

To whom it may concern,

My name is Steve Lee and I live in Conway Ar. I recently wrote you a letter entitled to whom it my concern hopefully you did receive it. I am sending you another letter with additional information, thoughts and reasons that go with the first.

There is a book from Dr. James Dobson titled Bringing up Boys. On pages 124-125-126 there is mention of an association in 2001 called NAMBLA. They have a pledge that was read in front of Congress and entered into Congressional records in the same year. As a citizen I found the pledge to be a form of terroristic threatening, as a Christian I found it to be the most Satanic thing I have ever heard. Maybe you are aware of the pledge I am referring to but just in case I have enclosed a copy of that very pledge. By the way they also have a motto that is directed at little boys. It is "sex before eight or else it's too late." Please pay close attention to the pledge hi-lited at the bottom of page 125 and continuing on page 126.

The same-sex ruling and the trans-gender bathroom laws that are currently being passed in North Carolina and Mississippi are a clear sign that this pledge is coming true. I just have a hard time believing that we are so concerned about the rights of people that indulge in this kind of behavior that our courts are willing to sacrifice the rights we have as Christians. I just don't understand why we are being forced to accept and support something as Gods followers that HE will never accept or support. I believe that you are a fair, sensible, and just person that is bound by the law that man has created. But should we at anytime support such a law that goes totally against what God has put inside of our hearts as being wrong? If so aren't we simply saying to God that our needs and the needs of others, no matter how wrong they are, have grown even more than His level of comprehension?

After reading this pledge I think you will understand my concerns not just as a husband and father of two wonderful children, but as a Christian that worries about the innocence and souls of our children. To many people I guess I am no one, to some I may not even be worthy, but to God I am one of His and as such would you please consider helping me with a request.

That request is to be heard on the floor of the Supreme Court not just as a citizen of our great country but more so for being grateful to our Father for the love and

grace he has for us all. If this is asking too much then maybe the suggestions I made in the previous letter could be considered as amendments to the same-sex ruling. This is something else I could use your help with.

Bruce Springsteen just recently canceled three tour events in North Carolina. He stated that he was going to stand in solidarity with trans-gender people against what he calls the prejudice and bigotry of people that did not support them. I can only assume the people he was referring to are Christians. Since we learn our morals and values from God (our true teacher), is he saying that God is a bigot and guilty of being prejudiced? I just do not see how we could be called those things without also referring to our teacher. Would it not be great if Mr. Springsteen and others would stand in solidarity with such great energy and commitment with God?


I don't know much about the law but the NBA, NFL, Disney, and Marvel Studios also threatened to pull their support from the states that pass laws protecting religious freedoms that goes against the same-sex and trans-gender communities. Is this not the simple definition of extortion? The crime of getting or taking money from someone by the use of force or threats or both. These companies seem to fit the criteria. I know that no one has to do business in any state if they do not choose to. But in this case they used the threat to hurt their economy (by taking money from it) if they did not comply with their threat the key words being force and threat. What do you think?

In closing, I would like to express my sincerest thanks for your valuable time and leave you with this thought. ~~Fear makes us have faithless trust in God, but lack of fear gives us faithful trust in God.~~

If the NAMBLA was permitted to enter this pledge into Congressional records then maybe you would consider allowing me to enter one on behalf of God and His supporters. It would truly be an honor to defend the rights of God, my friend our friend. Please give this some serious consideration.

501-733-8005 Lauren-j-lee@hotmail.com

Sincerely,



Steve Lee

It is the mission of these caring people to be of help to people such as you. Another resource is Focus on the Family, which has an outreach called Love Won Out. It offers seminars and information to those seeking help. If you are dealing with a child whose sexual identity is confused, I hope you will avail yourselves of these programs and resources.

