on the position paper submitted by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education be deferred until the May meeting of the Commission. The motion was passed unanimously.

III. Report on Graduate Productivity Study

The Commission staff presented to the Commission a preliminary report on graduate productivity showing the number of master's and doctor's degrees awarded by program for each of the state-supported institutions over the past six years. Permission was requested by the staff to confer with institutions on programs which do not appear to be particularly productive using as a guideline any master's degree program which has not awarded at least twelve degrees in the last six years and doctoral programs which have not awarded at least six degrees in the last six years. Discussions would be held with the administration of the institutions concerned with the hope that low productivity programs would be voluntarily discontinued. If not, the institution would be requested to justify to the Commission in writing the continuance of the program.

A motion was made (Marchant) and seconded (Quattlebaum) that the staff's request to confer with the institutions on low productivity graduate programs with a request for justification of those programs which the institutions wish to continue be approved. An amendment to the motion was made (Sheheen) and seconded (Johnson) stating that the institutions be requested to complete the study, with justifications submitted to the Commission by September 15, 1973. The original motion as amended was unanimously adopted. It was suggested by Mr. Johnson that the estimated cost involved in continuation of any low productivity program should be included in the justification.

IV. Cooperative ETV Graduate Course in Management in Education

The Commission's Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on Off-Campus Graduate Education for Teachers has requested Commission support and approval of a proposed graduate course in Management in Education which will be made available through the ETV System. The course will be a cooperative effort between Clemson University, College of Charleston, University of South Carolina, South Carolina State, The Citadel, Winthrop and Furman at a cost of \$100,000. It is recommended that the program be funded as follows: \$50,000 contribution from the Commission on Higher Education's appropriation for in-service teacher education programs; \$6,000 from each participating institution; and, \$15,000 from

the State Department of Education.

A motion was made (Marchant) and seconded (Stanback) that the program proposal for a cooperative ETV graduate course in Management in Education be approved as submitted. The motion was passed unanimously.

V. Program Considerations

University of South Carolina

Associate Degree Programs for Military Bases - This program proposal was presented to the Commission for consideration at the February 1, 1973, meeting of the Commission at which time a motion was adopted requesting the Council of Presidents, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education and the staff of the Commission to prepare a more complete analysis of state supported educational services to the military bases in the state and bring it back to the Commission for further discussion in connection with the University of South Carolina's request. The following recommendations reflect a majority consensus of those representatives making the analysis:

1. That the University be authorized to organize its Regional Campus Office for Military Programs essentially as proposed;
2. That the programs, and courses, offered be restricted to lower division college parallel curricula leading to a baccalaureate degree normally awarded by the University;
3. That access to the programs, and courses, be available to servicemen and their dependents, and to civilian employees of the base and their dependents, but not to other civilians not so federally connected;
4. That the activity be approved for Beaufort (Parris Island and Beaufort MAS), Fort Jackson and for Myrtle Beach AFB as well;
5. That the activity be approved for Shaw AFB for 1973-74 only; and that Clemson University be authorized, if it wishes, to examine the feasibility of meeting Shaw AFB needs for AA/AS on-base degree programs with the objective of making a suitable proposal to the Commission by December 1, 1973, if it wishes to do so;
6. That the Charleston Consortium Steering Committee continue to explore the feasibility of meeting such needs in

Charleston through a coordinated effort by the Charleston institutions, with the objective of making a recommendation to the Commission as soon as possible;

7. That any state subsidy for programs, or courses, conducted on military bases by any state-supported post-secondary institution be discontinued, in the expectation that such on-base programs are or ought to be self-supporting; and with the understanding that special cases may if necessary be treated under Item 15 (or its successor) in the current Commission on Higher Education budgeting formula.

A motion was made (Sheheen) and seconded (Marchant) and unanimously adopted that the program be approved in accordance with the above stated recommendations.

Francis Marion

B. S. or B. A. in Political Science - Approved

Greenville TEC

A. A. S. in Insurance Management Technology - Approved

A. A. S. in Civil Engineering Technology - Approved

VI. Appropriations Formula

Mr. Quattlebaum discussed aspects of the appropriations formula and reviewed comments by the presidents on the formula.

Mr. Quattlebaum stated that he felt the present formula is too arbitrary and that actual costs at the institutions should be studied and institutions funded equitably on the basis of actual costs.

Mr. Quattlebaum presented to the Commission copies of a document prepared in May 1971 by the staff of the Maryland Council for Higher Education entitled A Framework for State Budgeting for Institutions of Higher Education.

Following Mr. Quattlebaum's presentation Chairman Smith appointed the following committee to meet with the staff of the Commission and the college and university representatives to come up with proposals and suggestions for altering the present appropriations formula: Mr. Hugh Chapman, Chairman, Mr. Othniel Wienges, Mr. Fred Sheheen. Dr. Smith stated that the entire July meeting of the Commission would be devoted to a discussion of the appropriations formula.

Elizabeth T. Jumper
Elizabeth T. Jumper
Secretary