

From: Anthony Keck <KECK@scdhhs.gov>
To: Soura, ChristianChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov
Date: 6/11/2014 10:32:06 AM
Subject: Re: Palmetto Project

Yep

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 11, 2014, at 9:29, "Soura, Christian" <ChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov> wrote:

This work? Edited it a bit for her style and to avoid repeating some phrases.

CLS

Veto 23 Part IB, Page 532; Section 118, Statewide Revenue; Proviso 118.16(B), Non-recurring Revenue; Item 36(c) Department of Health and Human Services – Palmetto Project: \$100,000

In the FY 2013-14 budget, the General Assembly similarly appropriated \$100,000 to the Department of Health and Human Services for the Palmetto Project. I am vetoing that line this year because the organization has failed to meet the basic performance standards contained in the agreement they made with the Department.

With the funds earmarked for them in FY 2013-14, Palmetto Project was to develop a school-based strategy to ensure that eligible children are enrolled in Medicaid, working with enrollment data for the free and reduced-price lunch program in a handful of selected school districts.

Palmetto Project was to design and pilot a tool-kit that could be used across the state to support school-based enrollment best practices, however, they have been unable to successfully complete this task. The reporting to the Department about the progress of the Palmetto Project's efforts has been incomplete and has not demonstrated credible evidence that the organization's school-based initiatives have had a meaningful impact.

We don't need another year of this.

Christian L. Soura
Deputy Chief of Staff

(803) 543-0792

From: Anthony Keck [mailto:KECK@scdhhs.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 6:50 AM
To: Soura, Christian
Subject: Fwd: Palmetto Project

Here are edits based on Johns input:

In the FY 2013-14 budget, the General Assembly similarly appropriated \$100,000 to the Department of Health and Human Services for the Palmetto Project. I am vetoing that line this year because the organization has failed to meet basic the performance standards of the agreement they made with the Department.

With the funds earmarked for them in FY 2013-14, Palmetto Project was to develop a school-based strategy to ensure that eligible children are enrolled in Medicaid, working with enrollment data for the free and reduced-price lunch program in a handful of selected school districts.

Palmetto Project was to develop and pilot a toolkit that could be used across the state to support school-based enrollment best practices, however, they have been unable to develop this program and pilot it successfully. The reporting to the Department about the progress of the Palmetto Project's efforts has been incomplete and has not demonstrated credible evidence that the organization's school-based initiatives have improved enrollment for eligible but unenrolled children in the Medicaid program.

We don't need another year of this.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: John Supra <SUPRA@scdhhs.gov>
Date: June 11, 2014 at 6:30:45 EDT
To: Anthony Keck <KECK@scdhhs.gov>
Subject: Re: Palmetto Project

In the FY 2013-14 budget, the General Assembly similarly appropriated \$100,000 to the Department of Health and Human Services for the Palmetto Project. I am vetoing that line this year because the organization has failed to meet even the performance standards of the agreement they made with the Department.

With the funds earmarked for them in FY 2013-14, Palmetto Project was to develop a school-based strategy to ensure that eligible children are enrolled in Medicaid, working with enrollment data for the free and reduced-price lunch program in a handful of selected school districts.

Palmetto Project was to develop and pilot a toolkit that could be used across the state to support school-based enrollment best practices, however, they have been unable to develop this program and pilot it successfully. The reporting to the Department about the progress of the Palmetto Project's efforts has been incomplete and has not demonstrated credible evidence that the organization's school-based initiatives have improved enrollment for eligible but unenrolled children in the Medicaid program.

We don't need another year of this.

Confidentiality Note

This message is intended for the use of the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information, including health information, that is privileged, confidential, and the disclosure of which is governed by applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**.

If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately and destroy the related message.

Confidentiality Note

This message is intended for the use of the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information, including health information, that is privileged, confidential, and the disclosure of which is governed by applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**.

If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately and destroy the related message.