There is another major cause of gender identity disorder that we must address. It results from early sexual abuse. One study indicated that fully 30 percent of homosexuals say they were exploited sexually as a child, many of them repeatedly. That experience can be devastating, and depending on when it occurs, it can be life changing. Despite the evil of abuse, there is a vigorous effort now to end the taboo against sex between men and boys. This campaign to change social attitudes is being talked about in gay and lesbian literature and is even beginning to appear in the mainstream press. For example, *The Weekly Standard* (January 1, 2001) featured a cover story entitled "Pedophilia Chic Reconsidered." Here is a quote from this very important and well-documented article written by Mary Eberstadt:

This social consensus against the sexual exploitation of children and adolescents is apparently eroding. The defense of adult-child sex—more accurately, man-boy sex—is now out in the open. Moreover, it is on parade in a number of places—therapeutic, literary, and academic circles; mainstream publishing houses and journals and magazines and bookstores—where the mere appearance of such ideas would until recently have been not only unthinkable, but in many cases, subject to prosecution.

The article ended with this statement: "If the sexual abuse of minors isn't wrong, then nothing is."²²

Is there further evidence that some members of the gay and lesbian movement are, in fact, seeking legal sexual access to very young boys? Yes. We see it in the growing influence of the North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA), which shamelessly promotes sex between adults and children. Its motto is "Sex before eight or else it's too late." Although this wretched organization has not been endorsed by most gay and lesbian publications, it has not been condemned by most of them either. That tells us a great deal.

There is also the vigorous effort by gays to infiltrate the Boy Scouts in the same way lesbians have done so successfully in the Girl Scouts, where 33 percent of their staff is said to be lesbian.²³ The purpose of this Boy Scout campaign is not to permit the sexual abuse of kids in most cases. It

is to use scouting to teach and indoctrinate them. This explains the intensity of the debate and a lawsuit that went all the way to the U.S. Supreme Court. The case was decided by a razor-thin, five-to-four decision against homosexual interests.²⁴ Despite the loss, corporations have taken up the cause and are refusing to fund the Scouts.²⁵ Even some United Way chapters are withholding funds from this fine and desperately needed organization.²⁶

There is other evidence of the desire to gain access to boys. It is seen in the worldwide effort to lower the age when a child can legally give his consent for intercourse with an adult. This effort has resulted in many intense legislative struggles in Western nations. I received a letter recently from Lyndon Bowring, a colleague in the U.K. who heads a profamily organization called Care Trust. This is what he wrote: "We are up to our eyes here in London with the rampant advances of the militant gay lobby. Our Parliament is planning to reduce the age of consent for homosexual intercourse between adult males from 18 to 16. Apart from a sovereign miracle of grace, we will not succeed in persuading them not to do so. We are doing everything in our power to prevent it and calling on His divine power to intervene on behalf of our young boys. There is hardly a place on the globe where similar struggles are not occurring, except where no fight remains in discouraged or outnumbered Christians."

Alas, Mr. Bowring and his coworkers lost that fight. The age of consent in the U.K. was lowered to sixteen.²⁷ It is fourteen in Canada,²⁸ fifteen in Sweden, fifteen in France, fourteen in Germany, Iceland, Italy, San Marino, and Slovenia, and twelve in Spain, Holland, Malta, and Portugal.²⁹ Isn't it utterly outrageous that twelve-year-olds in these latter countries, most of whom will not have reached puberty, can give their consent to older males who want to exploit them sexually? Furthermore, their parents can't legally prevent the exploitation. The question that jumps out at us is, "Why have gay and lesbian organizations worked feverishly to lower the age of accountability?" There can be only one answer.

The most shocking evidence of this targeting of children appeared in the following article written by Michael Swift, who worked for a publication called the *Gay Community News*. It was read during a congressional debate by former Congressman William Dannemeyer, who also entered it into the Congressional Record. Here is a short excerpt from that shocking statement:

We shall sodomize your sons, emblems of your feeble masculinity, of your shallow dreams and vulgar lies. We shall seduce them in your schools, in your dormitories, in

your gymnasiums, in your locker rooms, in your sports arenas, in your seminaries, in your youth groups, in your movie theater bathrooms, in your army bunkhouses, in your truck stops, in your all-male clubs, in your houses of Congress, wherever men are with men together. Your sons will become our minions and do our bidding. They will be recast in our image. They will come to crave and adore us.

