

From: Elizabeth A. Hill <EAHILL@scdj.net>
To: Pisarik, HollyHollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov
Date: 5/23/2016 2:33:16 PM
Subject: RE: Proviso Review

Will do, Holly. Thanks.

Elizabeth A. Hill

General Counsel
SC Department of Juvenile Justice
Post Office Box 21069
Columbia, SC 29221
803.896.4815 FAX: 803.896.5738
eahill@scdj.net

From: Pisarik, Holly [mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 2:20 PM
To: Toomey, Bob <btoomey@daodas.sc.gov>; Karen Manning - Commerce <kmanning@sccommerce.com>; Boone, Susan <SBoone@dew.sc.gov>; Avant, David <David.Avant@admin.sc.gov>; Salley Elliott (C057924) <Elliott.Salley@doc.sc.gov>; Byron Roberts - HHS <robertsb@scdhhs.gov>; Gwen McGriff - DOI <gmcgriff@doi.sc.gov>; Elizabeth A. Hill <EAHILL@scdj.net>; Melina Mann - LLR <melina.mann@llr.sc.gov>; Frank "Val" Valenta - DMV <val.valenta@scdmv.net>; Emily Johnson - PRT <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Matthew Buchanan - PPP <matthew.buchanan@ppp.sc.gov>; Warren Ganjehehnsani - DPS <wganjehehnsani@scdps.gov>; Milton Kimpson - DOR <milton.kimpson@dor.sc.gov>; Tony Catone - DSS <tony.catone@dss.sc.gov>; Linda McDonald <mcdonaldlc@scdot.org>; Adam Witsett - SLED <awhitsett@sled.sc.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Richele <richele.taylor@llr.sc.gov>
Subject: Proviso Review

Good Afternoon GCs,

As you are likely aware, the SC Supreme Court recently issued a ruling striking down a 2015-2016 budget proviso as unconstitutional. I've attached that case for your review and summarized its holding below.

***The "test" for provisos under the one subject rule appears to be whether the proviso reasonably and inherently relates (not directly relates) to the raising and spending of tax monies – it must be a monetary matter, not an administrative or procedural matter. E.g. reenacting tort claims caps, requiring local governments to remit real estate fees to state, creating a committee to negotiate new contracts and fees, altering definition of machines subject to licensing fees, etc. Examples where content was not germane: permitting referendums in SPDs to decide nature of budget, amending an act creating Court of Appeals, and giving state custody of certain unclaimed property.

In light of this case, please review provisos contained in your 2016-2017 agency budget, and let me know if you think any of them violate the one subject rule. Although the budget is not yet final, we have begun our review, so please reply to me with your analysis by no later than this Thursday (May 26th). Even if you find no problems, please respond. Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks, Holly

Holly G. Pisarik
Chief Legal Counsel
Office of Governor Nikki R. Haley
O: 803-734-8465 C: 803-322-6255

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure. They are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipients or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.