

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
STATEMENT OF FACTS	4
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	18
ARGUMENT	20
A. Standard of Review	20
B. Violations of NEPA.....	21
1. NEPA Requirements	21
2. DOE Did Not Issue a NEPA Compliance Document for Its Amended ROD.....	25
3. The Amended ROD Substantially Changed the Surplus Plutonium Program	27
4. Previous NEPA Documents Do Not Suffice As the NEPA Review	30
5. The April 19, 2002 Amended ROD Requires a Supplemental EIS.....	37
6. The Amended ROD Is Also Invalid Because DOE Did Not Comply with NEPA in Canceling Immobilization.....	38

C.	Violations of the Administrative Procedures Act	40
D.	The Remedy in this Case Should Be an Injunction Prohibiting the Shipments of Surplus Plutonium to SRS.....	41
1.	The Likelihood of Irreparable Harm to Appellant if Injunction Is Not Granted	42
2.	The Likelihood of Harm to the Appellees if the Preliminary Injunction Is Granted	44
3.	Public Interest	50
4.	Equitable Factors.....	50
CONCLUSION		52
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE		
CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
 <u>Cases</u>	
<u>American Trucking Association v. Federal Highway Administration,</u> 51 F.3d 405 (4 th Cir. 1995)	34-35
<u>Blackwelder Furn. Co. v. Seilig Mfg. Co.,</u> 550 F.2d 189 (4 th Cir. 1977)	41
<u>Calvert Cliffs’ Coordination Committee v.</u> <u>U.S. Atomic Energy Department,</u> 449 F.2d 1109 (D.C. Cir 1971).....	52
<u>Coeur D’Alene Lake v. Kiebert,</u> 790 F. Supp. 998 (D. Idaho 1992)	43
<u>Hughes Network Systems v. Interdigital Com. Corp.,</u> 17 F.3d 691 (4 th Cir. 1994)	41
<u>Hughes River Watershed Conservancy v. Glickman,</u> 81 F.3d 437 (4 th Cir. 1996)	24, 37
<u>Northern Cheyenne Tribe v. Hodel,</u> 851 F.2d 1152 (9 th Cir. 1988)	43
<u>Providence Square Assocs., L.L.C. v. G.D.F., Inc.,</u> 211 F.3d 846 (4 th Cir. 2000)	20
<u>Public Service Company of Colorado v. Andrus,</u> 825 F. Supp. 1483 (D. Idaho, 1993)	35
<u>Sierra Club v. Marsh,</u> 872 F.2d 497 (1 st Cir. 1989)	43
<u>Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance v. Thompson,</u> 811 F. Supp. 635 (D. Utah 1993)	43

<u>State of California v. Block,</u> 690 F.2d 753 (9 th Cir. 1982)	31
--	----

<u>Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo,</u> 456 U.S. 305 (1982)	41
---	----

Statutes

5 U.S.C. § 702	1, 3
5 U.S.C. § 706	40
28 U.S.C. § 1291	1
28 U.S.C. § 1331	1
42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 - 4370d	3, 21
42 U.S.C. § 4332	21
42 U.S.C. § 4332(2)	21

Regulations

10 C.F.R. § 1021	24
10 C.F.R. § 1021.104	21, 24
10 C.F.R. § 1021.210(b)	21
10 C.F.R. § 1021.314	25, 37
10 C.F.R. § 1021.314(2)	24
10 C.F.R. § 1021.314(c)	24
10 C.F.R. § 1021.321	23, 24
10 C.F.R. § 1021.321(c)	23

10 C.F.R. § 1021.322(a).....	23
10 C.F.R. § 1021.410	22
40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(b)	42
40 C.F.R. § 1502.9(c)(ii).....	25
40 C.F.R. § 1508.4	22
40 C.F.R. § 1508.9	21
40 C.F.R. § 1508.13	22

Rule

Fed R. Civ. P. 3	1
------------------------	---

Other

65 Fed. Reg. 1618	48
67 Fed. Reg. 20183	17
Frank P. Grad, 4 Treatise on Environmental Law, §.9.04[2][b] (Matthew Bender 2000).....	41