All laws banning homosexual activity will be revoked. Instead legislation shall be passed which engenders love between men. All homosexuals must stand together as brothers; we must be united artistically, philosophically, socially, politically, and financially. We will triumph only when we present a common face to the vicious heterosexual enemy.

The family unit—spawning ground of lies, betrayals, mediocrity, hypocrisy and violence—will be abolished. The family unit, which only dampens imagination and curbs free will, must be eliminated. Perfect boys will be conceived and grown in the genetic laboratory. They will be bonded together in a communal setting, under the control and instruction of homosexual savants.

All churches who condemn us will be closed. Our only gods are handsome young men. We adhere to a cult of beauty, moral and esthetic. All that is ugly and vulgar and banal will be annihilated. Since we are alienated from middle-class heterosexual conventions, we are free to live our lives according to the dictates of the pure imagination. For us too much is not enough.

We shall be victorious because we are filled with the ferocious bitterness of the oppressed who have been forced to play seemingly bit parts in your dumb, heterosexual shows throughout the ages. We too are capable of firing guns and manning the barricades of the ultimate revolution.

Tremble, hetero swine, when we appear before you without our masks.³⁰

This article, which outraged conservative Christians and many other Americans, was greeted with a shrug by the general public and by members of Congress. Did these words represent one man's private views, or are they representative of a larger community? I don't know. Certainly not all homosexual activists would ascribe to them. It is clear, however, that our boys need to be protected from sexual abuse, whether it is homosexual or hetero-

To whom it may concern.

My name is Steve Lee and I live in Conway, Arkansas. Hopefully you will find the contents of this letter to be helpful and to have some level of value. I would like to make it clear that I am in favor of everyone having the rights that man made laws give them. We must also be in favor of respecting the rights that God gives us as followers and believers of His laws. I am a Christian that supports God and more importantly cares about how God feels, and hopefully whoever reads this cares too.

I would like to make it clear that my only goal is to make some suggestions and observations that may or may not have been given consideration. I know the Supreme Court ruling on same-sex marriages will not be overturned nor am I suggesting that it should be. Rather these suggestions could be considered as amendments that may make it easier for Christians to be involved at least on some level with same-sex marriages and keep their Christian values intact.

Ladies and Gentlemen let's make it abundantly clear that Christians are the best friends that members of same-sex relationships have. It is time for members and supporters of same-sex relationships to embrace that fact and meet us on middle ground and respect God's values and ours as His followers.

The 3 suggestions I have are as follows. Please keep in mind that it is man's law that recognizes same-sex marriages not God's. I will give more detail about these suggestions later in this letter.

1. States should appoint members or supporters of the same-sex community that are non clergy to perform ceremonies.

2. Change the vocal part of the ceremony by taking anything that pertains to God completely out.

3. The weddings should be done somewhere else other than on Church grounds.

These suggestions may raise an eyebrow to some but remember we are trying to come up with a plan to satisfy both sides and not force anyone into doing or supporting something they do not believe in. There is not one single place in the Bible or the Constitution that supports same-sex marriage or relationships for that matter.

Religious rights are being threatened at every level except when it comes to the Muslim faith. Let's put that statement to the test. Ask same-sex couples to enter a Mosque and ask the members there to marry them. I think we all know what the response would be. Would our state and federal government force the Mosque to comply? I think not. Would the couples sue them for discrimination? I think the legal system would uphold the Muslims' right to refuse based on their religious beliefs. If so, Christian beliefs and values should also be upheld. Although Muslims would never allow their Mosque to be desecrated in this way, many of them may be in favor of the legalization of same-sex marriage. The reason being is so they would have a better opportunity to have the same support in having the courts legalize Sharia law. For those of you who are not familiar with the Sharia law I suggest you look it up.

This is exactly why the 3 suggestions should be given some consideration. Neither Christians nor Muslims recognize same-sex marriages as being right or accepted by God in their respective religions and should never be forced to support or accept it. Simply put man's law not God's.

This is more curiosity than anything, but I was wondering why members of the media have not reported on or challenged the Supreme Court ruling on the same sex marriage issue on the grounds of it being biased and prejudicial. In fact, why have governors and senators not done so? I know that some conservative groups have done so but that is not enough. With a little research I discovered that Justices Kagan and Ginsburg have been involved with and performed same sex marriages in the past. It only makes sense that they would vote in favor of something they have been in clear support of in the past. In the same way in a court of law a judge would not allow the jury pool to be poisoned, we should not allow the Supreme Court Justice pool to be poisoned. All elected and appointed officials work for the people. It seems to me that they should support the overwhelming majority and consensus of the people. At the very least, these Justices should have disqualified themselves from the proceedings or their votes should not have been allowed.

Something else struck my curiosity, so over the last several weeks I started conducting my own survey. I have asked over 100 people if they knew the two Justices (Kagan and Ginsburg) have been involved with same sex ceremonies before the court heard the case. To my surprise, not one person was aware of that fact. Why would our elected officials, no matter what party they belong to, allow such a terrible injustice to exist and such a deceitful thing to be kept from

the citizens of our great country? Why would the media not report on this and keep it from the public? I think if they knew how biased and prejudicial this ruling was the voting public may have spoken up. In fact where were all the heads of the biggest Churches in the country when it was time to stand up and support God on this issue.

Also, there has to be a solution to the problem of discrimination and the protection of Christian rights when it comes to same sex marriages. We should simply not add to the injustice that the Supreme Court ruling has put on everyone and force people to accept something that they know God will clearly never accept or support. Same sex couples wanted to be recognized and they have. They have wanted to be able to get married and have the same rights and benefits from the state and federal governments that opposite sex couples have. They now have those rights. I think the big problem is that no one likes to be forced to do anything especially if it goes against their religious beliefs. Just ask any Muslim if they would support this in their religion.

Once again I know that there is probably no chance the court ruling will be overturned. But perhaps the following suggestions could be taken into consideration in order to make an amendment to the ruling to help protect Christian rights. As you read the following let's not forget that the state and federal governments are the ones that want to separate church and state and recognize same sex marriage. Once again clearly man's law not God's.

First: I think we start by not allowing any member of the clergy to perform the same sex marriage ceremony. I know they receive their licenses from the states, but their authority as clergymen comes from God. They should only do the marriages (men and women) that God will recognize. No member of the clergy should at any point even be willing to participate in and support something that they know God will not support. The states should have to appoint their own people (non clergy) to do the ceremonies. You can call it job creation.

Second: The ceremonies themselves should be changed to take God and anything related to Him or Christianity out of them. No one has the right to try and manipulate God's word nor His people into accepting man's law over God's law. Sounds a little like what the Pharaohs of Egypt tried to do. I don't think that turned out very well for them.

Third: The marriages should not be done on church grounds. Church grounds are for the purpose of worshipping God and hearing the truth of his words so that we will only accept and support the things that God will. I'm in no way suggesting that same-sex people can't attend church. We all need to hear God's words of truth but so many clergymen have become afraid to speak it on this issue.

I know that these suggestions may raise some eyebrows, but we need to have Christian rights protected along with everyone else's. Simply put, non-clergy (man or woman) can perform ceremonies, take God completely out of vocal part, and no ceremonies should be performed on any church grounds. This would give same sex couples everything they want and still keep Christian rights intact.

At this point maybe Christians can justify in their hearts and minds that they are not supporting something that goes against their beliefs but rather simply supporting another one of man's laws. With these suggestions in place Christians may be more willing to be involved in supplying cakes, flowers or photography for these ceremonies.

I know there will be some same sex people and their supporters that will disapprove of this, but no more than Christians disapprove of what's being forced on them. I would think this would appeal to most people that support and are involved in same sex relationships because it would give them the opportunity to be married by someone that supports them with a ceremony of their making and at a place where they may feel more comfortable. If they disapprove then it would be clear that they simply want to make a mockery of God and His followers by forcing this on them. Either way it's certainly worth the discussion.

Some groups wonder why Jesus did not speak out about what scripture said about same-sex relationships. Jesus instructed the Apostle Paul to speak out and strongly condemn the only two things that God says are unnatural, immoral sex acts and same sex relationships. It is clear that we have one of two choices, to support the good and truth of God or the lies and deceitfulness of Satan. For this reason we should at some level question the credibility of all members of the clergy that are in support of performing same sex ceremonies. As mentioned earlier they get their license from the states, but their authority to do marriages that God will recognize comes from Him. They should never be willing or allowed to participate in anything that God has deemed to be not natural. They do not have the right as teachers of God's words of wisdom and truth to support things that God clearly will not. If they are allowed to continue to do so, it sends a mixed

message, not only now but to future generations, about the credibility that we give God. This clearly seems to be the direction that our government wants to go, and they prove it every time they put people's needs, wants, and desires over God's. The very mention of how God feels about this in church is all but forbidden because of the fear of offending someone or having someone say they are discriminating against them. This happens in my own church. God's words of wisdom and truth should always be taken seriously. In short, if the members of the clergy can't stand up for God in this matter or any other, how can they encourage God's followers to stand up for Him.

As Governors I know you may not be able to help with this last topic to be considered. But nevertheless it needs to be discussed in a respectful but honest way with the understanding that it is not intended to upset or offend anyone. But rather to simply raise the question of credibility to the teachers of God's word that are supporting something that He will clearly not.

I think that all denominations and the heads of their respective institutions should consider asking all clergy members that have come forward admitting to having same sex partners to step down from their teaching duties. The heads of our religious institutions should not allow or want members of the church to be taught by people that support this unnatural act that God will never support or accept. It is simply not reasonable to assume that clergymen and women that support and participate in same sex relationships can teach against this particular sin. It makes more sense that they will simply avoid the teaching altogether, which they do not have the right to do. We should always support the person no matter what but not the sin in their lives. Their calling is to teach and make everyone aware of God's feelings not just about this matter, but all things that matter to Him. If God's teachers avoid talking about same sex relationships then you could easily make the argument that they support it and if they do are they truly God's teachers. It is written in First John he who practices sin cannot inherit God's kingdom because he cannot truly release it from his heart. What does that say about the people that support and encourage it, such as the members of the clergy that are called to be God's teachers? Is this not the textbook definition of being a false witness? In the beginning when God created us, all was perfect until we decided to ignore our Creator and put our own needs, wants, and desires before His. This is a mistake we made then and are still making today.

Throughout the Bible God gives us the right to choose, to make our own choices when it comes to knowing the differences between right and wrong. That is for

everything except one. The reason why God created Adam in His likeness and image is because God obviously saw Himself as a man and as a man He could only see Himself with a woman (Eve). This is the only time in the bible that God DID NOT give a man (Adam) or a woman (Eve) a choice. If God wanted Adam to have a choice He would have simply created another man along with Eve so he would have a choice. Make no mistake about it God did this because there is no choice that He could accept or support other than this. The union between a man and a woman.

In closing, I would like to add that God is the best of everything anyone could ever have. The best Father, the best Lord, the best Savior, and the best Friend that we may not even deserve, but because of His infinite love and grace for us I guess He feels that we do. For this reason God certainly deserves our best efforts to at least stand up for Him and be heard, not just as individuals but as the body of Christ.

I know that there are certainly more qualified people than myself to present these suggestions to the appropriate parties, committees, or even perhaps the Supreme Court. But if given the opportunity, it would be an honor and privilege to stand up for my Friend. ~~Fear makes us have faithless trust in God, but lack of fear makes us have faithful trust in God.~~

Sincerely,



Steve Lee

501-733-8005

Email; lauren-j-lee@hotmail.com